

EXHIBIT 15

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA

Parrish v. National Football League Players Association, et al.

McKool Smith

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION • ATTORNEYS

Brett Charhon
Direct Dial: (214) 978-4236
bcharhon@mckoolsmith.com

300 Crescent Court
Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 978-4000
Telecopier: (214) 978-4044

June 4, 2008

VIA E-MAIL

Jason Clark, Esq.
Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6092

RE: Bernard Paul Parrish, et al. v. National Football League Players Association
and Players Inc., Case No. C07-0943 WHA

Dear Jason:

I am writing in response to your June 2, 2008 letter to Lew LeClair regarding Defendants' failure to produce documents in response to Plaintiffs' Document Request No. 31. Your letter merely highlights the disagreement between the parties and brings us no closer to resolving our dispute.

As Lew explained in his May 28, 2008 letter to you regarding this issue, the time for producing documents has past. Discovery ended on May 23, 2008, and it is too late for Defendants to start producing documents in response to requests for production that were served almost three months ago.

What is more, Defendants' proposal to produce documents "from the files of those persons at Players Inc or the NFLPA who were involved in the negotiations of the NFL Sponsorship and Internet Agreements" appears to be yet another example of Defendants' unwillingness to fully respond to Plaintiffs' requests. Roy Taub's April 2, 2008 and May 29, 2008 letters setting forth the information required in paragraph 14 of Judge Alsup's Standing Order clearly demonstrate that nearly all of the documents that Defendants have produced in this case are from Players Inc's and the NFLPA's shared servers or department files, not individual employee files. In fact, Plaintiffs were under the impression that Players Inc's and the NFLPA's employees generally did not keep their own individual files given that Defendants' represented that they have only searched the hard-copy files of four individuals in connection with this case. If Plaintiffs' understanding is incorrect, please notify us immediately, as this would raise significant concerns regarding the adequacy of Defendants' production.

Jason Clark, Esq.
June 4, 2008
Page 2

Plaintiffs consider this matter resolved for the time being and maintain that they should be given the right to raise all inferences from Defendants' refusal to timely produce responsive documents and to preclude Defendants from seeking to introduce documents or testimony related to these issues at trial.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Brett Charhon", written in a cursive style with a long tail stroke extending to the right.

Brett Charhon