

1 JEROME C. ROTH (SBN 159483)
 2 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 3 560 Mission Street
 4 Twenty-Seventh Floor
 5 San Francisco, CA 94105-2907
 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
jerome.roth@mto.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 LG.PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.

8
 9
 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12
 13 Sara Cabezas, individually and on behalf of all
 those similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 LG.Philips LCD Co. Ltd., LG.Philips LCD
 17 America, Inc.; Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.;
 Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics
 18 Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba
 Matsushita Display Technology Co., Ltd.;
 Hitachi Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd.; Hitachi
 19 America Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices
 (USA), Inc.; Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices
 Corporation; NEC Corporation; NEC LCD
 20 Technologies, Ltd.; NEC Electronics America,
 Inc.; IDT International Ltd.; AU Optronics;
 International Display Technology Co., Ltd.;
 International Display Technology USA Inc.;
 21 AU Optronics Corporation America; Chi Mei
 Optoelectronics; Chi Mei Optoelectronics
 22 USA, Inc.; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.;
 Hannstar Display Corporation;

23
 24 Defendants.

CASE NO. C 07-00084 (MMC)

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
 TIME ; ORDER THEREON**

Honorable Maxine M. Chesney

1 WHEREAS plaintiff filed a complaint in the above-captioned case on or about
2 December 15, 2006;

3 WHEREAS plaintiff alleges antitrust violations by manufacturers of Liquid
4 Crystal Display (“LCD”) products;

5 WHEREAS more than fifteen complaints have been filed to date in federal district
6 courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bringing class actions on behalf of
7 indirect purchasers alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers of LCD products (collectively,
8 “the LCD Cases”);

9 WHEREAS there is a motion pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
10 Litigation to transfer the LCD Cases to the Northern District of California for coordinated or
11 consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;

12 WHEREAS plaintiff anticipates the possibility of Consolidated Amended
13 Complaints in the LCD Cases;

14 WHEREAS plaintiff and LG Philips LCD America, Inc. (“LPL America”) have
15 agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the LCD Cases would be
16 more efficient for the parties and for the Court;

17 WHEREAS plaintiff agrees that the deadline for LPL America to respond to the
18 Complaint shall be extended until the earlier of the following two dates: (1) forty-five days after
19 the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after
20 plaintiff provides written notice to LPL America that he does not intend to file a Consolidated
21 Amended Complaint, provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case
22 management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case;

23 WHEREAS plaintiff further agrees that this extension is available, without further
24 stipulation with counsel for plaintiff, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of
25 their intention to join this extension;

26 WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by LPL America or any
27 defendant of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal or subject
28

