

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLIFFORD BRODSKY,
Petitioner,

No. C 06-2288 CRB

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

ANTHONY P. KANE, Warden,
Respondent.

Petitioner, who is in the custody of the California Department of Corrections, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 2254. Petitioner pled guilty to a conspiracy to commit second degree murder in Los Angeles County and is currently incarcerated at the Correctional Training Facility in Soledad, California in Monterey County. Accordingly, venue is proper. See Local Rule 2254-3(b)(2).

This Court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

A district court shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Summary dismissal is appropriate only where the allegations in the petition are vague or conclusory, palpably

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 incredible, or patently frivolous or false. Hendricks v. Vasquez, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir.
2 1990) (quoting Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63, 75-76 (1977)).

3 The Court has reviewed the petition and finds good cause to proceed. Accordingly,


4 1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order
5 and the petition and all attachments thereto upon the respondents and the respondents'
6 counsel, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of
7 this Order on the petitioner's counsel.

8 2. Respondents shall file with this Court and serve upon the petitioner,
9 within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this Order, an answer conforming in all respects to
10 Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas
11 corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer a copy of all portions of
12 the state trial and appellate record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant
13 to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

14 3. If the petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing
15 a traverse with the court and serving it upon the respondents within thirty (30) days of his
16 receipt of the answer.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: April 3, 2006



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28