

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP  
 2 WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (SBN 88805)  
 3 william.abrams@bingham.com  
 4 DAVID S. CANNON (SBN 209501)  
 5 david.cannon@bingham.com  
 6 ERIN A. SMART (SBN 246288)  
 7 erin.smart@bingham.com  
 8 AUDREY LO (SBN 253738)  
 9 audrey.lo@bingham.com  
 10 OLIVIA PARA (SBN 255592)  
 11 olivia.para@bingham.com  
 12 1900 University Avenue  
 13 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223  
 14 Telephone: 650.849.4400  
 15 Facsimile: 650.849.4800  
 16 Attorneys for Defendant  
 17 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 MMCA GROUP LTD.,  
 16 Plaintiff,  
 17 v.  
 18 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY ET AL.,  
 19 Defendants.

06-7067  
 No. ~~07-6076~~ MMC (EMC)  
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER SEALING  
 MATERIAL IN HP'S PRETRIAL  
 FILINGS; DIRECTIONS TO HP  
 L. R. Civ. P. 79-5  
 [No Hearing Required]  
 Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

1 On February 1, 2010, Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) filed an Administrative  
2 Motion to Seal Material in HP’s Pretrial Filings (“Administrative Motion”) and a supporting  
3 declaration (“Declaration”) establishing that the designated material is subject to being sealed.

4 The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds  
5 the following material in HP’s pretrial filings subject to being sealed:

- 6 1. Portions of HP’s Motion In Limine No. 1 To Exclude Evidence and Argument  
7 Regarding Allegations of Trade Secret Disclosure Through DMS; [Proposed]  
8 Order
- 9 2. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 2 to Exclude Evidence and Argument  
10 Regarding Damages Irrelevant to Remaining Claims and Damages Not  
11 Calculated by Plaintiff’s Damages Expert; [Proposed] Order
- 12 3. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 3 To Exclude Evidence And  
13 Argument Regarding Luis Ortega’s Employment Interviews; [Proposed]  
14 Order
- 15 4. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 4 to Exclude Evidence and Argument  
16 Regarding Three Ethics Complaints; [Proposed] Order
- 17 5. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 6 to Exclude Evidence and Argument  
18 Regarding Irrelevant, Unfairly Prejudicial, and Hearsay Evidence Regarding  
19 Alleged Relationships, Purported Pretexting, Employment Termination,  
20 Immigration Status and Alleged “Entrapment Seizures;” [Proposed] Order
- 21 6. Exhibits <sup>1, 3, 4</sup>~~1-4~~ and 6-20 to the Declaration of Erin A. Smart in Support of HP’s  
22 Motions *in Limine* and Motion for Reconsideration

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1                   Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of  
2 California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED.


3                   HP is hereby DIRECTED to file in the public record, no later than March 8, 2010,  
4 Exhibits 2 and 5 to the Declaration of Erin A. Smart in Support of Hewlett-Packard's Motions  
~~IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:~~                   in Limine and Motion for Reconsideration.

5                   ~~Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the material~~  
6 ~~referenced above shall be filed under seal.~~

7                   IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: March 1, 2010

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Honorable Maxine M. Chesney  
United States District Judge