EXHIBIT A

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, : CIVIL ACTION HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER : ROBERTS, III, on behalf of themselves : and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION; a Virginia Corporation : and NATIONAL FOOTBALL : LEAGUE PLAYERS, INC., : d/b/a PLAYERS, INC., a Virginia corporation:

Defendants : NO. C07-0943-WHA

February 20, 2008

Videotape deposition of HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, held in the offices of Blank Rome, One Logan Square, 9th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, commencing at 8:30 a.m. on the above date, before Teresa M. Beaver, a Federally-Approved Registered Professional Reporter and a Notary Public in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

JOB NO. 200714

	Page 7	D		Page 7
1		1	2007.	
2		2		
3	recess.)	3	2	
4	100033.)	4		
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time	5		
6	is 9:46 a.m., we are back on the	6		
7	record.	7		
8	MR, KESSLER: Before I	8		
وَ	continue my question of	9		
10		110		
11		11		
12		12		
13		13		
14		14		
15		15		
16		16		
17	•	17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18		18		
19		19		
20	• • •	20	•	
21	my right upon review of that, to	21	· -	
22		22		
23	there are materials that I believe	23	C	
24	· ·	24		
	Page 71	+		Page 73
1	I hope that won't be the	1	any breach of your licensing agreement;	_
2	case but I have no way of knowing,	2	did you know that, sir?	
3	since the production was just done	3	MR. KATZ: Object. It calls	
4	this morning,	4	for a legal conclusion.	
5	And requests that have been	5	THE WITNESS: No.	
6	outstanding for a very long period	6	BY MR. KESSLER:	
7	of time.	7	Q. Did you know that this	
8	BY MR. KESSLER:	8	document doesn't make any factual claim	
9	Q. Mr. Adderley, you testified	9	that there was some breach by anyone of	
10	earlier withdrawn.	10	your licensing agreement?	
11	In some of the e-mails we	11	MR. KATZ: Object. It calls	
12	looked at, that you wrote, you said the	12	for a legal conclusion.	
13	reason you were bringing the lawsuit is	13	THE WITNESS: Did I know	
14	because you believed that you had signed	14	that there was a factual	
15	a group license agreement with Players,	15	BY MR. KESSLER:	
ľ	Inc. and that you weren't getting the	16	Q. That no claim was made about	
17	money you were entitled to. Is that	17	that.	
18	true?	18	A. Yes.	
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. When did you learn that?	
20	MR, KATZ: Object.	20	A. After reading the document.	
21	BY MR. KESSLER:	21	Q. And were you surprised?	
22	Q. Now, let me show you a copy	22	MR. KATZ: Object.	
23	of the First Amended Complaint in this	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
	case; which was filed on February 23rd,	24		

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

		Page 74		· -	Page	76
1	Q. And what is your		1	A. Yes.		
2	understanding of why no claim was made		2	Q. That was advanced. Okay.	•	
3	for a breach of your license agreement in		3	This was not the later theories; okay?		
4	this, if that was what you thought your		4	A. Right. Right,		
5	claim was?		5	Q. Do you have any		
6	MR. KATZ: Object. It calls		6	understanding why the first theories that		
7	for a legal conclusion. And also,		7	were advanced in the case have nothing to		
8	I would instruct you don't reveal		8	do with your group license agreement?		
9	any communications between		9	MR. KATZ: And I'm going to		
10	attorneys and you.		10	object. I think it's really		
11	THE WITNESS: Repeat the		11	harassing to keep asking for a		
12	question again.		12	legal conclusion.		
13	BY MR. KESSLER:		13	But also, any information		
14	Q. Yeah. Why do you understand		14	that you have, that's based on		
15	that a lawsuit was filed for you that did		15			
16			16	something your lawyer told you, please do not reveal that		
17	not have any claim for breaching your		17	•		
18	license agreement, even though that's why	•	18	information. It's privileged.		
	you thought you were in the lawsuit?			THE WITNESS: Repeat again,		
19	MR. KATZ: Object. Also, as		19	please.		
20	I said, do not convey any		20	BY MR. KESSLER;		
21	information that you learned from		21	Q. Yeah. What is your		
22	your lawyers. Otherwise, you may		22	understanding of why the very first		
23	answer.		23	theory put in this case had nothing to do		
24	If you have another basis		24	with breaching your group license		
		Page 75			Page	77
1	for that, you may answer.		1	agreement?		
2	THE WITNESS: I thinking		2	MR. KATZ: Same objection.		
3	that the theory changed from the		3	Same instruction.		
4	beginning. It was the reason I		4	THE WITNESS: Because it		
5	got involved was the use of		5	didn't have anything to do with		
б	likeness or names, et cetera, on		6	the lawsuit, with the group		
7	the agreement that I had that I		7	licensing agreement and the escrow		
8	told Mr. Parrish that I had when		8	fund set aside.		
9	he contacted me about being		9	BY MR. KESSLER:		
10	involved with the lawsuit.		10	Q. This complaint says nothing		
11	And then all of a sudden, it		11	about the escrow fund; right?		
12	was the group licensing agreement		12	A. No.		
13	that where the money was		13	Q. Okay. What did you think,		
14	supposed to be set aside and it		14	when your lawsuit was first filed, first		
15	should have gotten paid out of		15	filed, what claim did you think you were		
16	that. It was not in here.		16	asserting, sir?		
17	BY MR. KESSLER:		17	A. I was thinking that this		
18	Q. Okay. I have a slightly		18	referred to the agreement that I signed		
	different question.		19	with player's name with Reebok, that I		
	Before any case was filed,		20	didn't get paid for, I couldn't get any		
20			21	acknowledgement from in the heginning		- 1
20	your complaint was your agreement was not			acknowledgement from, in the beginning. O. That's what you thought this		
20 21			22	acknowledgement from, in the beginning. Q. That's what you thought this was about?		

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

		Page 78			Page	80
1	MR. KATZ: Object.	-	1	Q. Let me show you now the		
2	BY MR. KESSLER:		2	Third Amended Complaint in this action.		
3	Q. But there's nothing in here		3	Mr. Adderley, I take it you		
4	about that; right?		4	never saw this document before it was		
5	A. Right.		5	filed, too, correct?		
6	MR, KATZ: Object,		6	A. That's correct.		
7	BY MR. KESSLER:		7	Q. Okay. Do you know if this		
8	Q. Did you have any discussion		8	is the current document or not or whether		
9	with Mr. Parrish about the fact that he		9	there's a Fourth Amended Complaint?		
10	did not sign a group license agreement?		10	MR. KATZ: I have a		
11			11	question, Mr. Kessler. Is this		
12			12	•		
13			13	MR. KESSLER: The third		
14			14	Amended Complaint?		
15			15	MR. KATZ: Yes.		
16	this document before it was filed;		16	Mr. Adderley would not have seen		
17	correct?		17	anything that wasn't redacted.		
18	0MR. COHEN: This is not		18	So, it's your		
19	Mr. Parrish.		19	MR. KESSLER: Okay.		
20	BY MR. KESSLER:		20	MR. KATZ: It's your		
21	Q. I'm sorry. Mr. Adderley,		21	designations that make things. He		
22	you never saw this document before it was		22	has seen a redacted version of		
23	filed; correct?		23	this.		
24	A. No.		24	BY MR, KESSLER:		
		Page 79			Page	81
1	Q. Now, let me direct your		1	Q. Let me ask that back from		
2	attention to Page 3 of this document.		2	you, sir. And we'll get the redacted one		
3	You'll see under Paragraph		3	faxed to us so we can show you that.		
4	5, there's a paragraph about you,		4	But let me just ask you now,		
5	Plaintiff Herbert Anthony Adderley;		5	have you read before it was filed, did		i
6	that's you, right?		6	you read a redacted version of this		
7	A. Yes.		7	complaint.		
8	Q. The last sentence says "He,"	-	8	A. No.		
9	meaning you, "is a competitor and/or		9	MR. KATZ: Explain, redacted		
10	potential competitor to defendants for		10	means blacked out. Do you		
11	the marketing of his image."		11	understand that?		
12	Do you see that?		12	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't.		- [
13	A. Yes.	i	13	BY MR. KESSLER:		.
14	Q. Okay. Do you agree that you		14	Q. Did you read any version of		İ
15	are a competitor or a potential		15	this complaint before it was filed,		ŀ
16	competitor of Players, Inc., or the NFLPA		16	blacked out or not?		Ì
17	to market your image?		17	A. Yes.		ľ
18	MR. KATZ: Object.	ļ	18	Q. Yes what? Explain, please.		
19	THE WITNESS: No.		19	A. I saw the complaint and it		إ
20	BY MR. KESSLER:		20	was blacked out.		
21	Q. Do you think that's wrong?		21	Q. When did you see it?		ļ
22	A. Yes.]	22	A. I don't know. I guess a few		ė
23	MR. KATZ: Object.		23	days after it was printed.		ŀ
24	BY MR. KESSLER:	į	24	Q. Okay. So, after it was		

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

		Page 8	6		Page	88
1	Q. Do you recall if it was more		1	those agreements, that you remember?		
2	than one?		2			
3	A. Yes.		3	C		
4	Q. So, you signed at least more		4	·		
5	than one GLA in the 1990s; correct?		5			
6	A. Yes.		6			
7	Q. And do you recall you		7			
8	entered another GLA in 2001, which is the		8	Q. Okay. So, after you knew,		
9	one before you; correct?		9	after the first two agreements you		
10			10			
11			11			
12	,		1.2	<u> </u>		
13			13	,		
14			14			
15	,		15	~ ~ ~ .		
16			16	1 0 7		
17	Q. You signed at least four		17	` ; ; ;		
18			18	, , , ,		
19 20	years or something like that? A. Yes.		19			
21	Q. Now, throughout that		20			
22	ten-year period of time, you knew		1 -			
23	MR. KATZ: Mr. Kessler, you		23	prior to this lawsuit, you never thought you were entitled to any equal share		
24	can take this for what it's worth,		ī	royalty; right?		
		Page 8			Page	g a
1,					1490	اد
1 2	you said 2005 a moment ago. I		1	A. That's wrong, I thought I		
2	think you misspoke. I think you		2	was entitled to something.		1
3 4	meant 2002. Whatever.		3	Q. Not something. Did you ever		
5	MR. KESSLER: I'm sorry. BY MR. KESSLER:		5	think you were entitled to an equal share		
6	Q. You signed it in 2002, the		6	royalty prior to this lawsuit being filed?		
7	second one?		7	MR. KATZ: Object.		
8	A. 2005.		8	THE WITNESS: Whether or not		ł
9	Q. And it expired in 2005?		9	it was equal share, you know, I		
10	A. That's correct.		10	don't know. I just figured I		Į.
11	Q. Throughout that period of		11	should have gotten something.		
12	time, when you were signing these four		12	BY MR. KESSLER:		1
13	different GLAs, you knew that you were		13	Q. What you thought, sir, is		
14	never receiving any equal share royalty;		14	that if your image was used, you should		
15	right? You knew that?		15	get something for that; right?		
16	A. Yes.		16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. And you also knew, sir, that		17	MR. KATZ: Object.		
18	you were never receiving any money, you		18	BY MR. KESSLER:		
19	know, under those agreements; correct?		19	Q. Prior to this lawsuit, you		
20	A. No, I didn't know that.		20	never thought that you should get		[:
21	Q. You thought you were getting		21	something if nobody used your image;		f
22	money under those agreements?		22	right?		ŀ
23	A. That's why I signed it, yes.		23	MR. KATZ: Object.		ľ
24	Q. What money did you get under		24	THE WITNESS: That's		- l:

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Г		Page 90			Page	92
	1 correct.		1	BY MR. KESSLER:	, ,	
	correct. BY MR. KESSLER:		2	Q. You thought it only referred		
	Q. That never occurred to you		1			
- 1	before this lawsuit; right?		3	at that time to retired players rights? A. Correct.		
	MR. KATZ: Object.		5	MR. KATZ: Object.		
			6	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
;			7	BY MR. KESSLER:		
1 8			8	Q. And what you thought you		
]			9	•		
1			10	were agreeing to get was that if your rights were licensed and used, you would		
1			!			
11.			11 12	<u>.</u> ,		
1			13			
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$			1	MR. KATZ: Object.		
1				BY MR. KESSLER:		
			15	Q. And that was your		
1	true of all the GLAs that you signed; correct?		16	understanding of this agreement?		
11			17 18	A. Yes.		
119	·	-		MR. KATZ: Object. BY MR. KESSLER:		
20			19	·		
			1	Q. Now, it also says in this		
2:			21	-		
2		•		between the player and an escrow account		
24			23	for all eligible NFLPA members; do you		
125	Q. Now, in the last paragraph		24	see that, sir?		
		Page 91			Page	93
1	,		1	A. Yes.		
2	"It is further understood that the monies		2	Q. First of all, were you an		
3	generated by such licensing of retired		3	NFLPA member in 2001?		
4	player group rights will be divided."	-	4	A. Yes.		
5	Do you see that?	į	5	Q. Do you know?		
6	A. Yes.		6	A. Yes.		
7	Q. When you read this, you		7	Q. What years were you an NFLPA		
8	understood this was only talking about	.]	8	member?		
9	money generated by licensing of retired	}	9	A. I don't recall.		
10			10	Q. How do you know if you were		
11			11	a member in 2001, sir, if you don't		
12			12	recall?		
13			13	 A. I recall paying dues up 		
14	1 3	-	14	until 2005, I believe.		
15	· · ·		15	Q. When did you stop paying		
1.6		ļ	16	dues?		
17			17	A. 2005.		- }
18	. , . ,		18	Q. You paid in 2005.		ŀ
19			19	Do you know if you paid in		ľ
20	to the licensing of active player rights?		20	2001?		ų.
21	MR, KATZ: Object.		21	A. I don't know.		15
22	THE WITNESS: No.	j	22	Q. Let me show you next the GLA		8
23	MR. KATZ: Asked and			that you signed in 2002, which will be		
24	answered.	İ		Exhibit 168.		F

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	Pa	ge 94			Page	96
1			1	groups of five or less players; correct?		
2	(Whereupon, the exhibit was		2			
3	marked 168 for identification.)		3	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
4			4			
5	BY MR, KESSLER:		5			
6	Q. Mr. Adderley, do you		6	efforts, since 2002, to market your image		
7	recognize this as the agreement you		7	in any way on your own, your name or		
8	signed in 2002, with the NFLPA?		8	image?		
9	A. Yes.		9	A. No.		
10	Q. And this language has the		10			
11	same language as the previous agreement		11			
12	that we looked at, Exhibit 167; correct?		12			
13	A. Yes,		13			
14	Q. So, I take it you had the		1	retired player, you're entitled to any		
15	same understanding of what this agreement		15			
16	meant, 168, as you had about 167;		16			
17	correct?		17	• •		
18	A. Yes.		18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
19	MR. KATZ: Object.		19			
20	BY MR. KESSLER:		20			
21	Q. Your answer was yes?		21	_		
22	A. Yes.		22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
23	Q. Now, looking again at this		23	rights on your own?		
24			24	A. No, there's nothing limiting		
		ge 95		71. 110, there's housing mining	Page	97
		.				• /
1	It says on top, that "The		1	me.		
2	undersigned hereby authorizes the		2	MR. KATZ: I'll object to		
3	National Football League Players		3	that.		
4	Association, its licensing affiliates the		4	BY MR. KESSLER:		
5	nonexclusive right to use his name."		5	Q. Is it also true that there		
6	Do you see that, sir?		6	is was nothing limiting you from doing		
7	A. Yes.		7	that in 2002 until today?		-
8	Q. When you signed this, you		8	MR. KATZ: Object.	•	
9	understood it was a nonexclusive		9	BY MR. KESSLER:		
10	agreement; correct?		10	Q. Let me ask a better		
11	A. Yes.	1		question.		
12	Q. That was true of all the		12	You've been free to market		İ
13	GLAs you signed; correct?	ĺ	13	your rights on your own from 2002 up		ļ
14	A. Yes.		14	through today; correct?		ļ
15	Q. If you look at the third	.	15	A. Yes.		
16	paragraph, it says "The undersigned	***************************************	16	MR. KATZ: Object.		
	player retains the right to grant the use		17	BY MR. KESSLER:		ŀ
18	of his image to another entity for use in		18	 Q. Sir, is it your belief that 		J
19	a group of five or less presidents or		19	you had any power to control Player,		
	former players."	ļ	20	Inc.'s conduct with regard to your group		
_	Do you see that?	1	21	licensing?		[.
				TELEPOOL SIL		F
21 22	A. Yes.	1	22	MR. KATZ: Object.		ŀ
22 23	A. Yes. Q. And you knew that you had reserved that right to market yourself in	1	22 23	MR. KATZ: Object. THE WITNESS: Yes.		ľ

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

		Page 106	1		Page	108
	1.00 K 1.00% - O1 '	rage 100	_	A - W	Lugo	100
1	MR. KATZ: Object.		1	A. Yes.		
2	BY MR. KESSLER:		2	Q. Now, Mr. Adderley, at the		
3	Q. Do you think the Hall of	•	3	time of the Hall of Fame deal that went		
4	Fame got millions. Who told you that,		4	to EA, EA didn't already have your		
5	sir?		5	rights; did it?		
6	A. I saw a document where EA		6	A. I don't know.		
7	had some deal with Players, Inc., and it		7	Q. Well, if EA already had your		
8	was almost a one billion dollar deal.		8	rights, why would they give you more		
9	Q. Was that a newspaper report		9	money?		
10	- C		10	MR, KATZ: Object.		
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11	MR. KESSLER: For your		
12	•		12	rights.		
13	type of video.		13	MR. KATZ: Object.		
14	Q. So, you think that the Hall		14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.		
15	of Fame		15	BY MR. KESSLER:	,	
16	 A. \$2,000 was cheap, and that 		16	Q. Well, can you think of any		
17	we should have made at least, in my		17	reason why, if a company already had the		
18	opinion, 40,000; 10,000 in four payments		18	rights to you, and paid for it, why they		
19	of 10,000.		19	would give more money for the same		
20	Q. 40,000 each?		20	rights?		
21	A. Yes.		21	MR. KATZ: Object.		
22	Q. Let me ask you this, sir:		22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.		
23	If all the Hall of Fame got, from EA, was		23	BY MR. KESSLER:		
24	a total of \$400,000, okay, let's assume		24	Q. Can you think of any		
		Page 107			Page	109
1	that for the moment. I know you don't		1	possible reason that would make sense to		
2	know.		. 2	you?		
3	If all they got was 400,000		3	MR. KATZ: Object.		
4	from EA, do you think then that 8,000 to		4	THE WITNESS: No.		
5	each Hall of Famer was fair?		5	BY MR. KESSLER:		
6	MR. KATZ: Object.	-	6	Q. Let me ask it this way: At		
7	THE WITNESS: I don't know,		7	the time you gave these rights to the	•	
8	because I don't know how many Hall	}	8	Hall of Fame, at that time, you didn't		
- 9	of Famers were included and how	ĺ	9	think then that EA already had your		
10	they would divide the money.		10	rights; did you?		
	BY MR, KESSLER:		11	A. No.		
12	Q. Let me put it this way		12	MR. KATZ: Object.		
13	never mind.		13	BY MR. KESSLER:		
14	But you agreed to give your		14	Q. You did not believe that?		
15	rights up for \$8,000 to the Hall of Fame,		15	MR. KATZ: Object.		
16	right, for an EA video game?		16	THE WITNESS: I didn't know		
17	A. Yes.		17	whether they had or not.		
18	Q. And no one forced you to do		18	BY MR. KESSLER:		
19	that it; right?		19	Q. But you didn't think they		
	A. That's correct.	Ì	20	already had it at that time?		
20						
21	Q. You could have said no?		21	MR. KATZ: Object.		
21 22	Q. You could have said no?A. Yes.		21 22	MR. KATZ: Object. THE WITNESS: That's		
21 22 23	Q. You could have said no?		22 23	•		

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	Page 118	1	Page 120
] 1		1	your name with Reebok or whether the fan
2		2	added the name; correct?
3	Q. Did they ever sell it?	3	MR. KATZ: Object.
4	A. Yes.	4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	Q. To who?	5	BY MR. KESSLER:
6	A. Well, one fan in Pueblo,	6	Q. Other than that one fan and
7	Colorado, sent me the jersey with	7	one jersey, do you have any other
8	Reebok's logo and Players, Inc. logo and	8	information whether Reebok ever sold your
9	my name on the back with my number 26 on	9	jersey with the name on it?
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14	j j	14	
15		15	
16	,	16	
17		17	received 100 percent of the payment due
18		18	
19		19	Do you see that, sir?
20		20	A. Yes.
21		21	Q. And then the answer says
22	Q. And did he tell you whether	22	"denied."
23		23	You see that?
24	•	24	A. Yes,
	Page 119		Page 121
	·	-	
1	Q. To the jersey?	1	Q. Okay. What time do you know
2	A. No.	2	of in which your image has been utilized
3	Q. Do you know whether he paid	3	by defendants or any licensee of
4	to have your name added to the jersey?	4	defendants, within the statute of
5	A. I don't know.	5	limitations in which you did not get 100
6	Q. You're aware, are you not,	6	percent payment?
7	sir, that stores around the country will	7	MR. KATZ: Object. Are you
8	add player names to jerseys at the	8	asking what you just asked him
9	request of customers for a fee; are you	9	about with respect to Reebok?
10	aware of that?	10	MR. KESSLER: I'm asking him
11	MR. KATZ: Object.	11	the question, what time would you
12	THE WITNESS: With the	12	know of, sir. I would appreciate
13	Reebok logo?	13	you object on the record and not
14	BY MR. KESSLER:	14	making a speaking objection.
15	Q. With any jersey?	15	THE WITNESS: Say that
16	MR. KATZ: Object.	16	again? What time?
17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17	BY MR. KESSLER:
	BY MR. KESSLER:	18	Q. Yes. I'll rephrase.
19	Q. That happens; correct?	19	Identify any situation in
20	A. Yes.	20	which defendants or their licensees used
21	MR. KATZ: Object.	21	your image and did not pay you 100
22	BY MR. KESSLER:	22	percent of the money due for using your
23	Q. You don't know whether that	23	image?
24	fan's jersey was something that came from	24	A. The only one I know of is

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	Page 122			Page	124
1	the Reebok jersey that I just explained	1	A. Yes.	-	
2	about.	2	Q. And it says "denied.		
3	Q. That's the only one you know	3	Adderley had no first-hand knowledge of		
4	of?	4	the existence of the 2005 EA agreement		
5	A. Yes.	5	prior to commencing this lawsuit."		
6	MR, KATZ: And I'm going to	6	Okay. Did you have		
7	object to that question.	7	secondhand knowledge about it prior to		
8	BY MR: KESSLER:	8	commencing this lawsuit?		
9	Q. Did you ever have any	9	MR. KATZ: Object.		
10	communication with Reebok directly	10	THE WITNESS: Which EA		
11	A. No.	11	agreement? The Hall of Fame		
12	Q about this issue?	12	BY MR. KESSLER:		
13		13	Q. The 2005 EA agreement.		
14	<u> </u>	14	A. With the Hall of Fame?		
15		15	That's the only EA agreement that I know		
16		16	about. I didn't know about that.		
17		17			
18	return calls or e-mails or letters or	18	MR. KATZ: You interrupted	÷	
19	, .	19	him, Jeff. Are you finished with		
20	Q. You never heard back from	20	your answer?		
21	Players, Inc.?	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
22	A. No. They refused to	22	BY MR. KESSLER:		
23	acknowledge me.	23	Q. Did you read in the		
24	Q. You say refuse. What did	24	newspapers about the fact that Players,		
	Page 123			Page :	125
1 1	they do to refuse? They just didn't	1	Inc. or the NFLPA had any agreement with	1	
2	return your calls you said?	2	Reebok prior to filing this lawsuit?		
3	A. They didn't return the calls	3	MR, KATZ: Object.		
4	or e-mail messages. I wrote a letter	4	THE WITNESS: No.		
5	that I have copies of and they wouldn't	5	BY MR. KESSLER:		
6	answer the letter.	6	Q. When did you first learn		
7	Q. What year was this, sir,	7	that Players, Inc. had some agreement		
1	that you did this?	8	with EA?		
9	A. Beg your pardon?	9	MR. KATZ: Object.		
10	Q. What year did you do that?	10	THE WITNESS: From reading		
11	A. Same year that I signed the	11	in the paper.		
12	agreement with them, Reebok.	12	BY MR. KESSLER:		
13 14	Q. Do you remember what year that was?	13	Q. When, in terms of dates or		
15	A. 2004, I believe; because the	14	month or year?		
16	agreement ran for three years. Worldwide	15 16	A. Well, it was I guess it		
17	agreement.	16 17	was last year. Q. 2007 some time?	•	
18	Q. Now, take a look at Request	18	Q. 2007 some time? A. Yeah, 2007.		
	Provision Number 34,	19	Q. Looking at Request to Admit		
20	It says "Admit that prior to		Number 25.		
	commencing this lawsuit, Adderley knew	21	At the very bottom of this		
	about the existence of the 2005 EA	22	request, in the response, it says		
	agreement."	23	"Adderley admits that he knew that he was		
					- 12

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

		Page 134		Pag	e 13
1	· ,		1	are seeking damages in this case?	
2	anything in particular?		2	A. Yes.	
3	A. No.		3	 Q. How have you been damaged, 	
4	Q. Take a look at Paragraph 18		4	sir?	
5	of the redacted complaint; it's on Page		5	MR. KATZ: Object.	
6	6.		6	THE WITNESS: By not	
7	And this says "Adderley		7	receiving any compensation from	
8	first signed the GLA with the NFLPA in		8	the group licensing agreement, the	
9	1993."		9	last one that I signed, that said	
10	•		10	that there have been escrow	
11			11		
12			12	shared with retired players,	
13	→		13	included,	
14				BY MR. KESSLER:	
15 16			15	Q. Other than the Reebok	
17	·		16	1. B , 2 1 1 1 1	
18	£		17	J J	
19			18 19	get paid for?	
20			20	A. No.	
21	second GLA?			MR. KATZ: Object. BY MR. KESSLER:	
22			22	Q. So, can you identify any	
23	Q. And then you signed a third		23		
	GLA on 2001; correct?		ſ	other than the Reebok program?	
		Page 135		1 12	⇒ 137
7	A. Yes.	1-90 100		•	- 131
1 2			1	A. No.	
3	Q. Now, so, when you signed your GLA on May 1, 2001, it had already		2	MR. KATZ: Object.	
4	been more than eight years since you		3 4	BY MR. KESSLER:	
5	signed your first GLA; correct?	1	5	Q. Do you have any information	
6	A. Correct.		6	on how you would calculate how much	
7	Q. Okay. And despite the fact	}	7	you've been damaged? A. No.	
8	that you never got paid under those GLAs,		8	A. No. MR. KATZ: Object.	
9	you kept signing them; correct?		9	BY MR. KESSLER;	
10	A. Correct.		10	Q. Do you have any information	
11	Q. And the first time you ever			on how other class members would	
12	believed any money was due to you, from		12	calculate how they've been damaged?	
13	Players, Inc., that you didn't get, had		13	A. No.	
14	to do with the Reebok jersey; correct?		14	MR. KATZ: Object.	
15	A. Yes.	į		BY MR. KESSLER:	
16	Q. Prior to that, you had no		16	Q. Do you agree if some class	
17	complaint with how Players, Inc. was	İ		members' rights are more valuable, like a	
18	utilizing your licensing; right?	:]	18	Joe Montana, that that person would be	
	A. Correct.	- 1		more damaged than somebody who just	
19		1	20	played one game, one year on special	
19 20	Q. Take a look at Page 18,	I			
	Q. Take a look at Page 18, Paragraph 55.			teams?	
20				teams?	
20 21	Paragraph 55.		21		

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	Page 138		Page 140
1	Q. The damages should vary	1	is 170, a copy of a message from you.
2	depending on how valuable your rights	2	• • -
3	are; correct?	3	(Whereupon, the exhibit was
4	A. Yes.	4	marked 170 for identification.)
5	MR. KATZ: Object.	5	· ·
6	BY MR. KESSLER:	6	BY MR. KESSLER:
7	Q. Let me direct your	7	Q. Do you recognize this
8	attention, please, to Paragraph 570 Page	8	document, 170, Mr. Adderley, as a message
9	19.	9	that you left or sent?
10		10	A. Yes.
11	that on information believed in the fall	11	Q. And you sent this to
12	of 2003, the NFLPA solicited retired	12	and the second s
13		13	A, Yes,
14		14	Q. And why were you sending him
15		15	
16	class, and then it says see letter from	16	A. He asked me about it.
17	Doug Allen to NFLPA members in the fall	17	Q. What did he ask?
18	of 2003.	18	A. How much I was paid.
19	Do you see that, sir?	19	Q. I'm sorry? I didn't hear.
20	A. Yes.	20	A. How much I was paid.
21	Q. And it then says that	21	Q. How much you were paid by
22	Parrish and Adderley received this fall,	22	Players, Inc.?
23	2003 letter; do you see that? The last	23	A. Yes. And was I paid in
24	sentence?	24	full.
	Page 139		Page 141
1	A. Is that where	1	Q. And did you tell him you
2	Q. It says Parrish and Adderley	2	were paid in full?
3	received this fall, 2003 letter, the last	3	A. Yes.
4	line of Paragraph 57?	4	Q. And there's a reference here
5	A. Okay.	5	to the legends payment.
6	Q. But that's false; right?	6	Do you know what that
7	You never received that letter?	7	referred to? "I was paid 2,913.01 for
8	MR. KATZ: Object.	8	legends use of image"?
9.	THE WITNESS: From Doug	9	A. Yeah. It was a deal with
10	Allen? I don't remember.	10	Players, Inc., that they used my image
11	BY MR. KESSLER;	11	and they sent me a check for \$2,000. I
12	Q. You have no recollection of	12	don't have no idea what the image was or
13	ever receiving that letter; right?	13	what the motion was.
14	A. I remember receiving the	14	Q. That was apart from Upper
15	letter from Doug Allen but I don't	15	Deck?
16	remember the contents of the letter.	16	A. That was solely different
17	Q. You have no way of knowing	17	from Upper Deck.
18	whether it was this fall, 2003 letter;	18	Q. And they paid you in full
19	correct?	19	for that?
20	A. Correct.	20	A. 2000, yes.
21	Q. We're finished with that	21	Q. You have no complaint about
22	complaint for now.	22	that?
23	Let me show you next, we'll mark as the next exhibit, which I guess	23	A. No, I don't.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Doge OF4	1		D	250
_	Name Woods The Co.	Page 254		to town	Page	∠56
1	New York Times, too."		1	in here.		
2	Do you see that?		2	BY MR, KESSLER:		
3	A. Yes.		3	Q. It says "Any group licensing		
4	Q. You knew Mr. Parrish said		4	contract entered into with an		
5	that; correct?		5	individual" I'm sorry.		
6	A. Yes.		6	It says "It is further		
7	Q. And you agreed with that;		7	understood that the monies generated by		
8	right?		8	such licensing of retired player group		
9	A. No, I didn't agree with it.		9	rights will be divided between the player		
10	Q. You don't agree with that?		10	and an escrow account for all eligible		
11	A. No.	:	11			
12	Q. Okay. Did you tell		12	A. Correct.		
13			13	Q. There's nothing in the GLA		
14	•		14			
15			15	among any players; right?		
16			16	MR. KATZ: Object.		
17			17			
18	A. Because I had nothing to do		18	it.		
	with it. And it doesn't make sense to		19	BY MR. KESSLER:		
20			20	Q. Is there anything in there		
21	Q. He says "I intend to give		21	that says how it will be divided or what		
22	Upshaw and his cronies what they deserve		22	portions?		
•	for the pain and suffering they've		23	A. No.		
	inflicted on our brothers and their		24			
24		<u> </u>	24	MR. KATZ: Objection.		
		Page 255	·		Page	257
1	families."		1	BY MR. KESSLER:		
2	Do you agree with that?		2	Q. When you read the GLAs, you		
3	A. Yes.	-	3	read and understood this GLA; correct?		
4	Q. Let me show you next a copy		4	A. Yes.		
5	of Exhibit 167; if you take a look at		5	Q. Here when you read and		
6	this, please.		6	understood it, you didn't see anything		
7	Now, you testified when your		7	there about how the money might be		
8	counsel asked that the reason you thought		8	divided between particular retired		
9	that you were entitled to an equal	1	9	players; right?		
10	royalty, or an equal share was because it		10	MR. KATZ: Object.		
11	said something in the GLA about dividing		11	THE WITNESS: Correct.		
12	the money equally?			BY MR. KESSLER:		
13	MR. KATZ: Object.		13	Q. Let me ask you next, you		
14	BY MR. KESSLER:	·	14	said a number of players called you to		
15	Q. Was that your testimony,	į	15	say they had problems with licensing with		
16	sir?		16	Players, Inc., after the lawsuit was		
17	MR. KATZ: Object.	l				
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	. [18	A. Some players called, yeah.		
19	BY MR. KESSLER:	ļ	19	Q. But you didn't get any		
20	Q. Okay. Would you show me	4	20	e-mails or documents; they just called		
21	where the word equally or anything like	[21	you on the phone?		
22	that appears in this document?		22	A. Yes.		
23	MR. KATZ: Object.		23			. [
24	THE WITNESS: Equally is not]	23 24	Q. Who were they, please? A. One guy was Mike Ciani.		[
- T	TITE WITTIESS. Exqually is not		Z '	 A. One guy was Mike Ciani, 		1

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

Page 320 CERTIFICATE 3 I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the deposition of the above matter, and that this is a correct transcript of the same. 10 11 12 13 14 15 Teresa M. Beaver, RPR 16 17 18 (The foregoing certification of 19 this transcript does not apply to any 20 reproduction of the same by any means, 21 unless under the direct control and/or 22 supervision of the certifying shorthand 23 reporter.) 24