1	Arlene Mayerson, State Bar No. 79310 Larisa Cummings, State Bar No. 131076 Silvia Yee, State Bar No. 222737
2	DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION AND DEFENSE FUND, INC.
3	3075 Adeline Street, Suite 210 Berkeley, CA 94703
4	Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645
5	amayerson@dredf.org lcummings@dredf.org syee@dredf.org
6	
7	Ira Burnim, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Jennifer Mathis, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> BAZELON CENTER FOR MENTAL HEALTH LAW
8	1101 – 15 th Street, N.W., Suite 1212 Washington, D.C, 20005-2002
9	Telephone: (202) 467-5730 Facsimile: (202) 223-0409
10	irab@bazelon.org jenniferm@bazelon.org
11	
12	Bruce Vignery, Pro Hac Vice AARP FOUNDATION LITIGATION
13	601 "E" Street, N.W., Bldg A4-170 Washington, DC 20049
14	Telephone: (202) 434-2060 Facsimile: (202) 434-2127
15	BVignery@aarp.org
16	Dennis J. Herrera (SBN 139669) City Attorney
17	Danny Chou (SBN 180240) Chief of Affirmative and Complex Litigation
18	James M. Emery (SBN 153630) Aleeta Van Runkle (SBN 124563)
19	Deputy City Attorneys Fox Plaza
20	1390 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408
21	Telephone: (415) 554-3987 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837
22	Dennis.Herrera@sfgov.org Danny.chou@sfgov.org
23	Jim.emery@sfgov.org Aleeta.van.runkle@sfgov.org
24	Attorneys for Defendant
25	City and County of San Francisco
26	
27	
28	ii
	MARK CHAMBERS, ET AL. V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO C06-06346 WHA, STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

REGARDING CONTINUING REPORTING AND JURISDICTION

The parties hereby stipulate as follows:

- Pursuant to Section XIX.A of the Settlement Agreement approved by the Court on September 18, 2008, (ECF Nos. 83-4 and 93), this Court's jurisdiction shall terminate as of September 18, 2011 except for Defendant's obligations under Section VIII.B, as set forth in Section XIX.B of the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Pursuant to Section XIX.B of the Settlement Agreement, the Court's jurisdiction shall terminate as to Section VIII.B on September 18, 2013 unless the Court, in its discretion, extends the term of the Settlement Agreement upon finding a material breach.
- 3. In furtherance of Plaintiffs' remaining monitoring obligations, and in order to provide Plaintiffs with information concerning the implementation of recent programmatic changes undertaken by Defendant to further Defendant's compliance with the Settlement Agreement, San Francisco agrees to provide the following reports and access to Class Member information to Plaintiffs' counsel:
 - a. Plaintiffs' counsel shall continue to have the same access to the DCIP database as under our current agreement until September 18, 2013;
 - b. San Francisco shall provide aggregate data, on a quarterly basis, on the following data elements identified in the Settlement Agreement, Attachment A: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 22, 23, 27, 31, 33 until September 18, 2013;
 - c. San Francisco shall provide, on a quarterly basis, QAIP reports, as well as any new indicators or protocols as they are developed until September 18, 2013;
 - d. San Francisco shall provide semi-annual Community Living Fund reports prepared for the Board of Supervisors;
 - e. The parties agree to meet quarterly, with City staff as necessary, to discuss and address issues related to information exchanged pursuant to Section 3(a)-(d) of this Stipulation until September 18, 2012. Prior to September 18, 2012, the parties shall meet and confer as to whether continued meetings are necessary and merited;

25

26

1	4. The parties agree that the dispute resolution provisions of the Settlement Agreement (Section						
2	XVI) remain in effect through September 18, 2013 as to Section VIII of the Settlement						
3	Agreement and the parties' obligations set forth in Section 3 of this Stipulation.						
4			Respectfully Submitted,				
5	Date: September 15, 2011	By:	/s/				
6			Aleeta van Runkle Attorneys for Defendant City and County				
7	,		of San Francisco				
8	Date: September 15, 2011	By:	/s/				
9	11 *	Dy.	Elissa Gershon				
10			Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
11							
12	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of						
13	periury that concurrence in the filing	perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of its signatories.					
14	Date: September 15, 2011	By:	/s/				
15			Elissa Gershon Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
16							
17		T IS SO	ORDERED. • • • •				
18		By:	My Ahr				
19		Бy.	William Alsup				
			UNITED STÄTES DISTRICT JUDGE				
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	3		2				

MARK CHAMBERS, ET AL. V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO C06-06346 WHA, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

REGARDING CONTINUING REPORTING AND JURISDICTION

1	U:\BAY\SHARED\Chambers\Settlement Implementation\Stipulation Regarding Continuing Reporting and Jurisdiction - FINAL - 9-15-11.docx
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
4	
15	
16 17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	Mark Chambers, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco C06-06346 WHA, Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Continuing Reporting and Jurisdiction