UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PALMER SYS	TEMS INC.	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 06 3530 MJJ
v. MICHELLE GREEN ET AL.		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
Coun following sti	isel report that they have met a pulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R., 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
Cour ✓	t Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Al Early Neutral Evaluation (El Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
ADR phone	more likely to meet their needs	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for tle 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	te Process: Private ADR (please identif)	process and provider)
The parties a	gree to hold the ADR session to the presumptive deadline 777	oy. He deadline is 90 days from the date of the order
-	referring the case to an ADR	process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline	
Dated: 8/8/200	06	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/8/2006		Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order.

othe

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/22/2006

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE