## **EXHIBIT 4**

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA Parrish v. National Football League Players Association, et al.

Dockets.Justia.com

Page 1 1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 5 -----X 6 BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER 7 ROBERTS, III, on behalf of themselves and all others 8 similarly situated, Plaintiffs, No. CO7-0943-WHA 9 10 vs. 11 NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a Virginia corporation 12 and NATIONAL FOOTBALL PLAYERS, INC., d/b/a PLAYERS, INC., a Virginia 13 corporation, 14 Defendants. -----x 15 16 17 18 DEPOSITION OF BRUCE LAIRD 19 New York, New York 20 Wednesday, September 24, 2008 21 22 23 Reported by: 24 LESLIE FAGIN 25 JOB NO. 205549

,

1	Page 2
2	September 24, 2008
3	11:17 a.m.
4	
5	Videotaped Deposition of BRUCE
6	LAIRD, held at the offices of Dewey &
7	LeBoeuf, 1301 Avenue of the Americas, New
8	York, New York, pursuant to Notice and
9	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before
10	Leslie Fagin, a Notary Public of the
11	State of New York.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 153 1 B. Laird 2 \$100. Α. 3 Q. And do you get a rebate of \$50 of 4 that 100? 5 Α. Yes, sir. So the total you pay per year is 6 Q. 7 \$50? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 You said you believe you would have 0. 10 expected to get payments the first time there 11 was a program that involved six or more 12 players whether they were active or retired, 13 right? 14 Uh-huh. Α. 15 Q. So when you signed the agreement in 16 2000, in 2001, you knew there were trading 17 cards of football players, right? 18 MR. KATZ: Objection. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. You knew there were trading cards 21 for active players in 2002, right? 22 MR. KATZ: Objection. 23 There were trading cards ever since Α. 24 I remember being in the league. 25 So you knew every year there were Q.

Page 154 1 B. Laird 2 trading cards for active players, right? 3 MR. KATZ: Objection. Uh-huh. 4 Α. 5 You never received any funds from Q. 6 the active player trading cards, right? 7 MR. KATZ: Objection. 8 Α. No. 9 You never complained to anyone Q. 10 about not receiving that? 11 MR. KATZ: Objection. 12 A. The playing cards --13 Q. Yes or no. 14 MR. KATZ: He can answer the way he 15 wants. 1.6 The playing cards program has been Α. 17 in effect for years, that deal has been 18 negotiated for years. This to me was a new 19 GLA looking to take care of present and 20 future active and former players in a new 21 licensing program, a new licensing program, 22 not Topps cards. 23 Ο. So your understanding when you 24 signed this, it would have nothing to do with 25 Topps, nothing to do with Upper Deck, nothing

Page 155 1 B. Laird 2 to do with other trading cards, right? 3 MR. KATZ: Objection. 4 Α. Yeah. 5 So it would have to be a new 0. 6 category that had never dealt with prior to 7 2000? 8 Α. Yes. 9 MR. KATZ: Objection. 10 Now, prior to your testifying now, Q. 11 you spent sometime talking with counsel, is 12 that correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And did you review the questions he Q. 15 was going to ask you in this examination? 16 Α. Some. 17 Q. And you reviewed the answers you 18 were going to give, correct? 19 Α. Some, yes. 20 MR. KESSLER: I have no further 21questions. 22 BY MR. KATZ: 23 Q. I have a couple further questions. 24 You just testified that you 25 believed that the Topps was not included in

Page 159 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 STATE OF NEW YORK ) 4 : SS. 5 COUNTY OF NEW YORK ) 6 7 I, LESLIE FAGIN, a Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do 8 9 hereby certify: 10 That BRUCE LAIRD, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, 11 was duly sworn by me and that such 12 13 deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 14 I further certify that I am not 15 related to any of the parties to this 16 17 action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome 18 19 of this matter. 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 21 set my hand this 24th day of September, 22 2004. Julii Fag 23 24 LESLIE FAGIN, RPR 25