

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)
3 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
4 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)
5 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
6 FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
7 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
8 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)
9 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
10 50 Fremont Street
11 San Francisco, CA 94105
12 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
13 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
14
15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 SHARP CORPORATION and
17 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

18

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
21 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22

23 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
24 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

25 Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827

26

27 This Document Relates To:

28 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
TO ANSWER AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

29

30 Case No. 3:11-cv-711 SI

31 STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through,
32 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney
33 General,

34

35 Plaintiff,

36

37 v.

38

39 AU Optronics Corporation, *et al.*,

40

41 Defendants.

42

43

44

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation
3 America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.,
4 CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices
5 (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co.,
6 Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Matsushita
8 Display Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba
9 America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. no. 2556;

10 WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to
11 dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

12 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,
13 "Sharp") desire a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended Complaint;

14 WHEREAS the Court previously entered an Order extending the time to answer the
15 Amended Complaint on August 25, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and

16 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in
17 this case.

18 THEREFORE, the State of New York and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp's deadline
19 to answer to the Amended Complaint shall be October 25, 2011.

20 Dated: September 13, 2011

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
Attorney General of the State of New York

By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz

Richard L. Schwartz
Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Amy McFarlane
Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for Plaintiff
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-8282 (Phone)
(212) 416-6015 (Facsimile)
Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov
Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2 JOHN M. GRENFELL
3 JACOB R. SORENSEN
4 FUSAE NARA
5 ANDREW D. LANPHERE
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

6 By: /s/ John M. Grenfell
7 John M. Grenfell

8 Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION
9 and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10 **ATTESTATION:** Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the
11 concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: 9/13/11



14 Honorable Susan Illston

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28