

1 Frederick J. Geonetta (SBN 114824)
fred@geonetta-frucht.com
 2 Kenneth N. Frucht (SBN 178881)
kfrucht@gmail.com
 3 GEONETTA & FRUCHT, LLP
 100 Montgomery Street, Suite 1600
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Tel: 415.421.4785
 5 Fax: 415.392.7973

6 Robert A. Weikert (SBN 121146)
rweikert@nixonpeabody.com
 7 Matthew J. Frankel (SBN 256633)
mfrankel@nixonpeabody.com
 8 Sushila Chanana (SBN 254100)
schanana@nixonpeabody.com
 9 NIXON PEABODY LLP
 One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor
 10 San Francisco, CA 94111-3600
 Tel.: 415.984.8200
 11 Fax: 415.984.8300

12 R. Mark Halligan (admitted pro hac vice)
rmhalligan@nixonpeabody.com
 13 NIXON PEABODY LLP
 300 South Riverside Plaza, 16th Floor
 14 Chicago, IL 60606
 Tel: 312.425.3900
 15 Fax: 312.425.3909

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff MMCA Group, LTD.*

17
 18 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 20 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 MMCA GROUP, LTD., a Virginia corporation,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, et al

25 Defendants.

Civil Action No. CV 06-07067-MMC (EMC)

IN PART

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER SEALING MMCA's
OPPOSITIONS TO
 ^
TO HP'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* NOS. 1-4 &
6-8 AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE;

[L.R. Civ. P. 79-5]

[No Hearing Required]

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

1 On February 8, 2010, Plaintiff MMCA Group, Ltd. ("MMCA") filed an Administrative
2 Motion to Seal ("Administrative Motion") and the Declaration of Matthew Frankel in Support of
3 MMCA's Administrative Motion ("Declaration").

4 The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds the following
5 information shall be filed under seal:

- 6 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE
7 EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF TRADE SECRET
8 DISCLOSURE THROUGH DMS
- 9 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 2 (DAMAGES
10 IRRELEVANT TO REMAINING CLAIMS)
- 11 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 3 TO EXCLUDE
12 EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING LUIS ORTEGA'S EMPLOYMENT
13 INTERVIEWS
- 14 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 4 TO EXCLUDE
15 EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT REGARDING THREE ETHICS COMPLAINTS
- 16 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 6 (VARIOUS)
- 17 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 7
18 (COZZOLINA/HUNT EMAIL CHAIN)
- 19 • PLAINTIFF MMCA'S OPPOSITION TO HP'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 8 TO EXCLUDE
20 INADMISSIBLE AND PAROL EVIDENCE REGARDING THE MASTER SERVICES
21 AGREEMENT BETWEEN HP AND MMCA
- 22 • PLAINTIFF MMCA GROUP, LTD.'S OMNIBUS DECLARATION OF KENNETH
23 FRUCHT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MMCA GROUP, LTD.'S OPPOSITIONS TO
24 DEFENDANT HP'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE, AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS A-FF,
25 A-N, P-V, X-FF.


26 Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of California,
27 the Administrative Motion is GRANTED, to the extent set forth above.

28 FURTHER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: To the extent plaintiff seeks leave to file under seal Exhibits O and W to
the Omnibus Declaration of Kenneth Frucht, the motion is denied, and the Clerk shall file said exhibits

~~Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the material referenced above
in the public record.
shall be filed under seal.~~

Dated: March 2, 2010


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court Judge