27

28

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs (collectivel	y, "Gabana") and defenda	nts (collectively, "	'Gap") hereby s	tipulat
and agree as follows:				

- 1. Gabana filed its original complaint in this action on April 14, 2006, and filed its operative Second Amended Complaint on October 31, 2007, asserting claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fraud, and unfair competition. *See* Docket No. 241.
- 2. Gap counterclaimed for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and unfair competition. *See* Docket No. 36.
- 3. On November 19, 2007, this Court granted summary judgment for Gap on Gabana's claims for breach of contract and fraud, but denied Gap's motion for summary judgment on Gabana's claims for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and unfair competition. *See* Docket No. 285.
- 4. The Court also granted summary judgment for Gabana on Gap's claim for breach of the implied covenant (*see id.*), but denied Gabana's motion for summary judgment on Gap's contract claim, a ruling the Court reaffirmed in denying Gabana's motion for reconsideration on December 10, 2007. *See* Docket No. 299.
- 5. The claims remaining in this case after this Court's summary-judgment rulings were Gabana's claims for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and for unfair competition; and Gap's claim for breach of contract (the "Remaining Claims").
- 6. On December 17, 2007, the parties stipulated that in the event that the Court of Appeals were to affirm this Court's summary-judgment ruling on Gabana's breach of contract claim, the parties would dismiss all of the Remaining Claims with prejudice, each side bearing its own fees and costs, thus ending this case. *See* Docket No. 300-2 ¶ 3.
- 7. The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed this Court's summary-judgment ruling on August 24, 2009. *See* Docket No. 319. On September 17, 2009, the Ninth Circuit denied Gabana's Petition for Panel Rehearing. *See* Docket No. 321.
 - 8. Pursuant to their December 17, 2007 stipulation, therefore, the parties now

1	respectfully request that this Court dismiss the Remaining Claims with prejudice, each side			
2	bearing its own fees and costs, thus ending this case. ¹			
3		SO STIPULATED,		
4	Dated: September 24, 2009	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP		
5	Dated. September 24, 2009	KEKEK & VAIN NEST, ELI		
6				
7		By: /s/ Dan Jackson DAN JACKSON		
8		Attorneys for Defendants GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., THE		
9		GAP, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, and OLD NAVY, LLC		
11	Dated: September 24, 2009	HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY		
12		FALK & RABKIN		
13				
14		By: Concurrence obtained per General Order 45.X.B		
15		MARTIN R. GLICK Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16		GABANA GULF DISTRIBUTION, LTD., and GABANA DISTRIBUTION, LTD.		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	As in the parties' December 17, 2007 stipu	ulation, however, Gap specifically reserves its right to		
27	with Gabana, for legal fees, costs and other	n the indemnification provisions in Gap's contracts expenses incurred in connection with Roots Ready		
28	Made Garments Co. W.L.L., v. The Gap, Inc. et al., Case No. C 07 3363 CRB (N.D. Cal.). Gabana disputes that Gap has any legal basis for obtaining such indemnification.			

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:				
3	Gabana's claims for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and				
4	unfair competition are HEREBY DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.				
5	Gap's claim for breach of contract is HEREBY DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.				
6	This case is now closed.				
7	The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.				
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
9					
10	Date: September 24, 2009				
11	HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

451137.02