Louden v. Sprgie et al Doc. 62

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney 2 JOANNE HÖEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy 3 KIMBERLY A. BLISS, State Bar #207857 Deputy City Attorney 4 Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor 5 San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-3861 (415) 554-3837 6 Facsimile: E-Mail: kimberly.bliss@sfgov.org 7 Attorneys for Defendants 8 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, SGT. DEAN SORGIE, LT. DANIEL LEYDON, 9 OFFICER IGNACIO MARTINEZ, OFFICER BRIAN PHILPOTT AND OFFICER ED ROBLES 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 J. HADLEY LOUDEN. Case No. 06-4762 MHP 14 **DEFENDANTS' FURTHER CASE STATUS** Plaintiff, 15 CONFERENCE STATEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING FURTHER CASE STATUS VS. 16 **CONFERENCE TO DECEMBER 29, 2008** SGT. DEAN SORGIE (#2245), LT. 17 DANIEL LEYDON (#2243), Officers October 24, 2008 Date: IGNACIO MARTINEZ (#1102), BRIAN Time: 3:00 p.m. 18 PHILPOTT (#925) and ED ROBLES Hon. M. Patel Judge: (#1467), and The CITY AND COUNTY 19 OF SAN FRANCISCO, Removal Date: August 7, 2006 Not Set Trial Date: 20 Defendants. 21 22 The parties in this case reached a tentative settlement, which, pursuant to the City's 23 24 Charter, is subject to approval first by the San Francisco Police Commission (the "Commission") and then the San Francisco Board of Supervisors (the "Board"). If and when both the 25 Commission and the Board approve the proposed settlement, Plaintiff will dismiss this lawsuit. 26 Accordingly, by Stipulation and Order, the Court set a Further Case Status Conference 27 for October 24, 2008 at 3:00 p.m. Defendants now file this Further Case Status Conference 28 N:\LIT\LI2006\070044\00516201.DOC Defendants' Further CMC Statement; [Proposed] Order Louden v. CCSF et al., USDC Case No. C06-4762 MHP

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Statement to inform the Court that the settlement is still being considered by the Board of
2	Supervisors' Rules Committee. Pursuant to the City's Charter, if and when the Rules Committee
3	approves the settlement, the settlement must still be considered by the full Board at two
4	regularly-noticed Board meetings and then be presented to the Mayor for signature. Counsel for
5	the defendants is informed and believes that the earliest date the settlement could be finally
6	approved is November 28, 2008. It then usually takes a short period for the Controller's office to
7	issue the settlement check.
8	Counsel for the defendants will be on an overseas vacation from November 17, 2008
9	through December 18, 2008. Accordingly, defendants propose that the Court set a Further Case
10	Status Conference for Monday, December 29, 2008 at 3:00 p.m. Defendants propose that the
11	parties file a Stipulation of Dismissal or a Joint Further Case Status Conference Statement
12	describing the status of the settlement approval on or before December 24, 2008.
13	Dated: October 17, 2008  DENNIS J. HERRERA
14	City Attorney
15	JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy
16	
17	By: /s/ Kimberly A. Bliss
18	KIMBERLY A. BLISS
19	Attorneys for Defendants
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Counsel for defendants attempted to reach counsel Plaintiff's counsel by telephone and

28

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Counsel for defendants attempted to reach counsel Plaintiff's counsel by telephone and email on October 16, 2008, in hopes of filing this status conference statement and request for a continuance jointly. Plaintiff's counsel was apparently unable to respond.

## ORDER

GOOD CAUSE appearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Further Case Status January 12, 2009
Conference on October 24, 2008 at 3:00 p.m. is continued to December 29, 2008 at 3:00 p.m.

The parties shall submit a stipulation of dismissal or a further case management conference

statement on or before December 24, 2008.

Dated: 10/17/08

