24

25

26

27

28

1	ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109)		
2			
3			
4	Facsimile: (408) 998-8503		
5	CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS (SBN 87787) TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932)		
6	803 Hearst Avenue		
7			
8	Facsimile: (510) 845-3003		
9			
10	523 Octavia Street San Francisco, CA 94102		
11			
12	2 Telephone: (415) 431-3472		
13	Attorneys for Defendant BARRY LAMAR BONDS		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. CR 07 0732 SI	
18	Plaintiff,	) ) DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM ON ) DISTRICT COURT'S DISCRETION TO	
19	VS.	<ul> <li>) DENY STAY OF PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>) PENDING GOVERNMENT APPEAL</li> </ul>	
20	BARRY LAMAR BONDS,	) )	
21	Defendant.		
22	On the eve of Mr. Bonds' trial, the government has informed the Court that it will app		
23			

On the eve of Mr. Bonds' trial, the government has informed the Court that it will appeal an order of the Court issued eight days ago excluding certain evidence from admission. The government correctly notes that it has a right to an interlocutory appeal of that order under 28 U.S.C. section 3731, and on that basis claims a right to an automatic stay of trial proceedings. But halting those proceedings, scheduled to begin on Monday morning, will result in a waste of considerable judicial resources already expended in the jury selection process. Furthermore, it will frustrate Mr. Bonds' interest in now putting this matter, alleging offenses occurring more
 than five years ago, behind him once and for all.

3 At this morning's proceedings, the government argued that the Court essentially has no 4 discretion to deny a stay because an appeal would divest the court of jurisdiction to proceed with 5 the trial. Contrary to the prosecution's claim and notwithstanding the appeal, however, this 6 Court does in fact, retain jurisdiction and with it, the discretionary power to deny a stay. As the 7 Ninth Circuit explained in United States v. Gatto, 763 F.2d 1040 (9th Cir.1985), although a 8 notice of appeal usually divests the district court of jurisdiction, "[s]ection 3731 appeals ... are 9 not usual." Id., at 1049. Accordingly, 10 The government has a conditional right [under section 3731] to appeal [an order suppressing evidence], but the exercise of this 11 right may result in a disruptive effect on the criminal trial process, therefore harboring a potential for abuse. As a result, the

government's right to appeal pretrial suppression orders must be balanced with a defendant's right to proceed to trial on the indictment. This can best be accomplished . . . by retaining jurisdiction in the district court to dismiss the indictment in appropriate cases.

15 *Gatto*, 763 F.2d at 1050 (emphasis added; internal citation omitted)

16 If the Court enters a dismissal order, the government will have the right to appeal both the February 19<sup>th</sup> exclusionary order and the dismissal order itself. If it prevails, as it did in *Gatto*, it 17 18 will be able to proceed to trial with all of its intended evidence, so its right to a meaningful 19 appeal will be fully preserved. On the other hand, the prosecution's last-minute decision to 20 proceed with the appeal obviously has a disruptive effect on this Court's proceedings. The harm 21 to defendant's interest in obtaining a timely resolution to the case is equally apparent. If the 22 Court dismisses the case and its exclusionary and dismissal orders are sustained, the matter will 23 be laid to rest without further expenditure of this Court's resources or prejudice to the 24 defendant's interest in a speedy trial. 25 // // 26

27 //

12

13

14

28 //

1	CONCLUSION	
2	The Court should deny any stay request and, should the prosecution decline to proceed in	
3	light of the exclusionary order, enter an order dismissing the indictment.	
4	Dated: February 27, 2009 Respectfully submitted,	
5	LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY	
6	ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP	
7	RAINS, LUCIA & WILKINSON, LLP	
8	RIORDAN & HORGAN	
9	Dr. /a/ Dannia D. Diandan	
10	By <u>/s/ Dennis P. Riordan</u> Dennis P. Riordan	
11	By <u>/s/ Donald M. Horgan</u> Donald M. Horgan	
12	Counsel for Defendant	
13	Barry Lamar Bonds	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-3-	