

1 SCHLICHTER, BOGARD & DENTON  
 JEROME J. SCHLICHTER (054513)  
 2 DANIEL V. CONLISK (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
 HEATHER LEA (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
 3 MARK G. BOYKO (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)  
 100 South Fourth Street, Suite 900  
 4 St. Louis, MO 63102  
 Telephone: (314) 621-6115  
 5 Facsimile: (314) 621-7151  
 jschlichter@uselaws.com  
 6 dconlisk@uselaws.com  
 hlea@uselaws.com

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class Representatives*

8 FUTTERMAN & DUPREE LLP  
 9 JAMIE L. DUPREE (158105)  
 160 Sansome Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 10 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 399-3840  
 11 Facsimile: (415) 399-3838  
 jdupree@dfdlaw.com

12 *Local Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class Representatives*

13  
 14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17 BEVERLY KANAWI and SALVADOR  
 18 AQUINO, as representatives of a class of  
 similarly situated person, and on behalf of the  
 19 Plan,

20 *Plaintiffs,*

21 v.

22 BECHTEL CORPORATION, THE BECHTEL  
 TRUST AND THRIFT PLAN COMMITTEE,  
 23 PEGGI KNOX, and FREMONT  
 INVESTMENT ADVISORS,

24 *Defendants.*

Case No. C 06-05566 CRB (EDL)

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING  
 PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
 APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO  
 FILE UNDER SEAL**

**[CIVIL LOCAL RULES 79-5 AND 7-11]**

Hon. Charles R. Breyer

1 On October 3, 2008, Plaintiffs Beverly Kanawi and Salvador Aquino (collectively  
2 “Plaintiffs”) filed an application for an order pursuant to Civil L. R. 79-5 permitting them to file  
3 under seal:

- 4 1. Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 5 2. Exhibits 7-12, 15-40, 42-55, 57-69, 71-78, 80-104, and 106 to the Declaration of Heather  
6 Lea (“Lea Decl.”), filed herein on October 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to  
7 Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 8 3. Confidential Supplemental Declaration of Edward O’Neal (“O’Neal Decl”)
- 9 4. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Al Otto (“Otto Decl”), filed herein on October 3,  
10 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for Summary  
11 Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 12 5. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Ross Miller (“Miller Decl”), filed herein on October  
13 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for Summary  
14 Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 15 6. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Paul Kampner (“Kampner Decl”), filed herein on  
16 October 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for  
17 Summary Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 18 7. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Steve Pomerantz (“Pomerantz Decl”), filed herein  
19 on October 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion  
20 for Summary Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 21 8. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of David Witz (“Otto Decl”), filed herein on October  
22 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for Summary  
23 Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).
- 24 9. Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Edward O’Neal (“O’Neal Decl”), filed herein on  
25 October 3, 2008, in support of Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Bechtel Defendants’ Motion for  
26 Summary Judgment (“Opposition to MSJ”).


27 The parties previously stipulated to the entry of a protective order in this case, which the  
28 Court entered on May 29, 2007 (the “Protective Order”) (Docket #81). Defendants produced a

1 number of documents which they designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY  
2 CONFIDENTIAL” under the Protective Order. Among the documents designated  
3 “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” were the above documents which Plaintiffs  
4 have cited and relied upon in the Opposition to MSJ..

5 The Court having considered Plaintiffs’ application and for good cause shown,  
6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the application is GRANTED and the above listed  
7 documents shall be filed under seal pursuant to Civil L.R.79-5.

8  
9 Dated: October 06, 2008

Hon. Charles R. Breyer  
United States District Court



10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28