EXHIBIT C

COPY

1	* * * HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL * * *
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	BERNARD PAUL PARRISH, et al,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	-against-
7	NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, et al,
8	Defendants, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
9	Case No.: C07 0943 WHA
10	X
11	
12	399 Park Avenue New York, New York
13	
14	April 4, 2008
15	12:41 p.m.
16	
17	Deposition of WARREN FRISS, pursuant to
18	30(b)(6) Notice, before Sophie Nolan, a Notary
19	Public of the State of New York.
20	
21	
22	
23	ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING CO. LLC
24	126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10022
25	212-750-6434 Ref: 87185B

reporter please swear in the witness? 1 WARREN FRISS, called as a witness, 2 having been first duly sworn, was 3 examined and testified as follows: 4 5 EXAMINATION BY 6 7 MR. LeCLAIR: Would you state your name for the 8 Q. record, please, sir? 9 Warren Friss. Α. 10 Mr. Friss, are you still the vice Q. 11 president and entertainment general manager of 12 the Topps Company? 13 Α. Yes. 14 How long have you worked for Topps? 0. 15 Since 1995, so almost 13 years. 16 Α. What are your current 0. 17 responsibilities generally? 18 I'm responsible for overseeing the 19 entire entertainment business which we actually 20 now call sports and entertainment. It's sports 21 trading cards, entertainment trading cards and 22

Q. Were you the person responsible for negotiating license agreements with Players,

I'm responsible for the business.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 Inc. in terms of or are -- strike that. 2 Were you responsible for 3 negotiating any license agreements with the 4 National Football League Players Association? 5 MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form. 6 MR. EBERT: You can go ahead and 7 answer. 8 Α. I'm not sure. 9 All right. Let me show you what 10 Q. I'll have marked as Exhibit 407 which is a 11 declaration of Warren Friss. It's -- there are 12 some Bates stamped pages among the exhibits, 13 but they're all different so I'm not going to 14 15 read them. This is a declaration filed in this 16 case, Parrish versus National Football League 17 Players Association case number C 07 0943, 18 United States District Court, Northern District 19 of California, San Francisco Division. 20 (Exhibit 407, declaration of Warren 21 Friss, marked for identification.) 22 Have you seen a copy of this 23 Q.

25

24

declaration, Mr. Friss?

Yes.

Α.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. Have you met with any -- anyone on behalf of the National Football League Players Association or Players, Inc. at any time in connection with this litigation in which we're taking the deposition?
 - A. No.

- Q. Did you actually draft this declaration or did someone draft it for you?
 - A. Someone drafted it for me.
- Q. Did anyone draft it for you who was not employed by Topps or your counsel?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Who drafted it?
- A. It was an attorney for -- actually LeBoeuf Lamb the firm used to be, but I -- they made an initial draft, I made comments to the draft, a few rounds of comments and they ultimately finalized it.
- Q. And how did you communicate your comments back to the lawyers at -- is it Dewey & LeBoeuf?
 - MR. GREENSPAN: Yes.
- A. Yes.
- Q. How did you communicate your

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL comments back to them? I had some phone calls, but I can't Α. remember whether those were -- whether I communicated comments back or I actually sent written comments back. I don't recall. Do you still have a record of any Q. of the comments that you made or of the initial draft that was sent to you? Α. No. Let's look at your declaration. The first paragraph of your declaration says that you have held the position of vice president and entertainment general manager of Topps since February 2005 and prior to that you were the vice president and internet business general manager and from February 2000 to June 2001 you were the general counsel; is that correct? Α. Yes. So obviously you are a licensed 0. lawyer; correct? Correct. Α.

MR. EBERT: In New York.

Are you -- do you still have legal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL responsibilities in your current job at Topps?

A. No.

- Q. When you received the first draft of this declaration, did it have these exhibits attached to it?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Attached as Exhibit A to your declaration is a license agreement between Topps Company and the National Football League Players Association, effective as of March 1, 2004.

Do you know why that specific license agreement was attached to your declaration as opposed to the one in 2000 or 2007?

- A. No.
- Q. Did you ever -- did you ever ask anyone why that specific license agreement was chosen?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever go back at the time you were doing your declaration and compare any of the language in the other license agreements, both preceding and following the

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL both. That's why I wasn't distinguishing, but let's look at Exhibit 407, the 2004 agreement.

A. Okay.

- Q. And the last sentence of 1-A references, "Designated NFLPA license programs." You'll have to answer verbally.
 - A. I see that.
- Q. Do you -- do you know what the meaning was of the phrase "designated" NFLPA license programs?
 - A. No.
- Q. Even if you don't remember a specific discussion about the changes in the language of paragraph 1-A, do you recall that there was any change with respect to retired players in connection with the 2004 license agreement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 407, paragraph two of your declaration.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. The second sentence states, "It was Topps' understanding that it did not, by virtue of the 2004 license agreement, obtain the

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL rights of any retired players." 2 3

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was that sentence one that was drafted by the Dewey LeBoeuf law firm and that you agreed with or is this a sentence that you think was changed?

- This is a sentence that I think was Α. changed.
 - How was it changed?
 - I don't --Α.

MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to the form of the last question.

- I don't recall. Α.
- The next sentence says, "As to Ο. retired players, the player would have to individually designate his participation in a specific Topps program involving retired players for Topps to have his licensing rights."

What did you mean by the phrase "individually designate his participation"?

- That there would have to be an Α. agreement with the retired player that specifically authorized us to use his image.
 - Were you aware, in connection with Q.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL the negotiations negotiation of this 2004 license agreement, that the NFLPA had already obtained authorization from thousands of retired players?

MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form.

A. No.

Q. Did you ever discuss with anyone from the NFLPA or Players, Inc. that the NFLPA had obtained a significant number of license agreements for the use of retired players' group licensing rights and that it already possessed those in its files?

MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form.

MR. EBERT: Objection.

You can answer.

A. No.

Q. Let's go back now -- turn back to page two of the license agreement in Exhibit 407, Bates stamp page 6232, the grant of license provision, and there's a lot of language in this provision, but -- but, basically, if you go to the second half of 2-A, it grants a license to "The NFL players referenced in paragraph 1-A above."

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 Do you see that? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And did you have an understanding Q. 4 as to whether that language encompassed all of 5 the players referenced in 1-A? 6 7 Α. Yes. And did you think it referenced all Ο. 8 players referenced in 1-A? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Including active and retired? 11 Q. MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to the 12 form. 13 It would only, in my -- my 14 recollection is that it would only reference 15 retired players and active players to the 16 extent that they signed the GLA. 17 And "GLA" stands for group 18 licensing authorization? 19 Yes. 20 Α. Let's turn to page -- paragraph 21 three on page two of your declaration. 22 reference here the exhibit which is the -- the 23

agreement with Roger Craig, which is attached

as exhibit, I believe it's Exhibit B to your

24

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL declaration. Yes, I think it is. Correct? Is that what you're referring to in paragraph three?

A. Yes.

MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form.

- A. I'm sorry, I don't believe I have Exhibits B and C.
- Q. They may be on the back of the page. It's two-sided copies which was to save paper, but it may have been a mistake. If it's not there then --
 - A. I don't believe I have it.
 - Q. Okay. That's interesting.

MR. GREENSPAN: And, actually,
Mr. LeClair, I was going to point out
you -- previously you had asked him why
it was that the 2007 license agreement
wasn't attached to his declaration but,
in fact, it is. It's Exhibit D. Perhaps
it's missing from your copy and that was
the confusion.

MR. LeCLAIR: I apologize, you're right. It is attached as Exhibit D. Thank you.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL MR. GREENSPAN: Sure.

- Q. The -- okay, can I see that? I don't know what happened here. Let me show you Exhibit B.
 - A. Thank you.

- Q. Exhibit B to your declaration,
 Mr. Friss, is dated November 9, 2004. It's a
 letter addressed to Roger Craig and it's signed
 by Seth Wyman at Players, Inc. I note that no
 one at Topps is an addressee or shown to be
 copied on this letter; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And did you nonetheless receive a copy of it?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. When you referenced the document in your declaration, was it a document that was provided to you by counsel for Players, Inc. as opposed to something you got out of your own file?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you have a specific recollection that you even had a copy of this agreement prior to the time it was shown to you for

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 purposes of your declaration? I'm sorry, could you repeat the 3 Α. question? 4 Do you have a specific recollection 5 Q. that you actually had this agreement between 6 Players, Inc. and Roger Craig in your file 7 prior to the time that it was given to you for 8 purposes of this declaration? 9 MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form. 10 11 Α. No. Do you -- do you believe that 12 players -- I'm sorry, strike that. 13 Do you believe that Topps is a 14 15 party to this agreement? MR. EBERT: Objection. 16 17 You can answer.

A. No.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. If you look at paragraph five of this agreement with Roger Craig, it's entitled "Compensation" and states, "As consideration for the grant of rights and services, Players, Inc. shall pay player \$5,000 (\$20 per autograph)."

Was it typical for you to agree to

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 and services for Mr. Craig? 2 MR. LeCLAIR: Objection, form, 3 leading. 4 Yes. Α. 5 Do you know whether -- has Topps 6 entered into similar individual designated 7 deals with retired players? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And is it your understanding --Q. 10 what is your understanding as to whether those 11 individual retired player deals contained grant 12 of rights? 13 MR. LeCLAIR: Objection to form. 14 It's my understanding that they 15 always include a grant of rights in addition to 16 the grant of services. 17 Okay. Does Topps do individual 18 designated deals with active players? 19 Yes. Α. 20 What is your understanding as to 21 whether those individually designated deals 22 with active players contain a grant of rights? 23 It's my understanding that they do Α. 24

25

not.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. Okay. Does Topps -- has -- what is your position with respect to Topps' ability to make a trading card of a retired player like Roger Craig absent an individually negotiated deal like Exhibit B to your declaration?

MR. LeCLAIR: Objection, form.

- A. It's my understanding and it always has been that we cannot make a trading card unless we obtain the rights individually from that retired player which we have to do through NFLPA. We have never published a picture of a retired player without doing that.
- Q. Okay. And I want to be very clear here that we're talking -- I want to put aside -- put aside the issue of autographs.

 Just focusing on Topps' right or lack of a right to make a trading card bearing a retired player's name, image statistical information, etcetera, what is Topps' position about its right to do so absent an individually negotiated deal like Exhibit B to your declaration?

MR. LeCLAIR: Objection to form.

A. No, we don't have the right to do

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 so. Okay. Turning back to your Q. 3 declaration, paragraph four, it says, "Thus, 4 under the 2004 license agreement, Topps 5 acquired the group licensing rights of active 6 NFL players only." 7 Is that consistent with your 8 understanding today? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And have you ever had a different 11 understanding about the rights that were --12 that Topps acquired under the 2004 license 13 14 agreement? Α. No. 15 The next sentence states, "It was 16 my belief that any consideration paid by Topps 17 under the 2004 license agreement was not paid 18 for the rights of retired players." 19 Is that statement consistent with 20 your understanding today? 21 22 Α. Yes. MR. LeCLAIR: Objection, leading. 23 Yes. 24 Α.

And has that always been your

25

Q.

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL understanding?

MR. LeCLAIR: Objection, leading.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. The next paragraph it says, "The pertinent language in paragraph 1-A of another license agreement entered into between the NFLPA and Topps with an effective date of March 1, 2007, a true and accurate copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D, is to the same effect as the 2004 license agreement."

Is that an accurate statement?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So your understanding is that paragraph 1-A from the 2004 agreement is the same as paragraph 1-A in the 2007 agreement?
 - A. Yes. Effectively, yes.
- Q. Okay. Before this case, had you ever focused on the individual language, the specific words, in paragraph 1-A?
- A. I don't recall if I specifically focused on that.
- Q. The next sentence in paragraph six of your declaration states, "It was my belief that any consideration paid by Topps under the

FRISS - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 And the fact is you had no idea 2 Q. that Players, Inc. had already gotten rights 3 from a large number of retired players because 4 they didn't tell you that, did they? 5 I still don't know whether they 6 7 have rights, so --So, in fact, if in 2004 and in 2007 8 0. Players, Inc. had said, we've already got all 9 of these rights, you would have been happy to 10 get them granted in the license agreement; 11 12 wouldn't you? 13 MR. GREENSPAN: Objection to form. Α. Yes. 14 No further questions. 15 Q. FURTHER EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. GREENSPAN: 17 Was it Topps' intention to acquire 18 0. the group licensing rights of retired players 19 under the 2004 license agreement? 20 21 Α. No. Was it Topps' intention to acquire 22

the group licensing rights of retired players

under the 2007 license agreement?

25 A. No.

23