

1 Donald S. Simon (Bar No. 189992)
 William C. Acevedo (Bar No. 194106)
 2 **WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP**
 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor
 3 Post Office Box 2047
 94604-2047 Oakland, CA 94607-4036
 4 Telephone: (510) 834-6600
 Fax: (510) 834-1928

5 Luis M. Alcalde, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
 6 (OH Bar No. 0022848)
 551 S. Third Street
 7 Columbus, OH 43215
 Telephone: (614) 228-7422
 8 Fax: (614) 228-7277

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 PICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14
 15 MMCA GROUP LTD., a Virginia
 16 corporation,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a
 Delaware corporation, PINKERTON
 20 CONSULTING & INVESTIGATIONS
 EUROPE, a foreign corporation, PICA, an
 21 Ohio corporation, RODOLFO DIAZ, an
 individual, WARREN ROTHER, an
 22 individual alien, ROBERT COZZOLINA,
 an individual, KEVIN HUNSAKER, an
 23 individual,

24 Defendants.

Case No. CV 06-07067-MMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE: DEFENDANT
 PICA CORPORATION'S
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
 LEAVE TO FILE AND TO FILE UNDER
 SEAL THE DECLARATION OF VINCE
 VOLPI IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO
 OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE
 ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT**

[Civ. L.R. 7-3(d) Civ. L.R. 7-11; Civ. L.R. 79-5]

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP
 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor
 Oakland, CA 94607-4036

1 On February 27, 2009 Defendant PICA Corporation (“PICA”) filed an Administrative
2 Motion for Leave to File and to File Under Seal the Declaration of Vince Volpi in support of
3 PICA’s Reply to Plaintiff MMCA Group, Ltd.’s Opposition to PICA’s Motion for Summary
4 Judgment, or in the alternative, Partial Summary Judgment (“Administrative Motion”). PICA
5 asserted that it was precluded from filing Mr. Volpi’s declaration with its initial papers filed in
6 Reply to MMCA’s Opposition on the ground that the declaration of Robert Creswell, which was
7 submitted by MMCA, was improperly designated AEO, and MMCA did not timely withdraw
8 such designation so as to allow PICA an opportunity to timely respond to said declaration. In
9 addition, PICA asserted that since Mr. Creswell’s declaration was filed under seal, the declaration
10 of Vince Volpi in response thereto is also subject to being sealed. Having considered the
11 Administrative Motion, ^{and} the Declaration of William C. Acevedo in support thereof, ~~and proof~~
12 ~~having been made to the satisfaction of the Court that the Administrative Motion should be~~
13 ~~granted,~~

14 **THE COURT FINDS THAT:**

- 15 ^{has shown good cause to allow the late filing of}
1. Defendant PICA Corporation ~~shall be allowed to file~~ the Declaration of
16 Vince Volpi; and
17 2. The Declaration of Vince Volpi submitted in Support of PICA’s Reply
18 contains confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing.

19 **THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 20 3. PICA’s Administrative Motion is GRANTED.
21 4. The [^]Declaration of Vince Volpi, ~~whenever filed with the Court in this case,~~
22 shall be filed under seal.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25 Dated: March 10, 2009

By: 
Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court Judge