1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

AUTHORITY,

11 12 For the Northern District of Californi 13 14 15 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER and** ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, No. C 06-02560 JSW Plaintiffs, NOTICE OF QUESTIONS UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY and NORTH COAST RAILROAD Defendants.

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS FOR THE HEARING SCHEDULED ON JANUARY 8, 2010 AT 9:00 A.M.:

The Court has reviewed the parties' papers and, thus, does not wish to hear the parties reargue matters addressed in those pleadings. If the parties intend to rely on authorities not cited in their briefs, they are ORDERED to notify the Court and opposing counsel of these authorities reasonably in advance of the hearing and to make copies available at the hearing. If the parties submit such additional authorities, they are ORDERED to submit the citations to the authorities only, with pin cites and without argument or additional briefing. Cf. N.D. Civil Local Rule 7-3(d). The parties will be given the opportunity at oral argument to explain their reliance on such authority. The Court suggests that associates or of counsel attorneys who are working on this case be permitted to address some or all of the Court's questions contained herein.

1			
2			
3	The parties shall each have 15 minutes to address the following questions:		
4		at is the status of the proceedings before the Co	
5	a. b.	For when is the <i>de novo</i> hearing scheduled What impact, if any, does the appeal before CUE VI's implementation of the Supplementation	e the Coastal Commission have on
6	c.	What impact, if any, does the appeal before CUE VI's compliance with the order to aba	e the Coastal Commission have on
7	2. Does	es CUE VI need any permits other than the one	s listed in paragraph 5 of the
8	Supp	plemental Declaration of Lawrence S. Bazel to rim Remedial Action Plan?	begin working on the Supplemental
9	a. b.	If so, what permits? Has CUE VI received or made attempts to:	receive the permits required to
10		implement the Supplemental Interim Reme	edial Action Plan?
11		when does CUE VI anticipate completing its in rim Remedial Action Plan?	mplementation of the Supplemental
12	a.	Is CUE VI is doing anything differently to	comply with the order to abate the
13		nuisance as opposed to what it is doing to i Remedial Action Plan?	
14	b.	If so, by when does CUE VI anticipate con abatement order?	npleting compliance with the
15	4. By when does Ms. Tang intend to return from maternity leave?		
16	5. Do the parties have anything further they wish to address?		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18			
19	Dated: January 6, 2010 Life your Swhite		leffuy Swhite
20	JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUI		NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			