

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JOHN JOE LARA,

No. C 06-3138 MHP (pr)

9 Petitioner,

ORDER

10 v.

11 JAMES A. YATES, warden,

12 Respondent.
13 _____/

14 Petitioner's motion for default judgment is DENIED. (Docket # 32.) Respondent is
15 not and was not in default when the motion was filed.

16 Petitioner sent another document (docket # 33) in which he asked two questions in
17 need of answers. First, he asked whether he needs to change the name of the respondent
18 because he has been moved to a new prison. The answer is that he does not need to do so.
19 Second, he asked whether he has a new deadline is in light of the extension of time granted to
20 respondent. The answer is that his deadline is extended by a like amount as the respondent
21 obtained. Petitioner must file and serve his traverse no later than **July 24, 2009**. (The court
22 notes with dismay that respondents in habeas actions repeatedly submit proposed orders for
23 extensions of time that provide new deadlines for them but not for petitioners, which creates
24 needless confusion for unrepresented petitioners.)

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: May 12, 2009

27 
28 _____
Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge