

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION,

No. MDL 05-1699 CRB

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
*This document relates to:*

Jose Cruz Nina, 06-2438  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

Now pending before the Court is the motion of the Sanders Viener Grossman, LLP law firm, counsel for the above-named Plaintiff, to withdraw as counsel of record in this matter.

After carefully considering the motion, the Court ORDERS PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE as to why (1) counsel’s motion to withdraw as counsel of record should not be granted; and (2) Plaintiff’s lawsuit should not be dismissed for a lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. If Plaintiff wishes to contest the withdrawal of counsel and/or dismissal of Plaintiff’s lawsuit for failure to prosecute, Plaintiff shall notify the Court **in writing** on or before **August 20, 2010** of the reasons the withdrawal should not be granted or the case dismissed. If Plaintiff will be proceeding without a lawyer, Plaintiff must advise the Court of how the Court should contact Plaintiff.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Plaintiff is warned that Plaintiff's failure to communicate with the Court in writing as set forth above may result in dismissal of Plaintiff's claims with prejudice.

Plaintiff's counsel shall ensure that Plaintiff receives a copy of this Order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 22, 2010



---

HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge