## **EXHIBIT K**

to the Declaration of Ryan Hilbert in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTRICT

(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER ROBERTS, III, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action

v.

C07 0943 WHA

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a
Virginia Corporation, and
NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE
PLAYERS INCORPORATED, d/b/a
PLAYERS, INC., a Virginia
Corporation,

Defendants

CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

GENE UPSHAW

Wednesday, February 13, 2008, 9:05 a.m.

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP,
One Metro Center, Suite 1100
700 12th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Reported By:

Susan E. Smith, RPR, Notary Public

- A. I think I answered that.
- O. And the answer is?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. When you make these presentations, do you ever use notes or PowerPoint or some written documentation?
  - A. Sometimes.

б

- Q. And have you saved those?
- A. Not really. Most of them I make

  -- in most of my presentations I don't have

  any written materials at all. I just make

  them.
- Q. Okay. Then it says, just referring again to page 7, it says the NFLPA Retired Players Department has obtained Group Licensing Assignment agreements (GLAs) for more than 2,900 retired players.
- What is your understanding of a GLA, sir?
  - A. Well, a GLA is a licensing agreement or assignment that the retired players grant us the right to represent them in group licensing. But, yes, that's basically what it is.
  - Q. And what is your understanding of

group licensing?

- A. Group licensing, my understanding is the use of our players in six or more.
- Q. Six or more active or present players?
  - A. Six or more players.
  - Q. Active or present players?
  - A. Active, yes.
  - Q. Or present? Active or former players?
    - A. If they're designated, yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at one of these GLAs.
- (Whereupon, Upshaw Deposition Exhibit
  No. 110, marked.)
  - Q. Is Exhibit 110 such a GLA as you have just been discussing, sir?
    - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. And does it -- can you just point out to me where it mentions designated programs, it's only for designated programs?
  - A. It doesn't say designated programs here. But as a means, the way we operated and our practice has been designated, and that is where it all comes from.

all eligible NFLPA members who have signed a group licensing authorization form.

Do you know whether such an escrow account was created, sir?

- A. No, no escrow account was created.
- Q. And when it says eligible NFLPA members, do you have an understanding of what that means?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What is your understanding?
- A. It would be, in this sense here it would be as it relates to NFLPA members that had signed a group licensing authorization.
- Q. And when you say NFLPA members who had signed a group licensing authorization, do you mean active? Does that include active players?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do the active players actually sign a group licensing authorization?
  - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And is that part of the CBA or is that separate?
- A. It's a separate agreement. We have it in two forms.

1 Ο. Okay. And he refers to an 2 organization, Mr. Nahra does in the first line of the letter, called Personalities & 3 Promotions International. Were you aware of 4 that organization in 2003? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. And was that organization causing a problem, from your point of view? 8 9 I don't think it was causing a 10 problem from our point of view, but I was 11 aware that there was such an organization. Q. Well, Mr. Nahra seems to be 12 13 complaining about a propaganda campaign that Personalities & Promotions was engaging in. 14 15 Were you aware of that? Α. I'm not familiar with the 16 17 background on that. 18 Q. Okay. And then Mr. Nahra describes the retired player group licensing 19 20 program in the second paragraph of the letter. Do you see that? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23

Q. And he says that to participate in that program, the retired players -- I'm reading now the second sentence -- the retired

24

players sign the NFLPA Retired Player Group
Licensing Form, GLA, attached. And that's the
same GLA basically that Mr. Adderley signed.
Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.0

Q. Okay. And he says, the next sentence, "In spite of your efforts to suggest otherwise, the GLA grants the NFLPA the nonexclusive right to license companies to use a player's name and likeness in programs involving six or more present and/or former NFL players. Is that consistent with your understanding, sir?

A. Yes.

Q. And then he says: Because the rights granted are nonexclusive -- and if you look at the attachment, it does say nonexclusive in writing in the second line.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is your -- I'm not going to ask you any legal things or anything like that, but what is your understanding as the executive director of the union and the chairman of PLAYERS INC as to what the

fees received for use of retired players' names and images to the retired players utilized and we will continue to do so.

2.4

So does that mean that -- there was no distribution, of course, to an escrow account because no escrow account existed; is that right?

- A. That's correct. The money that was generated by a retired player went to the retired player.
- Q. Do you know why the escrow account that is mentioned in the GLA was not created?
- A. The reason it wasn't created, because we passed the money straight to the players. There was no money to set up an escrow account. I will be glad to take a loan. We can set one up today. But we don't have one, we never did.
- Q. And did you ever inform any retired player that the escrow account was not being set up?
  - A. No.
- Q. Now, when you say we have distributed all of the licensing fees received for use of retired players' names and images

he's a retired player. And we -- and what he is talking about is how does the computer recognize the difference between moving from one category to the other.

- Q. And then he refers to payment pool eligibility. What is your understanding of that?
- A. The payment pool eligibility would apply to the status of a Tiki Barber. If Tiki Barber was still involved in active player programs because he was an active player until he retired, he would still be entitled as an active player to eligibility from the pool that went to active players. There is no pool for retired players. That's what this is all about.
- Q. And this payment pool, is that an equal share payment pool that you're referring to?
- A. It's an equal share payment pool that applies to active players.
- Q. Right. So whether you're a star or whether you're a third stringer, you get an equal share?
  - A. Yes.

of the question.

- Q. You may answer.
- A. I don't understand what that means.
  - Q. Okay. Have you ever, has the NFLPA or PI ever retained an independent third-party organization to evaluate a transaction between the NFLPA and PLAYERS INC in order to make sure that it was done in a proper fashion?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. And when was that?
  - A. We did it initially when we formed the PLAYERS INC. We were trying to evaluate what the rights were that we're conveying from NFLPA to PLAYERS INC.
  - Q. And why did you consider it necessary to retain an independent third-party organization to help you out there?
  - A. Because we wanted to make sure we complied with what the law said at the time.

    And, as you pointed out, we wanted to make sure it was an arm's length transaction.
- Q. And how many times did you, has NFLPA or PI retained an independent

third-party organization to play the role that you just described? You mentioned once, but has ever happened since?

2.4

- A. I don't think it's ever happened since. I'm not sure.
- Q. And that was back in, like, 1995, right?
- A. '94, '95. I'm sure it was back then. But I can't recall, and I'm not going to speculate on what we might have done since then.
- Q. Mr. Kessler and I agree, we both don't want you to speculate. That's a no-brainer. Okay, great.

So then it says, we're looking here,
How is PLAYERS INC structured? And it says
that Gene Upshaw, who serves as chairman of
PLAYERS INC, as well as executive VP and Chief
Operating Officer Andrew Feffer, Steve Saxon,
attorney with the Groom Group in Washington,
D.C., and who also serves as tax counsel to
the NFLPA and PLAYERS INC, and Marvin
Zimmerman, a Chicago businessman, has been
involved with the NFLPA for over 30 years.

What has been Mr. Zimmerman's

A. This transaction and the value of this transaction was done on the advice of tax counsel, and that's how we valued. And that was advice that we used when we made this transaction.

- Q. So when it says here in the last paragraph, last Whereas paragraph of the first page, it says whereas the NFLPA and PLAYERS INC agree that further judgment may be necessary after completion of an independent, third-party evaluation of the value conferred by the players, the NFLPA, and PLAYERS INC, what was that third-party valuation? Was that the tax counsel that you mentioned?
- A. No. It says that NFLPA and PLAYERS INC agree that further adjustments may be necessary.
- Q. Yeah, I agree it says that. It says after completion of an independent, third-party evaluation. I'm asking you, was that third-party independent evaluation ever completed?
- A. No, not that I'm aware of. I
  mean, I'm not aware that there was a -- no,
  I'm not.

Q. Looking at the last paragraph on the second page, paragraph E, it says:

Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 4, \$8,000,000 of the amount described in the Section 4(A) shall be paid out of the licensing revenue depository account.

Is that the active players equal share royalty pool?

- A. Everything in this transaction deals with active players.
  - Q. I understand. I'm just asking --
- A. But that's, yes, that's where it came from. It's the active players.
- Q. So with respect -- you mentioned before, with respect to retired players, that you represented them when they were designated by a licensee. Was it the same with active players? Did they have to be designated by a licensee for you to represent them?
  - A. No.

- Q. They got an equal share regardless; is that correct?
- A. They are -- this pool of money was designated, and it was for active players, not retired players. And we represented and do

represent all of the active players.

- Q. And the active players get an equal share out of this pool; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And they get that equal share whether their images are used or not?
- A. They get that equal share if they meet the eligibility rules that are established.
- Q. Whether their -- and they get the equal share --
- A. If that's part of their eligibility, yes.
  - Q. -- whether or not their image is used?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Okay, fine. And whether or not they're designated by the licensee?
    - A. Yes. As I said earlier, the designation is only one category in which we, we do represent the retired players. There are other categories that are not designated but we do still represent them, too.
      - Q. What categories are those?
  - A. Those are individual requests by

particular game, they designate who they want to use. There are other calls we get from people that will say we'd like to have an appearance, can you get this player to appear? Can you get this player to participate in this particular program? We facilitate that by going to the player and asking him would he like to participate. We tell him what the fee is and he decides.

2.4

- Q. Okay. And do you make efforts to get the licensees to designate retired players?
- A. It's up to the licensee. It's their business. They determine what's best for their business. We have no input whatsoever in telling them who they should use and who they should not use. They have their own company. We just facilitate as much as we possibly can to help.
- Q. But you don't make any affirmative efforts to sell them the images of retired players as a group or individually?
- A. I don't run any licensee's company. That's up to them.
  - Q. So you don't make those

```
affirmative sales efforts to sell retired
1
    players to licensees, images to licensees?
2
             MR. KESSLER: Objection to the form
3
4
    of the question.
5
                 I've answered it. I can't answer
    any different.
6
             Q. And do you make any efforts with
7
    respect to selling the images of retired
8
    players to companies that request, make
9
    requests for NFL player services?
10
                If they're making a request, it's
11
    pretty much sold.
12
             Q. Right. But do you make efforts to
13
    sell that prior to their making a request?
14
15
             A. Not really.
              MR. KATZ: Let me have the reporter
16
    mark as the next a document headed Agreement.
17
              (Whereupon, Upshaw Deposition Exhibit
18
    No. 125, marked.)
19
                 Do you recognize Exhibit 125, sir?
2.0
21
             Α.
                Yes.
                 Can you tell us what it is,
22
             Q.
23
    please?
                 This is an agreement establishing
24
     PLAYERS INC.
25
```

STATE OF MARYLAND ) ss 1 2 COUNTY OF BALTIMORE) 3 4 I, Susan Smith, RPR, a Notary Public 5 of the State of Maryland, do hereby certify 6 that the within named, GENE UPSHAW personally 7 appeared before me at the time and place herein set out, and after having been duly 8 sworn by me, was interrogated by counsel. 9 10 I further certify that the 11 examination was recorded stenographically by 12 me, and this transcript is a true record of 13 the proceedings. 14 I further certify that the stipulations contained herein were entered 15 into by counsel in my presence. 16 I further certify that I am not of counsel to any of the parties, nor an employee of counsel, nor related to any of the parties, 17 nor in anyway interested in the outcome of this action. 18 19 As witness my hand and notarial seal this 14th day of February, 2008. 20 21 My commission expires 22 November 1, 2010 23 Susan E. Smith

Notary Public

24