1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP RONALD S. KATZ (Bar No. CA 085713) E-mail: rkatz@manatt.com RYAN S. HILBERT (Bar No. CA 210549) E-mail: rhilbert@manatt.com NOEL S. COHEN (Bar No. CA 219645) E-mail: ncohen@manatt.com 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006 Tel: (650) 812-1300; Fax: (650) 213-0260 MCKOOL SMITH, LEWIS T. LECLAIR (Bar No. CA 077136) E-mail: lleclair@mckoolsmith.com JILL ADLER NAYLOR(Bar No. CA 150783) E-mail: jnaylor@mckoolsmith.com 300 Crescent Court Dallas, TX 75201 Tel: (214) 978-4984; Fax: (214) 978-4044	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHER	N DISTRICT
14	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
15	BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT	CIVIL ACTION NO. C07 0943 WHA
16 17	ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER ROBERTS III, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF PARRISH'S INDIVIDUAL CLAIM
18	Plaintiffs,	WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION
19	v.	
20	NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE	
21	PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a Virginia corporation, and NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS INCORPORATED d/b/a	
22	PLAYERS INC, a Virginia corporation,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	20205265.1	MOTION TO DISMISS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C07 0943 WHA

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

Plaintiff Bernard Paul Parrish moves¹ to dismiss without prejudice Parrish's claim for breach of fiduciary duty against Defendants on the ground that the Court lacks jurisdiction, as follows:

- 1. Parrish filed a putative class action on behalf of himself and others similarly situated (the "Retired NFLPA Member Class") on February 14, 2007. In its Order dated November 14, 2007 Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint, this Court stated that "Parrish has stated a claim for breach of fiduciary duty." As a result, on March 14, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to certify as a class action the claim for breach of fiduciary duty asserted by Bernard Parrish against Defendants on behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class.
- 3. In its Order dated April 29, 2008 Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, this Court denied certification of Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim on behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class on the grounds that Parrish was not an adequate class representative. Thus, by denying certification of Parrish's claim for breach of fiduciary duty on behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class (without ruling on the merits), Parrish is left only with an individual claim that does not satisfy the requirements for federal court diversity jurisdiction as his claim, standing alone, does not exceed the jurisdictional amount of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C.A. § 1332(a).
- 4. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs request that Parrish's individual claim for breach of fiduciary duty now be dismissed without prejudice, with: (a) the right to appeal this Court's final judgment with respect to the denial of class certification for Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim brought on behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class be expressly preserved, and (b) in the event of a successful appeal of the Court's final judgment with respect to the denial of

Plaintiffs tried working with Defendants on a suitable stipulation dismissing Mr. Parrish's

claims, and even provided Defendants with two different versions. Defendants, however, refused

vehicle, and now bring this motion in order to dismiss Mr. Parrish's claim and streamline this case

to agree to a stipulation of dismissal without prejudice and demanded that Parrish dismiss his claims with prejudice. Plaintiffs believe that dismissal without prejudice is the appropriate

24

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

for trial.

Dallas 258385v1

1 class certification for Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim, the right that such class claim be 2 allowed to move forward before this Court. 3 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs request that (1) Parrish's individual claim for breach of fiduciary 4 duty now be dismissed without prejudice, (2) the right to appeal this Court's final judgment with 5 respect to the denial of class certification for Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim brought on 6 behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class be expressly preserved, and (3) in the event of a 7 successful appeal of the Court's final judgment with respect to the denial of class certification for 8 Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim, that such claim be allowed to move forward before this 9 Court. 10 11 Dated: August 12, 2008 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 12 By: /s/Ryan S. Hilbert Ryan S. Hilbert 13 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006 14 Telephone: (650) 812-1300 Facsimile: (650) 213-0260 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

28

20205265.1

Dallas 258385v1

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 The Court having considered the Motion to Dismiss, the pleadings on file and the 3 arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Parrish' Motion to Dismiss 4 his individual claim without prejudice. 5 It is hereby ORDERED that that (1) Parrish's individual claim for breach of fiduciary duty 6 is now dismissed without prejudice, (2) the right to appeal this Court's final judgment with 7 respect to the denial of class certification for Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim brought on 8 behalf of the Retired NFLPA Member Class is expressly preserved, and (3) in the event of a 9 successful appeal of the Court's final judgment with respect to the denial of class certification for 10 Parrish's breach of fiduciary duty claim, that such claim is allowed to move forward before this 11 Court. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 14 15 Dated: HON. WILLIAM H. ALSUP 16 United States District Court Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

20205265.1

Dallas 258385v1