

1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 FRANKLIN BROCKWAY GOWDY, SBN 47918
 2 D. WARD KALLSTROM, SBN 76937
 WILLIAM J. TAYLOR, SBN 72902
 3 JAMI WINTZ MCKEON, SBN 237923
 One Market, Spear Street Tower
 4 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
 Tel: 415.442.1000
 5 Fax: 415.442.1001
 E-mail: fgowdy@morganlewis.com
 6 dwkallstrom@morganlewis.com
wtaylor@morganlewis.com
 7 jmckeon@morganlewis.com

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 BECHTEL CORPORATION, THE BECHTEL
 9 TRUST & THRIFT PLAN COMMITTEE, AND
 PEGGI KNOX
 10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 BEVERLY KANAWI AND SALVADOR
 AQUINO,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 BECHTEL CORPORATION, THE
 18 BECHTEL TRUST & THRIFT PLAN
 COMMITTEE, PEGGI KNOX AND
 19 FREMONT INVESTMENT ADVISORS,

20 Defendants.

Case No. C 06-5566 CRB-EDL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 BECHTEL DEFENDANTS'
 ADMINISTRATIVE APPLICATION FOR
 AN ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL
 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE
 BECHTEL DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO
 PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

[CIVIL Local rules 79-5 and 7-11]

1 Having reviewed Defendants Bechtel Corporation (“Bechtel”), The Bechtel Trust & Thrift
2 Plan Committee (the “Committee”), and Peggi Knox (“Knox”) (collectively “Bechtel
3 Defendants”) Administrative Application for an Order to File Documents Under Seal Documents
4 Related to the Bechtel Defendants’ Reply to Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for
5 Summary Judgment, Documents Related to the Bechtel Defendants’ Objections to Factual
6 Assertions Made In Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment, the
7 Declaration of Sacha M. Steenhoek in Support of the Bechtel Defendants’ Application, and the
8 papers submitted by the Bechtel Defendants, the Bechtel Defendants’ Motion is hereby
9 GRANTED, and the Court orders the following document sealed:

10 1. Memorandum and Points of Authorities In Support of the Bechtel Defendants’
11 Reply to Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment.

12 2. The Bechtel Defendants’ Objections to Factual Assertions Made In Plaintiffs’
13 Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment.

14 3. Declaration of Sacha M. Steenhoek In Support of the Bechtel Defendants’ Reply
15 to Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment, and attached Exhibits A
16 through F.

17 4. Declaration of Peggi S. Knox In Support of the Bechtel Defendants’ Reply to
18 Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment, and attached Exhibits RRR
19 through TTT.

20 5. Declaration of David S. Foster, Esq., and attached Exhibit A.

21
22
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: May 13, 2009 ~~2008~~

