| 1 | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO | RNIA |
| 8 | EVTENET SYSTEMS INC | No. 06-06536 MHP |
| 9 | EXTENET SYSTEMS, INC. | ODDED OF |
| 10 | Plaintiff(s), | ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR |
| 11 | VS. | <u>LACK OF</u> <u>PROSECUTION</u> |
| 12 | CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., | |
| 13 | / Defendant(s)/ | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | This action was filed on October 18, 2006. Substantial activity occurred between the filing | |
| 16 | date and the last status conference on October 7, 2008. At that time dates were set for the filing of | |
| 17 | motions and a hearing date reserved for December 8, 2008. Howe | ver, nothing has been filed since |
| 18 | that date and calls and/or e-mails to plaintiff's counsel by the Courtroom Deputy have not been | |
| 19 | answered. The court is aware that in the meanwhile a Ninth Circuit decision in a related case | |
| 20 | changed the lay-of-the-land. Nonetheless, that is not a reason to allow a case to lay dormant. | |

Therefore, this action is DISMISSED for lack of prosecution. If there is any reason to
reopen this case, plaintiff must timely move to reconsider this order and to reopen the action. This
dismissal is without prejudice.

MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge

Dockets.Justia.com

24

25

26

27

28

Date: February 2, 2011