

1 ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109)
 LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY
 2 125 South Market Street #1001
 San Jose, CA 95113
 3 Telephone: (408) 998-8500 ext. 204
 Facsimile: (408) 998-8503
 4

CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS (SBN 87787)
 TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932)
 ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP
 803 Hearst Avenue
 Berkeley, CA 94710
 Telephone: (510) 845-3000
 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003

5 DENNIS P. RIORDAN (SBN 69320)
 DONALD M. HORGAN (SBN 121547)
 6 RIORDAN & HORGAN
 523 Octavia Street
 7 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Telephone: (415) 431-3472
 8 Facsimile: (415) 552-2703

MICHAEL RAINS (SBN 91013)
 RAINS, LUCIA & WILKINSON, LLP
 2300 Contra Costa Blvd., Suite 230
 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
 Telephone: (925) 609-1699
 Facsimile: (925) 609-1690

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 BARRY LAMAR BONDS

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

) Case No. CR 07 0732 SI

16 Plaintiff,

) **DEFENDANT’S RESPONSE TO UNITED**
) **STATES’ OPPOSITION TO MOTION**
) **TO SEAL**

17 vs.

18 BARRY LAMAR BONDS,

19 Defendant.

20 _____
 21 Defendant respectfully submits the following in response to the Government’s
 22 Opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Seal.

23 1. The Defendant’s Motion to Seal was filed in an abundance of caution. The
 24 defense has received thousands of pages of discovery from the Government, including Grand
 25 Jury transcripts, and Grand Jury exhibits. In some instances it is not possible to determine
 26 whether particular documents were originally obtained by the Government by a Grand Jury
 27 Subpoena, or a search warrant, or other means.

28 Especially in light of the rancorous history of the BALCO litigation, the defense did not

1 want its Motion in Limine to be greeted by a Government claim that Grand Jury secrecy had
2 been violated, or the confidentiality of discovery materials had somehow been breached. Those
3 concerns are now mooted because the Government has made it clear that there are no
4 confidentiality limitations on materials supplied in discovery.

5 2. The Court in its discretion may wish to consider whether jury selection will be
6 unduly burdened by immediate publication of laboratory “tests” and hearsay documents that may
7 never come into evidence. Of course there will be a public trial at which all the testimony and
8 other evidence will be freely accessible. That is not quite the same as endorsing publication,
9 prior to jury selection, of inflammatory materials that the trial jury may never see.

10 3. What should be immediately unsealed, beyond any doubt, are all documents on
11 file in this Court in the BALCO case. The pleas and sentencings in BALCO are years old, yet
12 there are still sealed and redacted search warrants and sealed motions, among other things, in the
13 Clerk’s Office. In light of the Government’s professed advocacy for “a presumed right of access
14 to pretrial proceedings and documents,” (United States’ Opposition at page 2), the Government
15 undoubtedly will join the defense in making sure that the entire BALCO record is finally open to
16 public scrutiny.

17 This is a matter of consequence to the pending Motion in Limine. The Government’s
18 letter of December 26, 2008, suggests that it will try to use BALCO documents and one of the
19 BALCO principals to establish a foundation for evidence against Mr. Bonds. The Government
20 has represented that its BALCO files have been made available to the defense in discovery.

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

1 There is no conceivable reason, then, why BALCO documents on file with the Court should any
2 longer be sealed or redacted.

3 Dated: January 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

4 LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY

5 ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP

6 RAINS, LUCIA & WILKINSON, LLP

7 RIORDAN & HORGAN

8 By /s/Allen Ruby
9 Allen Ruby

10 Counsel for Defendant
11 Barry Lamar Bonds

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28