

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES**

CASE NO. C 06-02361 WHA (JCS)

CASE NAME: NETFLIX,INC. v. BLOCKBUSTER,INC.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOSEPH C. SPERO

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Karen Hom

DATE: April 19, 2007 TIME: 18 mins

COURT REPORTER: Kathy Wyatt

**COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:
Daralyn Durie (telephonic)**

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:
Marshall Grossman (telephonic)
Jason Natoli (telephonic)**

PROCEEDINGS:

RULING:

1. Further Status Conference

Held.

**2. Pla' Motion to Compel Production of
Documents from Blockbuster [Docket No. 157]**

Denied without prejudice

NOTES: Mr. Grossman reported on the following 4 categories:

A. Restoration of 3 files - documents were reviewed and those identified as responsive and non-privilege were produced to Plaintiff on 4/16/7. Balance of the documents will be reviewed on 4/18 and sent to Plaintiff on 4/20/7.

B. E-mail/Hard drives - Review completed on 4/3/7 and documents identified as responsive and non-privilege were produced to Plaintiff on 4/5/7. Balance of documents will be produced to Plaintiff on 4/20/7.

C. Hollywood Documents - 1st batch search terms redacted documents will be delivered to Pla on 4/23/7. 2nd batch with additional search terms documents are in the review process and shall be delivered to Plaintiff by 5/2/7.

D. Redacted/Unredacted Board Minutes were submitted to the Court for *in camera review*. Dft produced to Pla a set of Board Minutes re: removal of certain redactions previously made along with a redactions log.

ORDERED AFTER HEARING: In connection with the privilege motion, any published or unpublished decisions in the area by the judges in the N. District shall be filed.

ORDER TO BE PREPARED BY: ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☒ Court

**CASE CONTINUED TO: 06/08/07 at 9:30 AM for hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
Re: Privilege Documents**

cc: Chambers, Karen