

1 CHERYL D. ORR (State Bar No. 143196)  
 HEATHER M. SAGER (State Bar No. 186566)  
 2 S. FEY EPLING (State Bar No. 190025)  
 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
 3 50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94105-2235  
 4 Telephone: (415) 591-7500  
 Facsimile: (415) 591-7510  
 5 E-mail: [cheryl.orr@dbr.com](mailto:cheryl.orr@dbr.com)  
[heather.sager@dbr.com](mailto:heather.sager@dbr.com)  
 6 [sfepling@dbr.com](mailto:sfepling@dbr.com)

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
 RGIS, LLC, erroneously sued herein as RGIS INVENTORY  
 8 SPECIALISTS, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 Trisha Wren, et al.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.,

16 Defendant.  
 17

Case No. 3:06-cv-5778 (JCS)

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
 SEEKING ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE  
 FOR SUBMISSION OF JOINT CASE  
 MANAGEMENT PLAN, PER COURT'S  
 FEBRUARY 6, 2009 ORDER

Honorable Joseph C. Spero

18  
 19 Plaintiffs Trisha Wren, *et al.* (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant RGIS, LLC (erroneously sued  
 20 as RGIS Inventory Specialists, Inc.) (“RGIS”) (collectively, the “Parties”) submit the following  
 21 Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Timing of Joint Case Management Submission,  
 22 pursuant to the Court’s February 6, 2009 Order (the “Order”):

23 The Court’s Order instructed the Parties to “meet and confer and submit to the Court  
 24 within the next fourteen (14) days a proposed schedule for the remainder of the case, including  
 25 dates for submitting to the Court a proposed notice to class members.” *See* Order, Docket No.  
 26 694, at 54:19-21. The fourteen day period contemplated by the Order will elapse this Friday,  
 27 February 20.  
 28

1 On Monday, February 9 (the first business day following the issuance of the Order),  
2 counsel for Plaintiff reached out to counsel for RGIS to schedule the meet and confer session.  
3 Counsel for RGIS promptly responded, and the Parties agreed to meet on Tuesday, February 17,  
4 the first date all counsel were available to discuss these issues. Early in the day on February 17,  
5 counsel for Plaintiff informed counsel for RGIS that they have a family medical issue they need  
6 to attend to, and asked whether the Parties could reschedule their discussion. Counsel for RGIS  
7 informed Plaintiff's counsel that they would be out of town and/or in deposition the remainder of  
8 the week and, thus, today was their only available day to meet and confer. Given the foregoing  
9 scheduling challenges, the Parties agreed to request the Court's permission for an additional  
10 week in which to meet and confer and prepare their submission. The Parties have stipulated to  
11 complete this process and submit the requested statement to the Court by Friday, February 27,  
12 and by this submission ask the Court's permission for this one-week continuance.

13 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

14  
15 February 17, 2009

SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL  
BRAYTON KONECKY LLP

16  
17  
18 By:           /s/Guy B. Wallace/s/            
19 Guy B. Wallace  
20 Counsel for Plaintiffs

21  
22 February 17, 2009

DRINKER, BIDDLE & REATH LLP

23  
24 By:           /s/Cheryl D. Orr/s/            
25 Cheryl D. Orr  
26 Heather M. Sager  
27 Counsel for RGIS, LLC

1           The Court has considered the grounds for the stipulation recorded herein and, finding  
2 that all Parties are acting in good faith and that good cause exists for accepting the stipulation,  
3 hereby endorses the Parties' request for a one-week continuance in which to submit the joint case  
4 management plan ordered by the Court via its February 6, 2009 Order (Docket No. 694).

5           IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: February <sup>23</sup>\_\_\_\_, 2009

