

Marc Van Der Hout, CA SBN # 80778  
Stacy Tolchin, CA SBN # 217431  
Van Der Hout, Brigagliano & Nightingale, LLP  
180 Sutter Street, Fifth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 981-3000  
Facsimile: (415) 981-3003  
Email: ndca@vblaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

A.M. Rashed CHOWDHURY,  
Mumtaz CHOWDHURY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

David STILL, in his Official Capacity, District  
Director, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services,  
United States Department of Homeland Security, San  
Francisco, California; Christina POULOS, in her  
Official Capacity, Acting Director, U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration Services California Service Center,  
United States Department of Homeland Security;  
Michael CHERTOFF, in his Official Capacity,  
Secretary, United States Department of Homeland  
Security; and Alberto R. GONZALES, in his Official  
Capacity, Attorney General, U.S. Department of  
Justice.

Defendants.

Case No. C 06-7032 SC

**NOTICE OF  
VOLUNTARY  
DISMISSAL**

Immigration Case, Administrative  
Procedure Act Case

Agency No.: A75 246 305  
A75 246 306

1 Plaintiffs, A.M. Rashed CHOWDHURY and Mumtaz CHOWDHURY, hereby  
 2 voluntarily dismiss the above-captioned case. Northern District of California, Local  
 3 Rules 3-2(c) and (e) require that, with limited exceptions, civil actions and proceedings  
 4 be assigned by the clerk to a court serving the county in which the action arises.  
 5 Plaintiffs' action arises in Santa Clara County. Plaintiffs hereby dismiss the instant case  
 6 in the San Francisco Division, as it should have properly been brought in the San Jose  
 7 Division. Local Rule 3-2(e). Plaintiffs are filing a new Complaint designating the San  
 8 Jose Division as the proper venue for assignment. The new Complaint names the officer  
 9 in charge of the San Jose District Office of the United States Citizenship and Immigration  
 10 Services as the lead Defendant. Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants in both actions are  
 11 filing a stipulation in the new action stating that dismissal of the herein Complaint and  
 12 refiling of a new Complaint designating San Jose as the proper Division is the appropriate  
 13 course of action.  
 14  
 15

16 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), this action may be  
 17 dismissed voluntarily by Plaintiffs without order of the court, as the notice of dismissal is  
 18 filed before service by Defendants of an answer or motion for summary judgment.  
 19  
 20

21 Dated: November 17, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



22  
 23 /s/  
 24 Marc Van Der Hout  
 25 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
 26 A.M. Rashed CHOWDHURY and  
 27 Mumtaz CHOWDHURY  
 28