1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	DALE BRETCHES,
9	Plaintiff, No. C 06-05277 JSW
10	v.
11	RICHARD KIRKLAND, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
12	Defendant. DISMISS AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO FILE
13	UNTIMELY COMPLAINT
14	
15	Now before the Court is the motion filed by Defendant Kirkland to dismiss the case
16	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) based on Plaintiff Bretches' failure to comply
17	with this Court's order dated September 16, 2009. In that order, the Court ordered Plaintiff to
18	file a Second Amended Complaint within ten days of September 16, 2009. Plaintiff failed to do
19	so.
20	Also before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to file an untimely amended complaint and
21	for relief based on excusable neglect. Essentially, Plaintiff's counsel argues that he failed to
22	receive notice of this Court's order dated September 16, 2009 and inadvertently neglected to
23	file the amended complaint timely. Counsel claims that he failed to receive the ECF
24	notification that the order had been issued.
25	The Court finds these motions appropriate for decision without oral argument. N.D.
26	Civ. L.R. 7-1(b). Accordingly, the Court HEREBY VACATES the hearing date of January 8,
27	2010. Having carefully reviewed the parties' papers, considered their arguments and the
28	relevant legal authority, the Court hereby DENIES Defendant's motion to dismiss and

GRANTS Plaintiff's motion to file an amended complaint. The Court admonishes counsel to
follow all ECF notifications carefully and that failure to comply with this Court's orders may
result in sanctions in the future.

Plaintiff may file a Second Amended Complaint by no later than ten days of this order. Defendants shall have thirty days from the date of the filing of the Second Amended Complaint in which to respond.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 22, 2009

JEFFREY/S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE