

1 Donald S. Simon (Bar No. 189992)  
 William C. Acevedo (Bar No. 194106)  
 2 **WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP**  
 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor  
 3 Post Office Box 2047  
 94604-2047 Oakland, CA 94607-4036  
 4 Telephone: (510) 834-6600  
 Fax: (510) 834-1928

5  
 6 Luis M. Alcalde, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
 (OH Bar No. 0022848)  
 551 S. Third Street  
 7 Columbus, OH 43215  
 Telephone: (614) 228-7422  
 8 Fax: (614) 228-7277

9 Attorneys for Defendant  
 PICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 MMCA GROUP LTD., a Virginia  
 16 corporation,  
 17 Plaintiff,  
 18 vs.  
 19 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a  
 Delaware corporation, PINKERTON  
 20 CONSULTING & INVESTIGATIONS  
 EUROPE, a foreign corporation, PICA, an  
 21 Ohio corporation, RODOLFO DIAZ, an  
 individual, WARREN ROTHER, an  
 22 individual alien, ROBERT COZZOLINA,  
 an individual, KEVIN HUNSAKER, an  
 23 individual,  
 24 Defendants.

Case No. CV 06-07067-MMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE: DEFENDANT  
 PICA CORPORATION'S  
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  
 DOCUMENTS FILED IN SUPPORT OF  
 REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION  
 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE  
 ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT**

**[Civ. L.R. 79-5]**

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP  
 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor  
 Oakland, CA 94607-4036

1 On February 6, 2009 Defendant PICA Corporation (“PICA”) filed an Administrative  
2 Motion to File Documents Under Seal (“Administrative Motion”) asserting that the designated  
3 material is subject to being sealed. Having considered the Administrative Motion, the  
4 Declaration of William C. Acevedo in support thereof, and proof having been made to the  
5 satisfaction of the Court that the Administrative Motion should be granted,

6 **THE COURT FINDS THAT:**

7 1. Defendant PICA Corporation’s Opposition to MMCA’s Request for  
8 Continuance of Summary Judgment Pursuant to FRCP 56(f) contains confidential information  
9 that should be withheld from public viewing;

10 2. PICA’s Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Reply to Plaintiff  
11 MMCA Group, Ltd.’s Opposition to PICA’s Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the alternative,  
12 Partial Summary Judgment (hereinafter, the “Reply”) contains confidential information that  
13 should be withheld from public viewing;

14 3. The Supplemental Declaration of Rodolfo Diaz and Exhibits A and B  
15 submitted in Support of PICA’s Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld  
16 from public viewing;

17 4. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Robert Creswell submitted  
18 by PICA in Support of PICA’s Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld  
19 from public viewing;

20 5. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Lau Geckler submitted by  
21 PICA in Support of PICA’s Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from  
22 public viewing;

23 6. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Thomas Byrne submitted  
24 by PICA in Support of PICA’s Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld  
25 from public viewing;

26 7. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Jorge Barahona submitted  
27 by PICA in Support of PICA’s Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld  
28 from public viewing;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

8. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Pedro Antonio Martinez Acosta submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing;

9. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Aldo Nestor Alvarez submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing; and

10. The evidentiary objections to the Declaration of M. Morgan Cherry submitted by PICA in Support of PICA's Reply contain confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing.


**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

11. PICA's Administrative Motion is GRANTED.

12. The documents and exhibits referenced above, ~~whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case,~~ shall be filed under seal.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 18, 2009

By:   
Honorable Maxine M. Chesney  
United States District Court Judge