EXHIBIT 34

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA

Parrish v. National Football League Players Association, et al.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER ROBERTS, III, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: C07 0943 WHA

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a Virginia corporation, and NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS INCORPORATED, d/b/a PLAYERS INC., a Virginia Corporation,

Defendants.

30(b)(6) Videotaped Deposition of GLENN M. EYRICH

Tuesday, February 12, 2008, 9:30 a.m.

Mannatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, One Metro Center, 700 12th Street N.W. Washington, D.C.

Linda Ann Crockett, a Notary Public.



2 Reported by: 1 Linda A. Crockett 2 APPEARANCES: RONALD S. KATZ, ESQUIRE NOEL S. COHEN, ESQUIRE 3 Mannatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP 4 One Metro Center 700 12th Street, N.W. 5 Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 (650) 812-1346 6 On behalf of the Plaintiffs 7 DAVID FEHER, ESQUIRE ROY TAUB, ESQUIRE 8 Dewey & LeBoeuf, LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas 9 New York, New York 10019-6092 (212) 259-7164 10 On behalf of the Defendants 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 15 Philip Rowley G. Marks, Videographer 16 17 18 30(b)(6) Deposition of GLENN 19 20 EYRICH, taken with simultaneous videotape recording on Tuesday, February 12, 2008, 21 beginning at 9:30 a.m., at Mannatt, Phelps & 22 23 Phillips, LLP, One Metro Center, 700 12th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Linda 24

PAULSON

Ann Crockett, a Notary Public.

800.300.1214

25

619.239.4111

1	A. I had a few questions relating to
2	the calculation of the equal share pool that I
3	asked Ms. Douglas about.
4	Q. And why did you speak with
5	Mr. Nora?
6	MR. FEHER: Mr. Nora is inside
7	counsel. To the extent that it involves
8	communications with attorneys about privileged
9	matters, I would instruct you not to answer to
10	that extent. If there's anything left after
11	that instruction you can testify to, but you
12	have to comply with that instruction since
13	Mr. Nora is an attorney of the NFLPA.
14	A. There was no additional
15	conversations with Joe.
16	Q. You have referred so far in your
17	testimony to something called an equal share
18	pool; is that correct?
19	A. Correct.

- A. Correct.
- Q. Can you tell me what is that, please?
- The equal share pool is referred to as the active player licensing pool that is allocated between Players, Inc., the NFLPA, and active players.



21

22

23

24

25

_	
Δ	Veq

Q. So the PI receives 60 percent of that from let's say Topps and the NFLPA receives 40 percent; is that correct?

MR. FEHER: Objection.

A. No.

- Q. Can you tell me how that works?
- A. Sure the gross licensing equal share pool has three components to it of allocation. The first is the NFLPA receiving 40 percent of the active player gross licensing share. The players, the eligible players receiving 37 percent of the gross licensing share, and Players, Inc. for operations receives approximately 23 percent of the gross licensing equal share pool.
- Q. When you say the players, do you mean the active players or the retired players or both?
 - A. The active players.
- Q. How do retired players receive money?

MR. FEHER: Objection to form.

A. It's my understanding that the retired Players have certain deals, designated

PAULSON
REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES

WWW.PAULSONREPORTING.COM

deals	that	are	not	part	of	the	gross	licensing
equal	share	e pod	ol.					

- Q. Well, let me direct your attention to Exhibit 86 again. Would Exhibit 86 be one of those designated deals to which you are referring?
 - MR. FEHER: Objection to form.
- A. The designated deals that I'm referring to are separate distinct deals between the retired players and the actual companies, the licensing companies.
- Q. So is it your testimony that, as far as you know, no money flows to retired players pursuant to documents like Exhibit 86?

 MR. FEHER: Objection.
- Q. A so-called group licensing authorization form?
 - MR. FEHER: Objection to form.
- A. I don't understand what you mean by flows.
- Q. Well, are there any monies that go to the people who sign these group licensing authorizations that you know of, the retirees?
 - MR. FEHER: Objection.
 - A. I don't know.

PAULSON

REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES

WWW.PAULSONREPORTING.COM

85 1 includes the licensing revenues, doesn't it, 2 sir? A. Correct. 3 Isn't it a fact that the trust is 4 in part funded from licensing revenues? 5 MR. FEHER: Objection to form. 6 7 Α. Yes. Q. And what is the purpose of the 8 9 trust? MR. FEHER: Objection to form. 10 And not only objection to form, I'm 11 instructing him not to answer. 12 13 Can we take a quick break? I just want to consult with the witness briefly as to 14 the subject of the last instruction to be sure 15 that it's correct. 16 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 18 11:46:19. (Off the record.) 19 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, the time is 11:50:04. 21 22 BY MR. KATZ: Q. Directing your attention to note 23 24 11, refers to an 8 million dollar reallocation

from Players, Inc. to the NFLPA. Do you see

800.300.1214

25

619.239.4111

				_
-	h	$\overline{}$	+	כי
	п	а	L.	

- A. Yes.
- Q. And can you just describe to us as best you can what that is; what that was?
- A. The 8 million dollars was a reallocation based on changing market conditions in the overall licensing operation for Players, Inc. and the NFLPA.
- Q. And was that reflected in an agreement?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And have you seen the agreement?
- A. Yes.
- Q. When it says to reflect changed market conditions, what were those changed market conditions, sir?
- A. The basis for the 8 million dollars was increase over time in the logo value of the NFLPA and Players, Inc.
- MR. FEHER: Ma'am, could you just tell me where we are pagewise?
- Q. So if I'm getting this correctly, the increase in -- the change in market conditions was an increase in the logo value of the logo of both Players, Inc. and the

PAULSON

REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
WWW.PAULSONREPORTING.COM

800.300.1214

619.239.4111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

89

- A. I don't understand.
- Q. Do you understand what the effect of Exhibit 91 is?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Please tell me.
- A. The change in market conditions was designated that the logo value of the NFLPA and Players, Inc. was 8 million dollars. That was determined to be reallocated from the equal share pool to both NFLPA and Players, Inc.
- Q. So the NFLPA -- of the 8 million dollars, how much of it went to the NFLPA?
 - A. 60 percent.
 - Q. And how much of it went to PI?
- 16 A. 40 percent.
 - Q. And one hundred percent of it was taken from the equal share pool which went to active players; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So this is an agreement that financially benefited the NFLPA and Players, Inc., and it was financially detrimental to the players; is that correct?

MR. FEHER: Objection to form.

PAULSON
REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
WWW.PAULSONREPORTING.COM

		92
1	(The record was read, as	
2	requested.)	
3	MR. FEHER: You can disclose the	
4	fact that legal counsel was consulted. But	
5	you can't disclose the content of legal	
6	counsel's advice.	
7	A. We requested support for an	
8	understanding of this reallocation of 8	
9	million dollars.	
10	Q. And what support did you receive,	
11	if any?	
12	A. The primary support for that 8	
13	million dollars was a peer review of another	
14	sports organization's logo use revenue.	
15	Q. And who conducted that peer	
16	review?	
17	A. Legal counsel.	
18	MR. FEHER: Well, you can't	
19	divulge the contents of any conclusion the	
20	general subject matter is as far as you can	
21	go, and I think we've gone as far as we can go	
22	in terms of the general subject matter.	
23	Q. Was this evaluation what was	
24	the other sports organization?	

A. The NBA Players Association.

25