26

27

28

Dated: July 8, 2010

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	DAVID DUTRA,
10	Petitioner, No. C 06-7196 MHP
11	V.
12	BEN CURRY, Warden, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFAULT JUDGMENT SHOULD
13	Respondent.
14	/
15	
16	On April 2, 2010, this court issued an order to show cause ordering respondent to respond to
17	the petition filed in this action. The response to the order to show cause was due on June 2, 2010,
18	and as of this date no response has been filed and no request for an extension has been submitted.
19	Therefore, this court orders that respondent show cause why the court should not enter
20	judgment granting petitioner the relief that he seeks. RESPONDENT SHALL FILE ITS
21	RESPONSE TO THIS ORDER ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 8, 2010. IF RESPONDENT FAILS
22	TO TIMELY ANSWER THIS ORDER, THE COURT WILL GRANT SUCH RELIEF AS IT
23	DEEMS JUST AND PROPER. A response seeking an extension of time will not be deemed a
24	timely answer for the purposes of this order.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.

MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge