28

STIPULATED REQUEST AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING PRETRIAL AND TRIAL SCHEDULE - CASE NO. C 06 3749 JSW

18972\1932179.1

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District ("BART") and Defendant and Counterclaimant GE Transportation Systems Global Signaling, LLC ("GETS") hereby stipulate and request that the Court modify the pre-trial and trial schedule set forth in its January 6, 2009 Order. The parties have been diligent in pursuing pre-trial discovery in this matter. Under the direction of the Special Master and with two prior extensions granted by the Court in its March 26, 2008 and January 6, 2009 Orders, counsel for GETS and BART have proceeded based upon the Court's approved discovery plan and revised schedule, and are now nearing completion of fact discovery. Despite the parties' best efforts, however, a relatively short extension of the fact and expert discovery deadlines, and corresponding extension of the dispositive motion and trial dates, is requested to permit the parties to complete discovery and adequately prepare for trial.

The Special Master, the Honorable William Bettinelli, joins the parties in requesting an adjustment of the dispositive motion and trial schedule. The Special Master has found that the parties have made substantial progress, but that a further extension of time is necessary for the parties to complete their on-going document production and deposition efforts as addressed and directed in the Special Master's prior orders, to prepare to disclose expert witnesses and to accommodate the unexpected medical leave of a key member of GETS' legal team. See Discovery Order Nos. 9 and 10, issued April 15, 2009 and April 23, 2009, respectively (Attached as Exhs. A and B).

The parties have made substantial and good faith progress with regard to factual discovery in this highly complex matter, but a further and relatively short, extension of time is necessary for the parties to complete their on-going discovery efforts. As the Special Master has been aware, BART is currently engaged in the process of locating specific and critical documents responsive to GETS' outstanding requests for cost and damages documents from among tens of thousands of boxes of documents stored at various BART locations. While the parties are diligently pursuing and cooperating in this process, as well as the scheduling of depositions and related written discovery, the parties anticipate that they need an additional four weeks to obtain, copy and review these documents and to permit analysis of these documents and the formulations of

28

opinions by their respective experts.

Beyond the on-going document and deposition scheduling process, on March 23, 2009, one of the principal attorneys representing GETS since the inception of this matter, Carl Switzer, took emergency medical leave that is expected to last until mid-May. While GETS has enlisted the assistance of other counsel and is diligently proceeding with the discovery process noted above, the unexpected loss of a key member of GETS' legal team has hampered its ability to adequately prepare for and meet related fact and expert discovery deadlines in this matter. As a result, GETS requests this relatively short extension to the discovery schedule to accommodate Mr. Switzer's sudden medical leave, to which BART has agreed.

Because the extension to the discovery schedule would also impact subsequent pre-trial and trial deadlines in this matter, the parties request an extension of the relevant pre-trial and trial deadlines from this Court. Indeed, the extension of the fact discovery and expert discovery deadlines, without a corresponding continuance of the trial date, significantly reduces the time the Court's case management order originally allocated to the time between the close of discovery and trial. It would be beneficial to the parties and to the Court to allow sufficient time between the close of discovery and trial so that all dispositive motion and other pretrial proceedings be handled as efficiently as possible. In that regard, the parties note that the Court's order of March 26, 2008 (Docket No. 70) set a fact discovery close date of February 28, 2009 and trial date of October 5, 2009 – allowing a period of 219 days for all the post-fact discovery proceedings. The parties believe that this amount of time was selected because of complexity of certain issues presented by the litigation. The new fact discovery deadline narrows this period to just 146 days, which would not – without adjustment of the trial date – allow a comparable and adequate time for pre-trial activities.

	Case	3:06-cv-03749-JSW	Document 92	File	ed 04/30/2009	Page 4	of 5			
1		The parties have acco	rdingly met and co	onferre	ed and, with the a	ssistance	of the Special			
2	Maste	Master, stipulated to the proposed pre-trial and trial schedule, subject to the approval of the Court,								
3	as set forth below:									
4	•	Close of fact discover	y:		May 12, 2009					
5	•	Last day for expert dis	sclosures:		June 24, 2009					
6	•	Rebuttal expert disclosures:			July 21, 2009					
7	•	• Dispositive motion deadline:			August 31, 2009)	(June 12, 2009*)			
8	•	Opposition briefs to d	ispositive motions:	:	September 21,	2009	(July 2, 2009*)			
9	•	Reply briefs in suppor	rt of dispositive mo	otions	October 5, 200	9	(July 17, 2009*)			
10	•	Dispositive motion he		O	etober 30, 2009 at	t 9:00 a.m	(July 31, 2009*)			
11	•	• Jury Trial: (November 16, 2009 or thereafter*) February 1, 2010 at 8:00 a.m.								
12		* = Proposed Dates			conference: Janu					
13		IT IS SO STIPULAT		Ctriar	comerence. Jane	iary 11, 20	510 at 2.00 p.m.			
14										
15	DATI	ED: April 30, 2009	(CROV	VLEY, STRINGI	ER & FEN	NSKE LLP			
16										
17]	By: <u>/s</u> ,	John W. Crowle	ey				
18	John W. Crowley									
19				A	lditional Counsel	:				
20					chard Rosenberg osenberg Law Fir		r No. 067336)			
21	456 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1250									
22					lephone: (415) 6 csimile: (415) 3					
23					mail: rdr@rosen		rm.com			
24					torneys for Plain ounterdefendant	tiff and				
25				SA	AN FRANCISCO RANSIT DISTRI		REA RAPID			
26										
27										
28										
artel LLP t, 17th Floor 94104		ATED REQUEST AND [PROPOS YING PRETRIAL AND TRIAL SO		4 -			18972\1932179.1			

	Case 3:06-cv-03749-JSW	Document 92	Filed 04/30/2009	Page 5 of 5
1	DATED: April 30, 2009		FARELLA BRAUN +	MARTEL LLP
2				
3			By: /s/ James A. Bruen James A. Bruen	<u>. </u>
4				ndant and Counterclaimant ATION SYSTEMS
5			GE TRANSPORTA GLOBAL SIGNAL	ATION SYSTEMS LING, LLC
6				
7	PURSUANT TO STI	DI II ATION IT I	S SO OPDEDED	
8 9	TORSCANT TO STIL	OLATION, II I	S SO ORDERED,	
10			\sim	
11	DATED: May 1, 2009		Jeffrey	Swhits
12			HON RAHUE V United States Dist	EFFREY S. WHITE trict Judge
13				and the same
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
tel LLP 17th Floor	STIPULATED REQUEST AND (PROPOS	ED] ORDER		