

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUIS ENRIQUE PONCE,

Petitioner,

v.

B. CURRY, Warden,

Respondent.

No. C 07-0604 MMC (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
TRAVERSE**

(Docket No. 15)

On January 30, 2007, petitioner, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the above-titled petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Subsequently, the case was stayed while petitioner returned to state court to exhaust previously unexhausted claims. After petitioner returned to federal court with his exhausted claims, the Court, by order filed August 1, 2008, ordered respondent to show cause why the writ of habeas corpus should not be granted based on petitioner's cognizable claims.


On October 30, 2008, respondent filed an answer to the petition. According to the briefing schedule set by the Court in the order to show cause, petitioner's traverse was due within thirty days of the date of the answer was filed. On December 1, 2008, petitioner filed a request for an extension of time to file the traverse; on January 6, 2009, petitioner filed the traverse.

Good cause appearing, petitioner's request for an extension of time to file the traverse is hereby GRANTED. Consequently, the traverse filed on January 6, 2009 is deemed timely.

This order terminates Docket No. 15.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 10, 2009


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge