1

25

26

27

28

2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 ENRIQUE ZAMORA SANCHEZ, No. C 06-7310 JSW (PR) 9 Petitioner, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 10 VS. (Docket No. 9) 11 JAMES TILTON, DIRECTOR. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF 12 CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION. 13 Respondent. 14 15 16 INTRODUCTION 17 Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California, has filed a habeas corpus petition 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the constitutional validity of his state court 19 conviction. Petitioner has filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no. 9), 20 which is now GRANTED. This order directs Respondent to show cause why the petition 21 should not be granted. 22 **BACKGROUND** 23 According to the petition, Petitioner was convicted after a jury trial in Santa Cruz 24 County Superior Court of multiple offenses, including driving under the influence and

child endangerment. He was sentenced to 6 years in state prison. Petitioner's appeal to the

California Court of Appeal was denied in 2005 and his petition for review in the California

Supreme Court was apparently denied on an unknown date. Petitioner filed the instant

federal habeas petition in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of

Dockets.Justia.com

California on November 28, 2006. Petitioner subsequently filed an amended petition in this Court in 2007. This Court dismissed the petition with leave to amend, advising Petitioner that he failed to provide sufficient information regarding several claims in order to proceed. Petitioner subsequently filed a second amended petition, identical to the first. Therefore, the Court has now reviewed the petition and will dismiss claims 3, 4 and 5 and will order Respondent to show cause why Petitioner's other claims should not be granted.

DISCUSSION

I Standard of Review

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." *Id.* § 2243.

II Legal Claims

Petitioner raises the following ground for relief: 1) ineffective assistance of trial counsel; and 2) ineffective assistance of appellate counsel. Liberally construed, it does not appear from the face of the petition that Petitioner is not entitled to relief on the claims set forth above. Accordingly, Respondent is ordered to respond to the petition as set forth below.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition, and all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
 - 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within sixty (60)

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1

days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty (30)** days of the date the answer is filed.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty** (30) days of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen** (15) days the date the opposition is filed.
- 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

This order terminates Docket No. 9.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 26, 2009

JEFFREY S. WHITE

United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	ENRIQUE ZAMORA SANCHEZ, Cose Number: CV06, 07310, ISW	
6	Digintiff	
7	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
8	v. JAMES TILTON et al,	
9	Defendant.	
10		
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District	
12	Court, Northern District of California.	
13	That on October 26, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by	
14	depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	
15		
16		
17 Enrique Zamora Sanchez El Centro Processing Center	Enrique Zamora Sanchez El Centro Processing Center	
18	A90-547-562 Prisoner ID V09866	
19	1115 North Imperial Avenue El Centro, CA 92243-1739	
20	Dated: October 26, 2009 Change Ottobal	
2122	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
_		