Doc. 832

Dockets.Justia.com

Wren et al v RGIS Inventory Specialists

I. MEDIATION REPORT

On April 22, 2010, the parties participated in a full day of mediation with the Honorable Edward Infante (Ret.). During the mediation, the parties narrowed their differences and agreed to reconvene on May 7, 2010, the next available date on Judge Infante's calendar. Given the progress made during the mediation and in the interest of conserving resources, the parties agreed to delay further the deposition of plaintiffs' expert Dr. Richard Martell until after the next mediation session. As a result, the parties respectfully submit for the Court's consideration a new joint scheduling order.

II. PROPOSED JOINT SCHEDULING ORDER

WHEREAS the parties have met and conferred regarding modifications to several dates set by the Court in its November 23, 2009 Case Management and Pretrial Order (Dkt. 805) and in the parties' February 10, 2010 stipulation entered by the Court (Dkt. 809);

WHEREAS the proposed modifications are needed to accommodate the progress the parties have made in mediation and the unforeseen circumstances regarding the availability of plaintiffs' expert Richard Martell;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their counsel of record that the current scheduling order in effect shall be modified as follows:

¹ Dr. Martell's deposition was originally scheduled for April 16, but was postponed due to an injury suffered by Dr. Martell. The parties have agreed to reschedule Dr. Martell's deposition on June 14.

1	
1	

_	_
2	8
_	0

Event	Current Date	Proposed
		New Date
Deadline for completion of expert	Apr. 16, 2010	June 14, 2010
depositions		(Dr. Martell
		only)
Deadline for proposed final joint pre-	Apr. 30, 2010	May 28, 2010
trial order (exhibits and witness lists)		
Deadline for motions in limine and	May 6, 2010	June-H, 2010
deposition designations		(except for
		possible
		Daubert motion
		related to Dr.
		Martell)
Further case management conference	May 7, 2010	June 25, 2010
and hearing on motion for		@ 9:30 AM
decertification of Cal. Lab. Code		
§ 226 claim		10
Deadline for jury instructions	May 21, 2010	June 25, 2010
File trial briefs on any controlling	May 21, 2010	July 2, 2010
issues of law, verdict forms (joint or		
separate), objections to exhibits,		
proposed voir dire, and objections to		
deposition designations		
Deadline for oppositions to motions	May 21, 2010	July 2, 2010
in limine		June 16, 2010

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Event	Current Date	Proposed
		New Date
Deadline for depositions of seven	June 11, 2010	July 2, 2010
trial witnesses per side identified in		
proposed pre-trial order		
Deadline for <i>Daubert</i> motion related	N/A	July 2, 2010
to Dr. Martell		
Deadline for amendments to final	N/A	July 2, 2010
joint pre-trial order relating to Dr.		
Martell		
Deadline to provide official record	June 15, 2010	July 6, 2010
exhibits and single bench binder set		
of exhibits		1 20 2010 @ 030
Pre-trial conference	June 25, 2010	July 29, 2010 @ 9:30 A July 16, 2010
Deadline for filing deposition	June 30, 2010	July 21, 2010
designations for seven trial witnesses		
per side identified in the pre-trial		
order		
Deadline for filing counter-	July 9, 2010	July 30, 2010
designations and objections to the		
deposition designations related to the		
seven trial witnesses per side		
Final date to arrange dailies or real-	Aug. 25 ,2010	Unchanged
time transcript		
Jury trial begins	Aug. 30, 2010	Unchanged

1	
2	Dated: April 28, 2010 SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON
3	KONECKY LLP
4	GOLDSTEIN, DEMCHAK, BALLER, BORGEN & DARDARIAN
5	/s/ David Borgen
6	David Borgen Counsel for Plaintiffs
7	
8	Dated: April 28, 2010 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
9 10	HAUER & FELD LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036
10	/s/ Joel M. Cohn
12	Joel M. Cohn Catherine A. Conway
13	Gregory W. Knopp Counsel for Defendants
14	
15	
16	<u>ORDER</u>
17	The Court, having reviewed the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing,
18	hereby modifies its Case Management and Pretrial Order consistent with the above
19	referenced dates.
20	STAR
21	IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED
22	Dated: April 30, 2010
23	Dated: April 30, 2010 HON SOSEPH C. SPERO
24	United States Magistrate Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	5

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	WASHINGTON, DC			
3	I am employed in Washington, D.C. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036. On February 19, 2010, I served the foregoing document described as: JOINT MEDIATION REPORT			
4	AND STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE REVISED CASE MANAGEMENT AND PRETRIAL ORDER			
5	on all interested parties listed on ECF service list			
6				
7	BY PERSONAL SERVICE I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).			
8 9 10	BY UNITED STATES MAIL I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the respective address(es) of the party(ies) stated above and placed the envelope(s) for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States			
11 12 13	Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid at Washington, DC. BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY I enclosed the document(s) in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the respective address(es) of the party(ies) stated above. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.			
14 15 16	BY FAX (C.C.P. § 1013(a),(e); CRC 2008) by transmitting said document(s) by electronic facsimile at approximately at 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 to the respective facsimile number(s) of the party(ies) as stated on the attached mailing list. The transmission was reported as complete without error. Attached is a true copy of the transmission report which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine.			
17 18 19	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the respective e-mail address(es) of the party(ies) as stated above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.			
20	⊠ (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Executed on April 27, 2010, at Washington, DC.			
23	Distant B			
24	Brittany Bremer [Print Name of Person Executing Proof] [Signature]			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	PROOF OF SERVICE			