

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT REGINALD ESTRADA,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	No. C 06-4780 CRB (PR)
)	
vs.)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)	
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF)	
CALIFORNIA, et al.,)	
)	
Respondent(s).)	
_____)	

Petitioner, a state prisoner currently incarcerated at the Santa Clara County Jail, seeks a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a December 28, 2005 judgment of conviction from the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Santa Clara.

Prisoners in state custody who wish to challenge collaterally in federal habeas corpus proceedings either the fact or length of their confinement are first required to exhaust state judicial remedies, either on direct appeal or through collateral proceedings, by presenting the highest state court available with a fair opportunity to rule on the merits of each and every claim they seek to raise in federal court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)-(c). Petitioner has not done so. He has

1 not presented the Supreme Court of California with an opportunity to consider
2 and rule on his claims. See O'Sullivan v. Boerckel, 526 U.S. 838, 845 (1999)
3 (state's highest court must be given opportunity to rule on claims even if review
4 is discretionary); Larche v. Simons, 53 F.3d 1068, 1071-72 (9th Cir. 1995)
5 (Supreme Court of California must be given at least one opportunity to review
6 state prisoners' federal claims). The petition for a writ of habeas corpus must be
7 DISMISSED without prejudice to refiling after state judicial remedies are
8 exhausted.

9 The clerk shall close the file and terminate all pending motions as moot.

10 SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: August 18, 2006

12 
13 _____
14 CHARLES R. BREYER
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28