

1 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP
 2 STEPHEN C. NEAL (170085) (sneal@cooley.com)
 3 JAMES DONATO (146140) (jdonato@cooley.com)
 4 JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com)
 5 JEFFREY M. GUTKIN (216083) (jgutkin@cooley.com)
 101 California Street, 5th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
 Telephone: (415) 693-2000
 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 NVIDIA CORPORATION

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ROY JACOBS, Individually and on Behalf of
 12 All Others Similarly Situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 NVIDIA CORPORATION; ATI
 16 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; and ADVANCED
 17 MICRO DEVICES, INC.,

18 Defendants.

Case No. C-07-0302 MJJ

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
 AND ORDER**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

19 Plaintiff Roy Jacobs and Defendants Nvidia Corporation (“Nvidia”), ATI Technologies,
 20 Inc. and Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (collectively “AMD”) stipulate and agree as follows to
 21 extend the time to respond to the complaint on file in this action (“Complaint”).

22 1. On January 17, 2007, Plaintiff filed the Complaint, which alleges claims under
 23 Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and under state antitrust and consumer protection
 24 laws. Plaintiff has styled the Complaint as a putative class action.

25 2. As of the date of this Stipulation, at least 23 other complaints have been filed in
 26 this and other judicial districts. All of these complaints also allege federal and/or state law
 27 antitrust claims against Nvidia and AMD and are styled as putative class actions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: January 25, 2007
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
By: /s/ Charles H. Samel
Charles H. Samel (182019)
Attorneys for Defendants
ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.

Dated: January 25, 2007
HULETT HARPER STEWART LLP
By: /s/ Randall R. Sjoblom
Randall R. Sjoblom (229574)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ROY JACOBS



