	Case 3:06-cv-03761-SI Document 60	Filed 03/19/2009 Page 1 of 4					
1	James F. Basile (SBN 228965)						
2	jbasile@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP						
3	555 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104-1501						
4	Telephone: 415 439-1400 Facsimile: 415 439-1500						
5	Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents						
6	UNITED AIRLINES and UNITED AVIATION FUELS						
7							
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
12	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO,	Case No. C 06-3761 SI					
13	Plaintiff and Petitioner,						
14	v.	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL					
15	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION;	WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER					
16	CITY OF OAKLAND; UNITED AIRLINES; UNITED AVIATION FUELS; and DOES 1-20,						
17 18	Defendants and Respondents.	Judge: Hon. Susan Illston					
19	IT IS HERERY STIPLIL ATED by an	d between Plaintiff County of San Mateo ("Plaintiff"					
20	•	·					
21	or "County") and Defendants United Airlines and United Aviation Fuels (collectively "United")						
22	by and through their designated counsel that the above-captioned action be and is hereby dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1) as follows:						
23							
	WHEREAS, on February 3, 2009 the Superior Court of California for the County of San						
24	Francisco issued a judgment in County of San Mateo v. State Board of Equalization, et al., No.						
25	07-459514 (the "State Court Litigation"), dismissing that case, based on a failure to exhaust						
26	administrative remedies, without prejudice to the County refiling that case when the State Board						
27	of Equalization completes the administrative review triggered by the County's submission of a						
28	BOE-549 form; and						
	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL	CASE NO. C 06-3761 SI					

WHEREAS, the County intends to appeal that ruling; and

WHEREAS, if the appeal is unsuccessful, the County intends to refile a state court action after the administrative review is completed;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AND STIPULATE that the above-captioned action is dismissed without prejudice on the following terms:

- 1. Plaintiff County shall not refile this action (Case. No. C 06-3761 SI) against United in any forum, state or federal, or bring any claim related to the subject of the litigation until the later of:
- A. If the County's appeal of the existing judgment in the State Court Litigation is successful, sixty (60) days after a final judgment on the merits determining the validity of Regulation 1699(h) in the State Court Litigation. Or, in the event of an appeal of that subsequent judgment, sixty (60) days after the completion of any subsequent appellate proceedings; or
- B. If the County chooses not to appeal the existing judgment in the State Court Litigation or the appeal is unsuccessful, sixty (60) days after a final judgment on the merits determining the validity of Regulation 1699(h) in any subsequent suit filed by the County against the State Board of Equalization. Or, in the event of an appeal of the final judgment on the merits in that subsequent action, sixty (60) days after the completion of any subsequent appellate proceedings.
- 2. Furthermore, the statutes of limitations for all claims brought by Plaintiff in this action are tolled from May 16, 2006 until the later date of sub-paragraph A or B of paragraph 1 above.
- 3. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs incurred in the prosecution and defense of this action, as well as the negotiations relating to this stipulation.
- 4. Plaintiff will give United thirty (30) days advance notice before bringing any claim in any forum against United.

	Case 3:06-cv-03761-SI	Document 60	Filed 03/19/2009	Page 3 of 4	
1 2	Dated: March 19, 2009	KIR	KLAND & ELLIS LLI	Р	
3		Dvv	/s/ Jamas F. Pasila		
4		J. J	/s/ James F. Basile ames F. Basile		
5		Į	Attorneys for Defendan JNITED AIRLINES an	ts and Respondents	
6		J	UNITED AVIATION FUELS		
7	Dated: March 19, 2009	MIC	CHAEL P. MURPHY, O	COUNTY COUNSEL	
8					
9		Den	/a/ David A Silkovana	_	
10		-	By: <u>/s/ David A. Silberman</u> David A. Silberman, Deputy		
11		A	Attorneys for Plaintiff a	nd Petitioner	
12		(COUNTY OF SAN MA	ATEO	
13	Pursuant To Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court hereby ORDERS Case No. C 06-3761 SI Dismissed without Prejudice.				
14					
15					
16					
17 18	Dated:	H	Ion. Susan Illston		
19		U	nited States District Co	ourt Judge	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL		- 3 -	CASE NO. C 06-3761 SI	

	Case 3:06-cv-03761-SI Docur	ment 60	Filed 03/19/2009	Page 4 of 4
1	GENER	AL ORDE	R 45 ATTESTATIO	N
2	GENER	AL ORDE	K 43 MTESTATIO	
3	I, James F. Basile, am the I	ECF user w	whose ID and passwor	rd are being used to file this
4	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAI			
5	Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that I			
6				Ü
7			/s/ James F. Basile	ile
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 27 \end{bmatrix}$				
28				
	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL	-	4 -	CASE NO. C 06-3761 SI