Netflix, Inc. v. Blockbuster, Inc.
 Doc. 155 Att. 3

 Case 3:06-cv-02361-WHA
 Document 155-4
 Filed 03/02/2007
 Page 1 of 31

EXHIBIT B (Part 2 of 3)

1 documents sufficient to reasonably describe the development of Blockbuster Online 2 and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in 3 this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

4

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully the corporate organization 5 6 of BLOCKBUSTER and each of its divisions, subdivisions, units, subsidiaries or 7 affiliates, including but not limited to organizational charts, personnel directories, 8 telephone directories, and electronic mail user and address lists.

9 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:**

10 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 11 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 12 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-13 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 14 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 15 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 16 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 17 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 18 19 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 20 term "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

21 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product 22 documents sufficient to reasonably describe the corporate organization of 23 24 Blockbuster Inc. since 2004 and will produce such documents so located that have 25 not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33: 26

DOCUMENTS sufficient to identify each and every BLOCKBUSTER officer, manager, director, agent, and employee who had responsibility for, or who 28

was assigned to implement, the design, research, development, testing, and
 operation of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

3

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 4 5 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 6 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-7 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 8 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 9 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 10 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 11 12 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 13 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 14 terms "BLOCKBUSTER" and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably identify employees of Blockbuster Online and
will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this
case.

20 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO agreements between

22 BLOCKBUSTER and any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE,

23 IBM, and David Perkovich, to provide consultation services or products to

24 BLOCKBUSTER relating to the development or operation of

25 BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

26 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by

31

28 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks

21

1 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-2 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 3 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 4 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 5 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 6 7 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 8 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 9 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," 10 "IBM," and "RELATING TO." 11 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 12 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe any agreements by Blockbuster Inc. for 13 14 consulting services provided by Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich for 15 Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case. 16 17 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:** 18 All DOCUMENTS exchanged between BLOCKBUSTER and any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE, IBM, and David Perkovich, 19 20 in connection with any agreement to provide consulting services or products to 21 BLOCKBUSTER relating to the development or operation of 22 BLOCKBUSTERONLINE. 23 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:** 24 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 25 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 26 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-27 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 28 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further

specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous,
 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor
 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is
 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," and
 "IBM."

8 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
9 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
10 documents sufficient to reasonably describe consulting services provided by
11 Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich for Blockbuster Online and will produce
12 such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to identify the employees, contractors, or
agents of any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE, IBM and
DVDRentalCentral, who provided any information or performed any service for
BLOCKBUSTER in connection with the design, research, development, testing,
marketing, and operation of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

19

13

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 20 21 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 22 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-23 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 24 25 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 26 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 27 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 28

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," and
 "IBM."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
reasonably identify employees of Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich who are
known to Blockbuster Inc. to have had significant involvement in providing
consulting services for Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so
located that have not already been produced in this case.

10 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the consideration by
 BLOCKBUSTER, or by any consultant retained in connection with developing or
 operating BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, of NETFLIX's ON-LINE rental system,
 products, services, website, or methods.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 16 17 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 18 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-19 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 20 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous. 21 22 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 23 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 24 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 25 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," and "ON-26 27 LINE."

28 Alschuler Grossman

15

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster

will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
 documents discussing consideration of Netflix in connection with developing or
 operating Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have
 not already been produced in this case.

- 5
- **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the consideration by
BLOCKBUSTER, or by any consultant retained in connection with developing or
operating BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, of any NETFLIX patents or patent
applications.

10

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 11 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 12 13 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-14 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 15 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 16 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 17 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 18 19 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 20 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "NETFLIX." 21 22 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 23

will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product

24 documents discussing consideration of Netflix patents or patent applications in

25 connection with developing or operating Blockbuster Online and will produce such

26 documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

27 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:**

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show when BLOCKBUSTER, or any

consultant retained by BLOCKBUSTER in connection with

BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, first became aware of each of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:**

4 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 5 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 6 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-7 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 8 9 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 10 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 11 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 12 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 13 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 14 terms "BLOCKBUSTER" and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably show when Blockbuster Inc. became aware of
the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not
already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the patentability of any claim of
 the PATENTS-IN-SUIT including without limitation, any study, analysis, review,
 conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral.

24 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent

20

that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 1 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 2 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 3 4 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 5 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases 6 7 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO." 8

9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
10 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
11 documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are
12 patentable and will produce such documents so located that have not already been
13 produced in this case.

14

18

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the validity or invalidity of any
claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis,
review, conclusions, research, investigation, or opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

19 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 20 21 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-22 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 23 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 24 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 25 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 26 27 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases

37

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term

"RELATING TO."

1

2

8

12

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are
valid and will produce such documents so located that have not already been
produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

9 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the novelty or lack thereof of any
10 claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis,
11 review, conclusions, research, investigation, or options, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

13 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 14 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 15 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 16 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 17 18 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 19 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 20 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 21 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases 22 23 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO." 24

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were novel and
will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this

1 case.

2

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the obviousness or nonobviousness of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation,
any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or opinions,
whether written or oral.

7

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

8 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 9 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 10 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-11 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 12 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 13 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 14 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 15 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 16 17 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases 18 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term 19 "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were obvious
and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in
this case.

25

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the enforceability or non enforceability of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without
 limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or

1 opinions, whether written or oral.

2 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:**

3 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 4 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 5 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-6 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 7 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 8 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 9 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 10 11 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 12 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases 13 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term 14 "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are
enforceable and will produce such documents so located that have not already been
produced in this case.

20 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:**

21All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO actual or potential infringement22or non-infringement of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT by

23 BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, including without limitation, any study, analysis,

24 review, conclusions, research, investigation or opinions, whether written or oral.

25 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-

1 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 2 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 3 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 4 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 5 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 6 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 7 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases 8 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms 9 "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents discussing infringement or non-infringement of the patents-in-suit and
will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this
case.

15

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any opinion of legal counsel with
respect to infringement, non-infringement, validity, invalidity, enforceability,
interpretation or scope of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without
limitation any oral or written options or advice.

20 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:**

21 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 22 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 23 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 24 25 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 26 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 27 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 28 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
 the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases
 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term
 "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will produce any requested documents only at the time provided in the Court's
scheduling order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

9 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION
10 between BLOCKBUSTER, or anyone acting on its behalf, and any other third party
11 about the patentability, validity, enforceability, scope, or infringement by
12 BLOCKBUSTERONLINE of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

14 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 15 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 16 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-17 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 18 19 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 20 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 21 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 22 23 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "RELATING TO," and 24 "other third party." 25

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
communications between Blockbuster and unrelated third parties discussing

8

patentability, validity, enforceability, scope, or infringement by Blockbuster Online
 of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not
 already been produced in this case.

4 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION, study,
analysis, review, conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral, regarding any of
the NCR PATENTS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

9 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 10 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 11 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-12 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 13 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 14 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 15 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 16 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 17 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 18 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 19 term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents discussing the NCR patents and will produce such documents so located
that have not already been produced in this case.

24 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION, study,
analysis, review, conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral, as to United
States Patent. No. 5,459,306, issued on October 17, 1995, entitled "Method and
System for Delivering On Demand, Individually Targeted Promotions."

1	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:
2	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
3	reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
4	documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-
5	product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent
6	that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further
7	specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous,
8	overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor
9	calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is
10	beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
11	The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
12	term "RELATING TO."
13	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
14	will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
15	documents discussing the '306 patent and will produce such documents so located
16	that have not already been produced in this case.
17	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:
18	All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any comparison of the functions,
19	features, or characteristics of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE with any of the functions,
20	features, or characteristics of NETFLIX's system.
21	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:
22	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
23	reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
24	documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-
25	product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent
26	that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further
27	specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous,
28	overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor
.ER AN &	BLOCKBUSTER'S RESPONSES TO NETFLIX'S 44 FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

.

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is
 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
 terms "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents comparing Blockbuster Online with Netflix and will produce such
documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

9

13

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions,
 features, or characteristics of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE with any claim of the
 PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

14 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 15 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 16 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-17 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 18 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 19 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 20 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 21 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 22 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 23 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO." 24

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents comparing Blockbuster Online with the patents-in-suit and will produce
such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

2 All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions, features, or claims of the NCR PATENTS with any claim of the PATENTS-IN-3 SUIT. 4

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

5

1

6 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 7 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 8 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 9 10 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 11 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 12 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 13 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 14 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 15 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO." 16

17 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 18 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product 19 documents comparing the NCR patents with any claims of the patents-in-suit and 20 will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this 21 case.

22 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:**

23 All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions, 24 features, or claims of United States Patent. No. 5,459,306, issued on October 17, 25 1995, entitled "Method and System for Delivering On Demand, Individually Targeted Promotions," with any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT. 26

27 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by

1 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 2 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 3 4 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 5 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 6 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 7 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 8 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 9 10 term "RELATING TO." 11 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 12 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product 13 documents comparing the '306 patent with any claims of the patents-in-suit and 14 will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this 15 case. 16 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:** 17 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any patent, literature or prior art search conducted with respect to either of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT. 18 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:** 20 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by

- 19

reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 21 22 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 23 24 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 25 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 26 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 27 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28

47

USCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & HAN LLP

the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases
 for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term
 "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents discussing searches referred to in this request and will produce such
documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

8 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:**

9 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATIONS10 between BLOCKBUSTER and NETFLIX.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

12 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 13 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-14 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 15 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 16 17 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 18 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 19 20 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 21 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO." 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents constituting or recording communications between Blockbuster Inc. and Netflix, Inc., and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

| |||

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

2 DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully BLOCKBUSTER's
3 electronic mail, electronic mail backup, and electronic mail deletion policies.
4 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

5 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 6 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 7 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-8 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 9 10 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 11 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 12 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 13 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 14 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 15 term "BLOCKBUSTER."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably describe any potentially relevant email policies
of Blockbuster Inc. in effect between January 1, 2003 and the present and will
produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this
case.

22 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:**

23

24

1

1

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully each of BLOCKBUSTER's document retention policies in effect between January 1, 2003 to the present.

25 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-

1 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 2 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 3 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 4 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 5 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 6 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 7 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 8 term "BLOCKBUSTER."

9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
10 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
11 documents sufficient to reasonably describe any potentially relevant document
12 retention policies of Blockbuster Inc. during the stated period and will produce such
13 documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

14

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

15 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's expenditures
16 to develop and implement BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

17 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:**

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 18 19 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 20 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 21 22 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 23 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 24 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 25 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 26 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 27 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING TO." 28

50

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
 documents sufficient to reasonably describe expenditures to develop and implement
 Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not
 already been produced in this case.

6

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's expenditures
 to maintain and operate BLOCKBUSTERONLINE from launch to the present.
 <u>RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:</u>

10 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 11 12 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 13 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 14 15 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 16 17 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 18 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 19 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING 20 21 TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably describe expenditures to maintain and operate
Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not
already been produced in this case.

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:
2	All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER'S
3	consideration(s) of acquiring NETFLIX, including without limitation any
4	COMMUNICATION, plan, report, analysis and research.
5	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:
6	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
7	reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
8	documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-
9	product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent
10	that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further
11	specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous,
12	overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor
13	calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is
14	beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
15	The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
16	terms "BLOCKBUSTER," and "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."
17	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
18	will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
19	documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s acquiring Netflix and will produce such
20	documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.
21	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:
22	All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any actions taken by
23	BLOCKBUSTER RELATING TO the potential acquisition of NETFLIX,
24	including without limitation any plans or financial arrangements.
25	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:
26	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
27	reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
28	documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-
ER AN P	BLOCKBUSTER'S RESPONSES TO NETFLIX'S525252FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION C 06 2361 WHA

۷

product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 1 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 2 3 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 4 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 5 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 6 7 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO." 8 9 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 10 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing actions taken by Blockbuster Inc. to acquire Netflix and will 11 12 produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this 13 case. 14 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:** All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER'S 15 consideration of selling BLOCKBUSTERONLINE to any company, including 16 17 without limitation any COMMUNICATION, plan, report, analysis, or research. 18 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:**

19 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 20 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 21 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-22 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 23 24 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 25 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 26 27 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 28 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the

terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING TO."
 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
 documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s selling Blockbuster Online and will
 produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this
 case.

7

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

8 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the possibility of
9 BLOCKBUSTER'S obtaining a license to any of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT from
10 NETFLIX, including without limitation any COMMUNICATION, plan, report,
11 analysis, or research.

12

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

13 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 14 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 15 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-16 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 17 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 18 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 19 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 20 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 21 22 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 23 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s obtaining a license to the patents-in-suit
from Netflix and will produce such documents so located that have not already been
produced in this case.

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP

1	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:
2	All DOCUMENTS referred to or described an Pages 72 through 77 of
3	BLOCKBUSTER's July 28, 2006 Supplemented Initial Disclosures as documents
4	that may BLOCKBUSTER may use to support its claims and defenses.
5	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:
6	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
7	reference. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
8	will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
9	documents as requested by this request and will produce such documents so located
10	that have not already been produced in this case.
11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:
12	All DOCUMENTS RELATING to the categories of damages and the
13	computation thereof described on pages 77 through 79 of BLOCKBUSTER's July
14	28, 2006 Supplemented Initial Disclosures.
15	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:
16	Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by
17	reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks
18	documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-
19	product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent
20	that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further
21	specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous,
22	overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor
23	calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is
24	beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
25	The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the
26	term "RELATING TO."
27	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
28	will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product

.

1 documents showing the damages referred to in this request and will produce such 2 documents so located that have not already been produced in this case. 3 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:** 4 All DOCUMENTS on Page 79 of BLOCKBUSTER's July 28, 2006 5 Supplemented Initial Disclosures as documents supporting Blockbuster Inc.'s 6 damages. 7 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:** Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 8 9 reference. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster 10 will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product 11 documents as requested by this request and will produce such documents so located 12 that have not already been produced in this case. 13 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:** All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in 14 its ANSWER, ¶ 88, that "[t]he relevant product market...is the market for ON-15 LINE DVD rentals and related subscription services," including without limitation 16 17 all documents that tend to support or contradict that allegation. 18 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:** 19 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 20 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 21 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-22 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 23 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 24 25 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 26 27 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 28

terms "ON-LINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the relevant market
referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not
already been produced in this case.

7 8

13

1

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the market(s) in which ONLINE
DVD rental companies such as BLOCKBUSTERONLINE and NETFLIX compete
or may compete, including without limitation any reports, analyses, studies, or
statements made by BLOCKBUSTER, or on its behalf, concerning such market(s)
or market definitions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

14 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 15 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 16 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 17 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 18 19 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 20 21 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 22 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 23 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," "ON-LINE," and "RELATING TO." 24

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the market referred to in
this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been

produced in this case. 1

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

3 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the identity or characteristics of 4 actual or prospective competitors with ONLINE DVD rental companies, such as 5 **BLOCKBUSTERONLINE** and NETFLIX, including without limitation any 6 reports, analyses, studies, or statements made by BLOCKBUSTER, or on its behalf, 7 concerning actual or prospective competition from IN-STORE rentals, new or used 8 DVD sales, Broadband Internet, or video on demand.

9

2

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

10 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 11 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 12 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-13 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 14 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, 15 16 overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 17 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 18 19 The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 20 terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," "ON-21 LINE," and "RELATING TO."

22 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product 23 24 documents sufficient to reasonably identify competitors referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in 25 26 this case.

27 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in

58

its ANSWER, ¶ 88, that "the relevant geographical market is the United States and
 its territories as a whole," including without limitation all documents that tend to
 support or contradict that allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

5 Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by 6 reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks 7 documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-8 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent 9 that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further 10 specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor 11 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is 12 13 beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the 14 15 term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster
will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product
documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the market referred to in
this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been
produced in this case.

21 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:**

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in
its ANSWER, ¶ 89, that "throughout the relevant time period up to and including
the present, Netflix has had market power in the relevant product and geographical
markets," including without limitation all documents that tend to support or
contradict that allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

27 28

4

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by