# **EXHIBIT 8**

Case No. C 07 0943 WHA

Parrish v. National Football League Players Association, et al.

1 2 3 4 5	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP RONALD S. KATZ (Bar No. CA 085713) E-mail: rkatz@manatt.com RYAN S. HILBERT (California Bar No. 21 E-mail: rhilbert@manatt.com NOEL S. COHEN (California Bar No. 2196 E-mail: ncohen@manatt.com 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006 Telephone: (650) 812-1300	
6	Facsimile: (650) 213-0260  MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.	
7	LEWIS T. LECLAIR (Bar No. CA 077136)  E-mail: lleclair@mckoolsmith.com  300 Crescent Court  Dallas, TX 75201  Telephone: (214) 978-4984	
9		
10	Facsimile: (214) 978-4044	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15		·
16	BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER	CIVIL ACTION NO. C07 0943 WHA
17	ROBERTS III, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
18	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES
19	1 1001111111111111111111111111111111111	INTERROGATORIES
20	NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE	
21	PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a Virginia corporation, and NATIONAL FOOTBALL	
22	LEAGUE PLAYERS INCORPORATED d/b/a PLAYERS INC, a Virginia	
23	corporation,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		

MANATT, PHULPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

Plaintiffs hereby serve their supplemental objections and responses to Defendants' Fourth Sct of Interrogatories (collectively, the "Interrogatories" and individually, an "Interrogatory"), pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as follows:

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The following objections and responses are based upon the information currently known to Plaintiffs, including information ascertained pursuant to Plaintiffs' reasonable inquiry in response to each Interrogatory. Discovery and investigation are on-going and may disclose the existence of additional responsive information. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend or supplement these responses and objection s as additional information is discovered, revealed, recalled or otherwise ascertained. Plaintiffs specifically reserve the right to utilize subsequently discovered information at trial.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

The following General Objections apply to, and are specifically incorporated in, each response to each of the Requests, whether or not expressly stated in each individual response:

- 1. Plaintiffs object to the Requests to the extent that they seek to impose obligations beyond those set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Plaintiffs object to the definition of the terms "You," "Your" and "Plaintiffs" to the extent that they purport to require the disclosure of information in the possession, custody or control of anyone other than Herbert Anthony Adderley. Plaintiffs further object to the definitions of these terms to the extent that they purport to require the disclosure of information in the possession, custody or control of "attorneys" on the ground and to the extent that such information is protected by the attorney-client privilege, word product doctrine or any other applicable privileges or protections from discovery.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

- 3. Plaintiffs object to the definition of the term "Statute of Limitation" on the grounds that it misstates the applicable statute of limitations period for the claims at issue in this action. For purposes of these Interrogatories, Plaintiffs will interpret "Statute of Limitations" to mean the period from February 14, 2003 through February 14, 2007 inclusive.
- 4. Plaintiffs object to the Requests to the extent that they seek privileged information, including but not limited to information or documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the protection afforded to settlement discussions, any agreement between parties, any court order or any other privilege or immunity. Insofar as the disclosure of information by Plaintiffs in response to any Interrogatory may be deemed to be a waiver of any privilege or right, such waiver shall be deemed to be a limited waiver with respect to that particular information only.
- 5. Plaintiffs objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of such information.
- 6. Plaintiffs object to the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is publicly available, has already been furnished to, or is in the possession, custody or control of Defendants, or to the extent that they seek information already available to Defendants, available from public records or otherwise in the public domain and available to Defendants.
- 7. Plaintiffs object to the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is not within Plaintiffs' possession, custody or control. Plaintiffs construe each Interrogatory as requiring it to engage in a reasonable inquiry and base their responses on information that is known or ascertainable through a reasonable inquiry.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLEPS, LLP ALTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

8. Plaintiffs responses to the Interrogatories are based on the information available as of the date hereof, and Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement and/or amend their responses and objections if necessary.

9. Plaintiffs reserve all objections or other positions they may have as to the competency, relevance, materiality, privilege, or admissibility of any information disclosed in response to the Interrogatories for any purpose whatsoever.

#### SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

Identify each (if any) occasion within the STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS when a LICENSEE actually utilized the IDENTITY RIGHTS of a member of the putative GLA CLASS without such retired player(s) receiving a payment from DEFENDANTS in connection with such use of his IDENTITY RIGHTS.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, overbroad and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because it does not limit the information sought to any rights licensed pursuant to a GLA, including in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Third Amended Complaint (the "Adderley GLA"), which is the agreement at issue in this action. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information regarding rights licensed under "ad hoc" agreements, agreements not at issue in this action. Plaintiffs further object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it calls for information that is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants or third parties. Plaintiffs also object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term "utilize" is vague and ambiguous, as is the definition of "utilize" offered by Defendants. For purposes of responding to this Interrogatory,

.20 .21

MANATT, PHELPS &
PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

Plaintiffs will define "utilize" as meaning "to make use of" as requested by Defendants. Subject to and without waiving these objections or the General Objections, Plaintiffs respond as follows:

Licensees make use of the Identity Rights of the retired players who are members of the GLA class (just as they make use of the identity rights of active NFL players) by licensing them and agreeing to make payment for such Identity Rights without regard to and whether or not the Identity Rights are portrayed anywhere in physical form. Such use of Identity Rights (the licenses for which have been previously identified by Plaintiffs) confers considerable value on Licensees, such that they have been willing to pay substantial sums for such Identity Rights whether or not the Identity Rights are actually portrayed anywhere in physical form. Despite such use by Licensees, Plaintiffs allege that members of the putative GLA Class have received no money from Defendants for such use.

In addition, while the information necessary to fully answer this interrogatory resides with Defendants and Defendants' Licensees, Plaintiffs are aware of instances in which a Licensee has made use of the Identity Rights of a member of the putative GLA Class by portraying such rights in physical form, without such retired player receiving a payment from Defendants. Specifically, David Greenspan's February 8, 2008 letter to Ryan Hilbert and Defendants' Supplemental Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Amended Interrogatories explain that there are instances in which Players Inc has failed to distribute royalties that have accrued under its agreement with Photo File even though retired players' rights have been portrayed in physical form by Photo File.

	1
2	2
. 3	3
4	↓
5	;
e	;
7	.
. 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
-,	

Dated: June 3, 2008

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

By: Ronald S. Katz (SBN 085713)
Ryan S. Hilbert (SBN 210549)
Noel S. Cohen (SBN 219645)
1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006
Telephone: (650) 812 1300

Telephone: (650) 812-1300 Facsimile: (650) 213-0260

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. Lewis T. Leclair (SBN 077136) 300 Crescent Court

Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: (214) 978-4984 Facsimile: (214) 978-4044

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

20201073.1

28

MANATT, PHELTS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANCELES

## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 CIVIL ACTION NO. C07 0943 WHA BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, HERBERT 5 ANTHONY ADDERLEY, and WALTER ROBERTS III, on behalf of themselves and VERIFICATION б all others similarly situated, 7 Plaintiffs, 8 9 NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, a Virginia 10 corporation, and NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS INCORPORATED 11 d/b/a PLAYERS INC, a Virginia corporation, 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 I, Ryan S. Hilbert, have reviewed Plaintiffs' Supplemental Objections and Responses to 16 Defendants' Fourth Set of Interrogatories and know the contents thereof. I believe to the best of 17 my knowledge that the matters stated therein are true and correct. 18 Declared under penalty of perjury this 3rd day of June, 2008. 19 Ryan'S. Hilbert 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

20201073.1

ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

#### l PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Ryan S. Hilbert, declare: 3 I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the 4 within action; my business address is 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2, Palo Alto, CA 94304. 5 On June 3, 2008, I served the foregoing document by the method(s) indicated below. 6 Plaintiffs' Supplemental Responses and Objections to Defendants' Fourth Set of 7 Interrogatories 8 By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail, addressed as set forth below. 9 By transmitting via facsimile the document listed above to the fax number(s) set forth 10 below on this date before 5:00 p.m. 11 By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Federal Express envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Federal 12 Express agent for delivery. 13 X By electronic mail to the below email addresses: 14 15 Jeffrey L, Kessler, Esq. Kenneth L. Steinthal, Esq. David G. Feher, Esq. Joseph Wetzel, Esq. 16 Eamon O'Kelly, Esq. Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP David Greenspan, Esq. 17 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP Redwood Shores, CA 94065 1301 Avenue of the Americas 18 New York, NY 10019-6092 19 Email: bruce.meyer@weil.com; Email: jkessler@dl.com; dfeher@dl.com; Joseph.Wetzel@wcil.com dgreenspan@dl.com; jclark@dl.com; 20 rtaub@dl.com; MDonovan@dl.com; 21 ipapendick@dl.com; lcaplan@dl.com 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is 23 24 true and correct. 25 Executed on June 3, 2008 at Palo Alto, California.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ALFORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGLES

26

27

28

Ryan S. Hillsey