

EXHIBIT JJJ

to the Declaration of Ryan Hilbert
in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to
Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6092


tel +1 212 259 6438
fax +1 212 259 6333
dgreenspan@dl.com

February 8, 2008

BY EMAIL

Ryan S. Hilbert
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Re: Parrish, et al. v. Players Inc., et al.



Dear Ryan,

In light of Plaintiffs' amendments to Interrogatory No. 3 to the NFLPA and to Players Inc, and Plaintiffs' amendments to Interrogatories Nos. 4 through 8 to Players Inc, and pursuant to our various meet and confers on these subjects, I am writing to provide additional information in response to these Interrogatories. This supplemental information is provided subject to and without waiver of the general and specific objections set forth in the NFLPA's and Players Inc's Responses and Objections to each of these Interrogatories.

We note that we have searched for and collected this supplemental information under significant time constraints, and during a period when Players Inc's and the NFLPA's offices were essentially closed for five days because the majority of the staff was at the Super Bowl for business purposes, in order to accommodate Plaintiffs' desire to receive this supplemental information prior to the depositions next week. While we believe that the NFLPA's and Players Inc's supplemental responses are complete, Defendants specifically reserve the right to supplement or amend these responses to the extent necessary.

NFLPA's and Players Inc's Supplemental Responses to Interrogatory No. 3

Enclosed as Exhibit 1 to this letter is a list of the names of dues paying NFLPA Retired Players Association members who did not have a GLA in effect during the year of their membership. To the extent that a retired player paid NFLPA Retired Players Association membership dues in more than one year in which he did not have a GLA in effect, then that retired player is listed multiple times to correspond with each of the membership years in which he met this criteria. This list includes retired players who paid dues from February 14, 2003 to November 15, 2007

(the date the Third Amended Complaint was filed), although we maintain our objection to the relevancy of such information for time periods other than the period in which Mr. Parrish was a member of the NFLPA Retired Players Association.

Players Inc's Supplemental Responses to Interrogatories Nos. 4-8

Plaintiffs have now amended Interrogatories Nos. 4-8 to define the term "GLA" to mean "the standard form Group Licensing Authorization" (as opposed to the broader definition of the term "GLA" that Plaintiffs have used in other written discovery requests). Nevertheless, we continue to believe that Plaintiffs' use of the phrase "paid pursuant to a GLA" is vague and ambiguous in this context. You have explained, however, that what Plaintiffs are seeking is the identification of retired players who received licensing-related payments from Players Inc at a time when they had a GLA in effect, but who had not entered into "ad hoc" agreements with respect to such payments. This supplemental information is provided based on this understanding of the information that Plaintiffs are seeking through their amended Interrogatories.

Electronics Arts, Inc. ("EA")

On or about June 17, 2003, certain retired players were each paid \$750.00 by Players Inc in connection with an EA retired players program. The retired players who were issued such \$750 payments, and who had GLAs in effect at the time, but who had not entered into "ad hoc" agreements with respect to this EA retired players program, are listed on Exhibit 2 to this letter.

Photo File, Inc. ("Photo File")


In connection with Photo File, Players Inc has paid royalties to certain retired players who had GLAs in effect at the time Players Inc received and processed the royalty payment from Photo File, but who had not entered into "ad hoc" agreements with respect to Photo File. These retired players are listed in Exhibit 3 to this letter.

Given the large number of royalty payments and players at issue, and the very small dollar amount of most of the royalty payments (the majority of the royalty payments are for less than \$10, and many of the royalty payments are for less than \$1), Players Inc could not within the requested time frame identify the exact dates on which payments were made from Players Inc to these retired players. However, Players Inc's general practice with respect to such payments is as follows.

Ryan Hilbert
February 8, 2008
Page 3

Photo File reports and pays royalties to Players Inc (if any) on a quarterly basis. If quarterly royalty payments to a particular retired player result in that retired player being due \$10 or more by Players Inc, then that retired player is paid shortly after Players Inc receives the quarterly payment. However, if a Photo File quarterly royalty payment with respect to a particular retired player does not result in that player being due \$10 or more, then Players Inc will not make a payment to that retired player until the \$10 threshold is met. The reason for this \$10 threshold is that it is administratively impractical to make payments smaller than \$10.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Greenspan", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David Greenspan