## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOYD HALL III,	No. C 06-5812 MMC (PR)
Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
v.	ON APPEAL
B. CURRY, Warden,	) }
Respondent.	) ) )

On September 21, 2006, petitioner, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the above-titled petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner paid the filing fee and thus did not proceed in forma pauperis. On July 2, 2009, the Court denied the petition on the merits. Petitioner has filed a notice of appeal.

Petitioner now moves to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Petitioner, however, has not complied with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Appellate for filing such a request. Specifically, petitioner has not attached an affidavit that "shows in detail . . . the party's inability to pay or give security for the fees and costs." <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1)(A).

Petitioner having failed to comply with such requirement, petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis is hereby DENIED.

## **United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

Petitioner may, however, file	e with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals a motion to
proceed in forma pauperis pursuant	t to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(5).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: January 5, 2010	Makine M. Chelmen MAXINE M. CHESNEY C United States District Judge