Netflix, Inc. v. Blockbuster, Inc. Doc. 89 Att. 21

NETFLIX, INC. vs. BLOCKBUSTER INC.

CASE NO. C 06 2361 WHA (JCS)

BLOCKBUSTER INC.'S

EXHIBIT H

TO

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

filed on November 15, 2006

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
         NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
4
    NETFLIX, INC., a Delaware
                                         ORIGINAL
5
    corporation,
6
                      Plaintiff, ) No. C 06 2361 WHA
7
                                    ) VOLUME I
8
               vs.
    BLOCKBUSTER INC., a Delaware
9
10
     corporation, DOES 1-50,
                      Defendants. )
11
12
  AND RELATED COUNTER ACTION. )
13
14
15
16
          Deposition of ERIC P. MEYER, at
17
          1620 26th Street, Santa Monica,
18
          California, commencing at 9:05 A.M.,
19
          Friday, September 22, 2006, before
20
          Judith A. Mango, CSR No. 5584.
21
22
23
24
     PAGES 1 - 268
25
                                                         1
```

:-

| 1 | APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: |
| 4 | , |
| 5 | KEKER & VAN NEST |
| 6 | BY: JEFFREY R. CHANIN, ESQ. |
| 7 | 710 Sansome Street |
| 8 | San Francisco, California 94111-1704 |
| 9 | (415) 391-5400 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | FOR THE DEFENDANTS: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP |
| 14 | BY: MARSHALL B. GROSSMAN, ESQ. |
| 15 | WILLIAM J. O'BRIEN, ESQ. |
| 16 | TONY D. CHEN, ESQ. |
| 17 | DOMINIQUE THOMAS, ESQ. |
| 18 | 1620 26th Street |
| 19 | Fourth Floor, North Tower |
| 20 | Santa Monica, California 90404-4060 |
| 21 | (310) 907-1000 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 24 | DAVID HYMAN |
| 25 | RYAN GULINO, VIDEO OPERATOR |

| 1 | VIDEO OPERATOR: Good morning. We are on | 08:58 AM |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | the record at 9:05 A.M. on September 22, 2006 for the | |
| 3 | videotaped deposition of Eric P. Meyer. We are | |
| 4 | taping this deposition at 1620 26th Street, Fourth | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| 5 | Floor, North Tower in Santa Monica, California on | 09:05 AM |
| 6 | behalf of the defense in the action entitled | |
| 7 | "NetFlix, Inc. versus Blockbuster, Inc. and DOES 1 | |
| 8 | through 50." The case number is C 06 2361 WHA. | |
| 9 | My name is Ryan Gulino. I'm the legal | |
| 10 | videographer from Veritext Court Reporting Services, | 09:06 AM |
| 11 | located at 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1775 in Los | |
| 12 | Angeles. | |
| 13 | This is Tape 1 of Volume I. Would counsel | |
| 14 | please identify yourselves for the record. | |
| 15 | MR. CHANIN: Jeffrey Chanin of Keker & Van | 09:06 AM |
| 16 | Nest on behalf of NetFlix and also representing Eric | |
| 17 | Meyer. | |
| 18 | MR. HYMAN: I'm David Hyman. I'm with | |
| 19 | NetFlix. | |
| 20 | MR. GROSSMAN: You are general counsel? | 09:06 AM |
| 21 | MR. HYMAN: Of NetFlix. | |
| 22 | MR. GROSSMAN: And the witness, Eric Meyer | |
| 23 | is here. On behalf of Blockbuster, I'm Marshall | |
| 24 | Grossman and to my left is Bill O'Brien, Tony Chen | |
| 25 | and Dominique Thomas. | 09:06 AM 3 |
| | | , |

| 1 | MR. CHANIN: Is this a good time for a | 09:53 AM |
|----|---|----------------|
| 2 | break, Marshall? | |
| 3 | MR. GROSSMAN: Not yet. | |
| 4 | MR. CHANIN: Okay. Can we take one within | |
| 5 | the next five minutes, please. | 09:53 AM |
| 6 | MR. GROSSMAN: Do you need a personal break? | |
| 7 | MR. CHANIN: I do. | |
| 8 | MR. GROSSMAN: Because we haven't been going | |
| 9 | for an hour. But if you need one. | *** |
| 10 | MR. CHANIN: I do. | 09:53 AM |
| 11 | MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead and take it, but | |
| 12 | keep it brief, please. Okay. We will come back in | |
| 13 | less than five minutes, please. | |
| 14 | VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record at 9:53 A.M. | |
| 15 | (Recess taken.) | 09:58 AM |
| 16 | VIDEO OPERATOR: We are back on the record | |
| 17 | at 9:58 A.M. | |
| 18 | BY MR. GROSSMAN: | |
| 19 | Q. You understand you are still under oath? | |
| 20 | A. Yes, I do. | 09:58 AM |
| 21 | Q. When you worked at NetFlix did you become | |
| 22 | familiar with a term called queue? | |
| 23 | A. Yes. | |
| 24 | Q. QUEUE, right? | |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 09:58 AM 35 |

| 1 | Q. What was your understanding of the meaning | 09:58 AM |
|----|---|----------------|
| 2 | of the word "queue" when you were working at NetFlix? | - |
| 3 | A. There is a technical definition of queue. | |
| 4 | It is let me just word it correctly. It is I'm | |
| 5 | not sure if the meaning didn't evolve over time. My | 09:59 AM |
| 6 | recollection of the definition would be a prioritized | |
| 7 | set of DVD titles that a specific subscriber would | |
| 8 | like to receive. | |
| 9 | Q. Were you familiar with the concept of a | |
| 10 | queue as being a sequence of work objects that are | 09:59 AM |
| 11 | waiting to be processed? Were you ever familiar with | 1 |
| 12 | that concept of the queue? | |
| 13 | A. I'm not sure what you mean by your | |
| 14 | definition. Can you repeat it, please. | |
| 15 | Q. A queue does not have to be limited to DVDs, | 10:00 AM |
| 16 | does it? | |
| 17 | MR. CHANIN: Excuse me. I'm going to object | |
| 18 | to the form of the question as being overbroad and | |
| 19 | ambiguous without context. | |
| 20 | BY MR. GROSSMAN: | ! |
| 21 | Q. Before going to work for NetFlix you were | |
| 22 | familiar with the concept of queues, weren't you? | |
| 23 | A. Yes. | |
| 24 | Q. And how did you familiar with the concept of | |
| 25 | queues before you ever went to work at NetFlix? | 10:00 AM 36 |

| 1 | A. There are multiple definitions of queues | 10:00 AM |
|----|---|----------------|
| 2 | that exist. There is the English language one. | |
| 3 | There is also a technical computer science term | į |
| 4 | called queue. | |
| 5 | Q. And what does queue mean in the practice of | 10:01 AM |
| 6 | a computer scientist or a software engineer? | |
| 7 | A. It is in technical terms it's a FIFO | |
| 8 | list. That is, first in/first out list. | |
| 9 | Q. So there is more than one object in the | |
| 10 | queue, so to speak? | 10:01 AM |
| 11 | A. Yes. | |
| 12 | Q. And the objects are processed in a | |
| 13 | particular order, correct? | |
| 14 | A. There is no indication there is no | |
| 15 | indication of process. It is a structure. | 10:02 AM |
| 16 | Q. It's a structure? | |
| 17 | A. Yes. | |
| 18 | Q. The items on the list are prioritized, | |
| 19 | correct? | |
| 20 | A. Technically they are not prioritized. They | 10:02 AM |
| 21 | are ordered. | |
| 22 | Q. They are ordered. They are ordered one, | |
| 23 | two, three, four; whatever, correct? | |
| 24 | A. There's no numbering associated with it. | |
| 25 | Q. There is a list, correct? | 10:03 AM 37 |
| 1 | | |

| 1 | A. It is a technic | cal list. | 10:03 A | AM |
|----|---|--------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 2 | Q. And when did y | ou first become familiar with | | |
| 3 | the concept of a queue being a technical list of some | | | |
| 4 | sort? | • | | |
| 5 | A. Early '80's. | | 10:03 | AM |
| 6 | Q. And how did yo | ou become familiar with that? | | |
| 7 | A. Computer scien | ce classes. | | |
| 8 | Q. And in order t | to have a technical list there | | |
| 9 | has to be something at | the top of the list and | | |
| 10 | something at the bottom | of the list, correct? | 10:03 | AM |
| 11 | A. Well, actually | , there are queues which are | | |
| 12 | linear and there are qu | neues which are circular. | | |
| 13 | There are different for | rms of queues. There's a lot | | |
| 14 | of literature on queues | S. | | |
| 15 | Q. What type of q | queue was it that was in | 10:03 | MA |
| 16 | operation at NetFlix? | Was that lineal, circular | | |
| 17 | or | | | |
| 18 | A. From a technic | cal point of view it was not a | | |
| 19 | queue. | | | |
| 20 | Q. It was not a d | queue at NetFlix? | 10:04 | AM |
| 21 | A. No. | | | |
| 22 | Q. What was it? | | | |
| 23 | A. It was the | re is no computer science | | |
| 24 | technical term for it. | | | |
| 25 | Q. Well, you cal. | led it a queue, right? | 10:04 | AM 38 |
| | | | | |

```
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                             ) ss:
1
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
                             )
2
3
         I, JUDITH A. MANGO, CSR No. 5584, do hereby
4
5
    certify:
6
         That the foregoing deposition of ERIC P. MEYER
7
     was taken before me at the time and place therein set
8
     forth, at which time the witness was placed under
 9
     oath and was sworn by me to tell the truth, the whole
10
     truth, and nothing but the truth;
11
12
         That the testimony of the witness and all
13
     objections made at the time of the examination were
14
     recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
15
     transcribed under my direction and supervision, and
16
     that the foregoing pages contain a full, true and
17
     accurate record of all proceedings and testimony to
18
     the best of my skill and ability.
19
20
         I further certify that I am neither counsel for
21
     any party to said action, nor am I related to any
22
     party to said action, nor am I in any way interested
23
     in the outcome thereof.
24
25
                                                           263
```

| 1 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name |
|----|---|
| 2 | this 4th day of October, 2006. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Jullet M. Mingel |
| 7 | JUDITH A. MANGO, CSR No. 5584 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | 264 |