Espinosa et al v. City and County of San Francisco et al

Doc. 213

1 2

3

5

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

> 14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

2728

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the Court ordered limited re-opening of non-expert discovery in this matter at the Further Case Management Conference on April 13, 2012, with limited non-expert discovery closing on July 13, 2012;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs timely served written discovery on Defendants on April 16, 2012, via facsimile and first-class mail;

WHEREAS, on May 14, 2012, Defendants requested and Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to allow Defendants an additional month to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests;

WHEREAS, in exchange for the month-long extension of time to respond to discovery,

Defendants agreed to stipulate to Plaintiffs' request for an extension of time to complete limited nonexpert discovery if such an extension was necessitated by Defendants' requested extension of time to
respond to discovery;

WHEREAS, Defendants partially responded to Plaintiff's discovery requests on June 25, 2012;

WHEREAS, Defendants June 25, 2012 responses include some substantive responses, and also included redaction of and withholding of certain responsive documents on the basis of asserted privileges, and a privilege log;

WHEREAS, the parties are now involved in a discovery dispute that is expected to require a joint meet and confer letter to the Court and an Order from the Court to resolve;

WHEREAS, if the discovery dispute is not resolved, the parties will file their joint meet and confer letter with the Court prior to the current July 13, 2012, limited non-expert discovery cutoff;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs cannot complete limited fact discovery by the July 13, 2012 deadline under these circumstances;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs expect they will need to take certain depositions if the Court orders further discovery to be produced by Defendants.

The parties stipulate and jointly request the Court for an Order extending fact discovery by a period of one month (30 days) from the date the Court rules on the parties' discovery dispute, or the

Case3:06-cv-04686-JSW Document212 Filed06/26/12 Page3 of 4

1	date Defendants produce documents as may be ordered by the Court on the instant discovery disput	
2	whichever date is later.	
3	SO STIPULATED.	
4		
5	Dated: June 26, 2012	The Law Offices of John L. Burris
6		
7		/s/ Benjamin Nisenbaum
8		Benjamin Nisenbaum, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs
9		
10		
11	Dated: June 26, 2012	Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney Joanne Hoepper, Chief Trial Attorney
12		Blake P. Loebs, Deputy City Attorney
13		/s/ Blake P. Loebs
14		Blake P. Loebs, Attorney for Defendants
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

FURTHER STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND LIMITED FACT DISCOVERY Case No. C 06 04686 JSW -

3

1 ORDER 2 Very good cause shown, based on the representation of counsel for the parties in their joint 3 stipulation, the Court hereby grants the parties' stipulation and orders that the deadline to complete 4 limited non-expert discovery is hereby extended to one month (30 days) from the date the Court rules 5 on the parties' discovery dispute, or the date Defendants produce documents as may be ordered by 6 7 the Court on the instant discovery dispute, whichever date is later. The Court FURTHER ORDERS that the dispute referenced above and all further discovery disputes 8 are HEREBY REFERRED to a randomly assigned Magistrate Judge. If the parties do not receive a 9 referral within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order, please contact this Court's Courtroom PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 10 Deputy, Jennifer Ottolini, at 415-522-4173. IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 y SWhits June 27, 2012 Dated: 12 13 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 15 16 17 cc: Magistrate Judge Referral Clerk 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28