1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	MEDTRONIC, INC., a Minnesota corporation, MEDTRONIC USA, INC., a Minnesota corporation, and MEDTRONIC	Case No. C07-00567 MMC
14	Minnesota corporation, and MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC., a Delaware corporation,	- <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING MEDTRONIC'S ADMINISTRATIVE
15	Plaintiffs,	MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R.
16	v.	79-5(d) IN SUPPORT OF MEDTRONIC'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE REGARDING
17	AGA MEDICAL CORPORATION, a	AGA'S ALLEGED ALTERNATIVES TO PRACTICING THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT
18	Minnesota corporation,	
19	Defendant.	
20		]
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MEDTRONIC'S
		ADMIN MOTION TO FILE DOCS UNDER SEAL CASE NO. C07-00567 MMC

This Court, having considered Medtronic's Administrative Motion to File Documents	
Under Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) in support of Medtronic's Motions in Limine Regarding	
AGA's Alleged Alternatives to Practicing the Patents-in-Suit ("Medtronic's Admin Motion"), and declarations of Marissa R. Ducca and the Declaration of Declaration of Joshua C. Walsh-Benson in Support of Medtronic's	
Administrative Motion to file Documents Under Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) in Support of	
Medtronic's Motions in Limine Regarding AGA's Alleged Alternatives to Practicing the Patents-	
in-Suit, hereby GRANTS Medtronic's Admin Motion.	
The following documents shall be filed under seal:	
1. Exhibit 14 to the Declaration of Joshua C. Walsh-Benson in Support of	
Medtronic's Motions in Limine ("Walsh-Benson Decl. in Support of Motions in Limine")-is-	
(excerpts from the Expert Report of Michael J. Wagner, Volume 1 (February 6, 2009).)	
2. Exhibit 15 to the Walsh-Benson Decl. in Support of Motions in Limine-is (excerpts	
from the deposition transcript of Mike Wagner (February 19, 2009).)	
3. Exhibit 16 to the Walsh-Benson Decl. in Support of Motions in Limine-is excerpts	
from the Confidential Expert Rebuttal Report of Charles E. Mullins, M.D. Pursuant to Fed. R.	
Civ. P.26(a)(2)(B), (February 6, 2009).)	
4. The unredacted version of Medtronic's Motion in Limine No. 10 (AGA Should be	
Precluded from Presenting Evidence or Arguments Regarding Alleged Alternatives to Practicing	
the Patents-in-Suit at Trial)/.	
IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 16, 2009 Maline M. Chekey	
Dated: June 16, 2009 HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
[PROPOSED] OR DER GRANTING MEDTRONIC'S	