

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP  
 JEFFREY R. CHANIN - #103649  
 2 DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825  
 ASHOK RAMANI - #200020  
 3 710 Sansome Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704  
 4 Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 6 NETFLIX, INC.

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 9

10 NETFLIX, INC., a Delaware corporation,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 BLOCKBUSTER, INC., a Delaware  
 14 corporation, DOES 1-50,

15 Defendant.

Case No. C 06 2361 WHA (JCS)

**DECLARATION OF EUGENE M. PAIGE  
 IN SUPPORT OF NETFLIX'S MOTION  
 TO COMPEL**

Date: December 8, 2006  
 Time: 9:30 a.m.  
 Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

Complaint filed: April 4, 2006

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 I, EUGENE M. PAIGE, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before this Court, and am associated with  
3 Keker & Van Nest, LLP, counsel to Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Netflix, Inc.  
4 (“Netflix”). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called to testify as a  
5 witness thereto could do so competently under oath.

6 2. On August 31, 2006, Netflix served its First Set of Requests for the Production of  
7 Documents on Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff Blockbuster, Inc. (“Blockbuster”). A true  
8 and correct copy of those Requests is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9 3. On October 2, 2006, Blockbuster served its Responses and Objections to Netflix’s  
10 First Set of Requests for the Production of Documents. A true and correct copy of those  
11 Responses and Objections is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

12 4. Netflix sent a letter to Blockbuster on October 9, 2006, asking Blockbuster to remedy  
13 certain deficiencies in its Responses and Objections. A true and correct copy of the letter is  
14 attached hereto as Exhibit C.

15 5. On October 13, 2006, the parties personally met and conferred in San Francisco  
16 regarding the deficiencies in Blockbuster’s Responses and Objections. Although some issues  
17 were resolved then and subsequent to then, the parties could not come to an agreement on all  
18 issues..

19 6. On October 25, 2006, Netflix sent a letter to Blockbuster memorializing the parties’  
20 telephonic meet-and-confer session that took place on that date. A true and correct copy of that  
21 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

22 7. On October 26, 2006, Netflix sent a letter to Blockbuster, memorializing the parties’  
23 telephonic meet-and-confer session that took place on that date. A true and correct copy of that  
24 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

25 8. The parties outlined the discovery issues that remain in contention in a joint letter  
26 executed on November 2, 2006. A true and correct copy of that letter is attached hereto as  
27 Exhibit F.

28 //

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
2 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 2nd day of November, 2006, at San Francisco,  
3 California.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Eugene M. Paige  
EUGENE M. PAIGE