21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
11			
12	IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION		CASE NO. MDL 05-01699 CRB
13			
14			
15	This Document Relates to:		ORDER RE: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL
16	Barbara Hunter	06-6419 CRB	
17	Floyd Thanes	08-1411 CRB	
18	Stephanie Pearson	10-3060 CRB	
19			
20			

Now pending before the Court are the motions of counsel for the above-named Plaintiffs to withdraw as counsel of record for the above-named Plaintiffs.

After carefully considering the motions, the Court ORDERS PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE as to why (1) counsels' motions to withdraw as counsel of record should not be granted; and (2) Plaintiffs' lawsuits should not be dismissed for a lack of prosecution. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. If any Plaintiff wishes to contest the withdrawal of counsel and/or dismissal of Plaintiff's lawsuit for failure to prosecute, Plaintiff shall notify the Court <u>in writing</u> on or **before October 29, 2010** of the reasons the withdrawal should not be granted or the case dismissed. If any Plaintiff will be proceeding without a lawyer, such Plaintiff must advise the Court of how the Court should contact Plaintiff.

Plaintiffs are warned that Plaintiffs' failure to communicate with the Court in writing as set forth above may result in dismissal of Plaintiffs' claims with prejudice.

Plaintiffs' counsel shall ensure that Plaintiffs receive a copy of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 28, 2010

Hon. Charles R. Breyer United States District Court