Gunnison v. Pfizer, Inc.			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
	LIMITED CTATEC	DISTRICT COLIDT	
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10	SAN FRANCIS	SAN FRANCISCO DI VISION	
11		1	
12 13	IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICE, AND	No. MDL 05-01699	
	PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION		
14		ORDER VACATING DISMISSAL	
15	This Document Relates to:		
16	Wayne Gunnison v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., 06-3665 CRB		
17 18			
19	WHEDE A C A 20, 2000 Dis		
20	WHEREAS, on August 28, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel moved to withdraw as counsel		
21	for Plaintiff;		
22	WHEREAS, on September 3, 2009, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause		
22	requiring Plaintiff to notify the Court in writing on or before September 25, 2009 of the		
24	reasons why his case should not be dismissed;		
25	WHEREAS, on September 23, 2009, Plaintiff sent a letter to the Court by Federal		
26	Express indicating that he intends to proceed with his case, but the letter inadvertently was		
27	not posted on the docket such that Pfizer Inc. could be aware that Plaintiff had reached out		
28	to the Court; and		
20			
	-1-		
	ORDER VACATING DISMISSAL - M:05-CV-01699-CRB		

Doc. 10