Case M:07-cv-01826-WHA Document 675 Filed 04/01/2009 Page 1 of 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 IN RE GRAPHICS PROCESSING UNITS Case No. M:07-cv-01826-WHA ANTITRUST LITIGATION 13 MDL No. 1826 14 (PROPOSED) ORDER PROVIDING This Document Relates to: FINAL APPROVAL OF 15 **SETTLEMENT ALL ACTIONS** 16 Hon. William H. Alsup 17 This matter having come before the Court for hearing, pursuant to the Order of this Court 18 19 preliminarily approving the Settlement, dated December 18, 2008 (Docket No. 647), on the 20 application of Defendants ATI Technologies, Inc. (now known as ATI Technologies ULC), 21 Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., AMD US Finance, Inc., and 1252986 Alberta ULC, Defendant 22 Nvidia Corporation, and Plaintiff Class Representatives Jordan Walker and Michael Bensignor, 23 d/b/a Mike's Computer Services, both individually and on behalf of the class certified by this 24 Court on July 18, 2008, for approval of the settlement (the "Settlement") set forth in the 25 settlement agreement dated as of October 2, 2008 (the "Agreement") (Docket No. 617-2), and due 26 27 and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Order, and the Court 28 [Proposed] Order Providing Final

having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. As used in this Order the capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Actions and over all Class Members, and to consider and enter this Order.
- 3. The notice given to Class Members of the Settlement and the other matters set forth therein constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and complies in all respects with the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process.
- 4. The Court has been advised of any objections to the Settlement and has given fair consideration to any such objections.
- 5. The Settling Parties conducted arms-length negotiations in good faith which resulted in the proposed Settlement reflected in the Agreement.
- 6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement as set forth in the Agreement, finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate with respect to the Class, and directs that the Settlement be consummated in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.
- 7. The Releasors, and any other Person claiming (now or in the future) through or on behalf of them, and regardless of whether any such Releasor ever seeks or obtains by any means, including, without limitation, by submitting a Proof of Claim and Release, any distribution from the Net Settlement Fund established pursuant to the Agreement, shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the

Releasees. The Releasors also shall have covenanted not to sue the Releasees with respect to all such Released Claims, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting or asserting any such Released Claim against the Releasees.

- 8. The Distribution Plan is adjudged to be fair, reasonable and adequate and is hereby approved. Class Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel is directed to proceed with the Distribution Plan.
- 9. The Court approves an award of \$\frac{595,000}{}, plus any interest that may have accrued on that sum deposited with the Escrow Agent, to reimburse Class Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel for payment of costs and expenses reasonably incurred in prosecuting and settling this action. To the extent, if any, that the funds described in the previous sentence are not used to reimburse the costs and expenses, they shall be used to reimburse Class Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel for attorneys' fees reasonably incurred in prosecuting this action.
- 10. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>April 1</u>, 2009

