

EXHIBIT 6

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA

Parrish v. National Football League Players Association, et al.

DEWEY & LeBOEUF

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6092

tel +1 212 259-8349
fax +1 212-259-6333
jclark@dl.com

May 28, 2008

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Low LeClair
McKool Smith
300 Crescent Court
Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas 75201
E-mail: lleclair@mckoolsmith.com

Re: Parrish, et al. v. National Football League Players
Association, et al., Case No. C07-0943 WHA

Dear Lew:

I am writing in response to your letter dated May 22, 2008 regarding certain of Defendants' responses on April 14, 2008 to Plaintiffs' document requests.

Document Request No. 28 to Players Inc

Defendants have already produced the information from the database relating to payments to retired players for licensing (see, e.g., PI094484-PI094955). Defendants' understanding is that the database does not contain information relating to solicitation of GLA forms or potential licensing opportunities for retired players. Nor does the database include correspondence to or from retired players. The only information related to correspondence with retired players is for administrative matters, such as a 'thank you sent' field for players who participate in player marketing deals which merely indicates whether a thank you note was sent. Such administrative matters are wholly irrelevant to Plaintiffs' claims, and producing such information would be unduly burdensome.

Request No. 31 to Players Inc

As you know, the NFL Sponsorship and Internet Agreements do not refer at all to retired player licensing rights, and Defendants have objected to Plaintiffs' overbroad request for all documents

NEW YORK | LONDON MULTINATIONAL PARTNERSHIP | WASHINGTON, DC
ALBANY | ALMATY | AUSTIN | BEIJING | BOSTON | BRUSSELS | CHARLOTTE | CHICAGO | DUBAI
EAST PALO ALTO | FRANKFURT | HARTFORD | HONG KONG | HOUSTON | JACKSONVILLE | JOHANNESBURG (PTY) LTD.
LOS ANGELES | MILAN | MOSCOW | PARIS MULTINATIONAL PARTNERSHIP | RIYADH AFFILIATED OFFICE | ROME | SAN FRANCISCO | WARSAW

May 28, 2008

Page 2

relating to the negotiations of those agreements. Based upon the additional explanation of Plaintiffs' request provided in Mr. Hilbert's letter, dated May 16, 2008, Defendants agreed to produce, to the extent they exist, any documents regarding Plaintiffs' allegation "that retired player rights are included in the NFL Sponsorship and Internet Agreement." Defendants also specifically agreed to produce any documents, to the extent they exist, related to the adoption of the practice by which monies that Players Inc paid to retired NFL players could be applied as an accounting matter against the "Active Usage Credit" referenced in the NFL Sponsorship Agreement. After making diligent searches for documents in each category, Defendants believe that no such documents exist, but Defendants will double check whether any such documents can be located.

After waiting more than a month, until a week before the close of discovery, to even raise an issue with Defendants' responses to this request, Plaintiffs most recent correspondence has not explained why Defendants' amended response is inadequate, and instead merely reiterates the overbroad request for all documents relating to the negotiation of these agreements, which do not refer in any way to retired player licensing rights. Nor have Plaintiffs even sought to meet and confer on this issue, as Defendants' previous letter requested. Rather, Plaintiffs simply assert again that they reserve their right to raise inferences and preclude evidence from Defendants. It appears that Plaintiffs are more interested in raising adverse inferences and precluding evidence than in reaching an agreement on a reasonable request seeking relevant information.


Defendants have sought to reasonably respond to Plaintiffs' document request. To the extent those documents do not exist, that is simply a reflection of the factual record that neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants can change. We also note that Plaintiffs have had every opportunity to ask Defendants' deponents about your assertion that retired player rights somehow are encompassed by these agreements even though they are nowhere mentioned in them, or about the adoption of the accounting practice regarding the Active Usage Credit. To the extent that Plaintiffs chose not to make any inquiry of Defendants' deponents as to these matters, that was purely Plaintiffs' decision. Plaintiffs have no basis to try to preclude any evidence on this matter, as to which Defendants have fully responded whenever an inquiry was made relating to retired players.

Document Request Nos. 35, 37, 38 and 40 to Players Inc

Defendants are not substituting a new objection in a place of its previous objection. Defendants' initial responses, dated April 14, 2008, to Plaintiffs' Request Nos. 35, 37, 38 and 40 all stated that they were "subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections." Plaintiffs' prior correspondence, dated May 16, 2008, objected only to the Defendants' use of the term "otherwise" which Defendants have agreed to remove. Defendants confirm that they have produced all documents responsive to these requests, except that, as Defendants explained in prior correspondence, Defendants will only produce in response to Request No. 40, web pages that describe or refer to retired NFL players and licensing.

May 28, 2008
Page 3

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jason D. Clark". The signature is written in a cursive style with a prominent initial "J".

Jason D. Clark

cc: Ron Katz
Ryan Hilbert
David Feher
David Greenspan